

# Projections in several complex variables Chin-Yu Hsiao

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Chin-Yu Hsiao. Projections in several complex variables. Mathematics [math]. Ecole Polytechnique X, 2008. English. NNT: . tel-00332787

## HAL Id: tel-00332787 https://pastel.hal.science/tel-00332787v1

Submitted on 21 Oct 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Thèse de Doctorat de Chin-Yu Hsiao sous la direction du Prof. J. Sjöstrand

# PROJECTEURS EN PLUSIEURS VARIABLES COMPLEXES

Chin-Yu Hsiao

CMLS, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cédex, France UMR 7640.<br/> E-mail: hsiao@math.polytechnique.fr



Thèse présentée pour obtenir le titre de

#### DOCTEUR DE L'ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

spécialité: Mathématiques

par

Chin-Yu HSIAO

# Projecteurs en Plusieurs Variables Complexes

# **Projections in Several Complex Variables**

Directeur de thèse: Johannes SJÖSTRAND

Soutenue le 2 juillet 2008 devant le jury composé de

MM.	Robert Berman	Examinateur
	Bo Berndtsson	Rapporteur(absent)
	Louis Boutet de Monvel	Rapporteur
	Bernard Helffer	Président du jury
	Xiaonan MA	Rapporteur
	Johannes Sjöstrand	Directeur de thèse
	Claude VITERBO	Examinateur

#### Remerciements

Je voudrais, en tout premier lieu, exprimer toute ma gratitude à Johannes Sjöstrand, mon directeur de thèse, non seulement pour sa génerosité et son soutien au cours de ces trois années, mais aussi pour son excellente direction à la fois avisée et exigeante à laquelle cette thèse doit beaucoup. C'est grâce à lui que j'ai pu découvrir le domaine de l'analyse microlocale appliquée à l'analyse complexe et le monde de la recherche. J'ai eu beaucoup de plaisir à être son étudiant.

J'aimerais aussi remercier Louis Boutet de Monvel pour sa lecture et les explications, suggestions et discussions qu'il m'a apportées, Bo Berndtsson pour sa lecture et remarques et Xiaonan Ma pour sa lecture détaillée et ses corrections.

Je remercie Claude Viterbo, Bernard Helffer et Robert Berman de m'avoir fait un grand honneur d'être membres de mon jury.

Je tiens à exprimer ma reconnaissance à Bo Berndtsson et Robert Berman pour avoir fait de ma visit à l'Université de Göteborg en novembre-decembre 2006 un moment inoubliable et pour les discussions qu'on a eu pendant mon séjour en Suède, qui ont été toutes très utiles.

Cette thèse a été effectuée au Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz (CMLS) de l'Ecole Polytechnique, un laboratoire extrêmement accueillant et chaleureux dont je voudrais remercier tous les membres.

#### This Thesis consists of an introduction and the following papers:

**Paper I:** On the singularities of the Szegö projection for (0, q) forms **Paper II:** On the singularities of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms

# Introduction

The Bergman and Szegö projections are classical subjects in several complex variables and complex geometry. By Kohn's regularity theorem for the  $\partial$ -Neumann problem (1963, [11]), the boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel is highly dependent on the Levi curvature of the boundary. The study of the boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel on domains with positive Levi curvature (strictly pseudoconvex domains) became an important topic in the field then. In 1965, L. Hörmander ([9]) determined the boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel. C. Fefferman (1974, [7]) established an asymptotic expansion at the diagonal of the Bergman kernel. More complete asymptotics of the Bergman kernel was obtained by Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand (1976, [6]). They also established an asymptotic expansion of the Szegö kernel on strongly pseudoconvex boundaries. All these developments concerned pseudoconvex domains. For the nonpseudoconvex domain, there are few results. R. Beals and P. Greiner (1988, [1]) proved that the Szegö projection is a Heisenberg pseudodifferential operator, under certain Levi curvature assumptions. Hörmander (2004, [10]) determined the boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel when the Levi form is negative definite by computing the leading term of the Bergman kernel on a spherical shell in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Other developments recently concerned the Bergman kernel for a high power of a holomorphic line bundle. D. Catlin (1997, [4]) and S. Zelditch (1998, [16]) adapted a result of Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand for the asymptotics of the Szegö kernel on a strictly pseudoconvex boundary to establish the complete asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel for a high power of a holomorphic line bundle with positive curvature. Recently, a new proof of the existence of the complete asymptotic expansion was obtained by B. Berndtsson, R. Berman and J. Sjöstrand (2004, [3]). Without the positive curvature assumption, R. Berman and J. Sjöstrand (2005, [2]) obtained a full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel for a high power of a line bundle when the curvature is non-degenerate. The approach of Berman and Sjöstrand builds on the heat equation method of Menikoff-Sjöstrand (1978, [15]). The expansion was obtained independently by X. Ma and G. Marinescu (2006, [14]) (without a phase function) by using a spectral gap estimate for the Hodge Laplacian.

Recently, Hörmander (2004, [10]) studied the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms. In that paper (page 1306), Hörmander suggested: "A carefull microlocal analysis along the lines of Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand should give the asymp-

totic expansion of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms when the Levi form is non-degenerate."

The main goal for this thesis is to achieve Hörmander's wish-more precisely, to obtain an asymptotic expansion of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms. The first step of my research is to establish an asymptotic expansion of the Szegö projection for (0, q) forms. Then, find a suitable operator defined on the boundary of domain which plays the same role as the Kohn Laplacian in the approach of Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand.

This thesis consists two parts. In the first paper, we completely study the heat equation method of Menikoff-Sjöstrand and apply it to the Kohn Laplacian defined on a compact orientable connected CR manifold. We then get the full asymptotic expansion of the Szegö projection for (0, q) forms when the Levi form is non-degenerate. We also compute the leading term of the Szegö projection.

In the second paper, we introduce a new operator analogous to the Kohn Laplacian defined on the boundary of a domain and we apply the method of Menikoff-Sjöstrand to this operator. We obtain a description of a new Szegö projection up to smoothing operators. Finally, by using the Poisson operator, we get the full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms when the Levi form is non-degenerate.

In order to describe the results more precisely, we introduce some notations. Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  paracompact manifold equipped with a smooth density of integration. We let  $T(\Omega)$  and  $T^*(\Omega)$  denote the tangent bundle of  $\Omega$  and the cotangent bundle of  $\Omega$  respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of  $\Omega$  and the complexified cotangent bundle of  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{C}T(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T^*(\Omega)$  respectively. We write  $\langle , \rangle$  to denote the pointwise duality between  $T(\Omega)$  and  $T^*(\Omega)$ . We extend  $\langle , \rangle$  bilinearly to  $\mathbb{C}T(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}T^*(\Omega)$ .

Let *E* be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over  $\Omega$ . The spaces of smooth sections of *E* over  $\Omega$  and distribution sections of *E* over  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $C^{\infty}(\Omega; E)$  and  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega; E)$  respectively. Let  $\mathscr{E}'(\Omega; E)$  be the subspace of  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega; E)$  of sections with compact support in  $\Omega$  and let  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; E) = C^{\infty}(\Omega; E) \bigcap \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; E)$ .

Let *C*, *D* be  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over  $\Omega$ . Let

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; C) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; D).$$

From now on, we write  $K_A(x, y)$  or A(x, y) to denote the distribution kernel of A. Let

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; C) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; D)$$

We write

 $A \equiv B$ 

if

$$K_A(x, y) = K_B(x, y) + F(x, y)$$

where  $F(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(C_y, D_x))$ .

#### 0.1 The Szegö projection

For the precise definitions of some standard notations in CR geometry, see section 2 of paper I. Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n-1,  $n \ge 2$ . We take a smooth Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  so that  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)$  is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$  and  $(u \mid v)$  is real if u, v are real tangent vectors, where  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X) = \overline{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)}$ . The Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  that we shall also denote by (|). For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$  be the bundle of (0,q) forms of X. The Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  induces a Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$  also denoted by (|).

We take (dm) as the induced volume form on X and let (|) be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g) = \int_{X} (f(z) \mid g(z))(dm), \ f, g \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)).$$

Since *X* is orientable, there is a globally defined real 1 form  $\omega_0(z)$  of length one which is pointwise orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X)$ , where

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T^*(X) = \overline{\Lambda^{0,1} T^*(X)}.$$

There is a real non-vanishing vector field *Y* which is pointwise orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$ . We take *Y* so that

$$\langle Y, \omega_0 \rangle = -1, ||Y|| = 1.$$

The Levi form  $L_p(Z, \overline{W})$ ,  $p \in X, Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$ , is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$  defined as follows:

For any Z, 
$$W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$$
, pick  $\widetilde{Z}$ ,  $\widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{1,0} T(X))$  that satisfy  
 $\widetilde{Z}(p) = Z$ ,  $\widetilde{W}(p) = W$ . Then  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle$ .
$$(0.1)$$

The eigenvalues of the Levi form at  $p \in X$  are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form  $L_p$  with respect to the inner product ( | ) on  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$ .

Let  $\Box_b$  be the Kohn Laplacian on *X* and let  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  denote the restriction to (0, q) forms. Let

$$\pi^{(q)}: L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$$

be the Szegö projection, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box_{b}^{(q)}$ . Let

$$K_{\pi^{(q)}}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_y(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_x(X)))$$

be the distribution kernel of  $\pi^{(q)}$  with respect to (dm). Formally,

$$(\pi^{(q)}u)(x) = \int K_{\pi^{(q)}}(x,y)u(y)dm(y), \ u(y) \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)).$$

We recall

**Definition 0.1.** Given q,  $0 \le q \le n-1$ , the Levi form is said to satisfy condition Y(q) at  $p \in X$  if for any |J| = q,  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_q)$ ,  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q \le n-1$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{j\notin J}\lambda_j-\sum_{j\in J}\lambda_j\right|<\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_j\right|,$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., (n-1), are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . If the Levi form is nondegenerate at p, then the condition is equivalent to  $q \neq n_+$ ,  $n_-$ , where  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , is the signature of  $L_p$ .

When Y(q) holds at each point of *X*, Kohn (1972, [8]) proved that

$$K_{\pi^{(q)}}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))).$$

When condition Y(q) fails, one is interested in the Szegö projection on the level of (0,q) forms. If the Levi form is positive definite at each point of X, Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand (1976, [6]) obtained the full asymptotic expansion for  $K_{\pi^{(0)}}(x, y)$ . If Y(q) fails, Y(q-1), Y(q+1) hold and the Levi form is non-degenerate, Beals and Greiner (1988, [1]) proved that  $\pi^{(q)}$  is a Heisenberg pseudodifferential operator. In particular,  $\pi^{(q)}$  is a pseudodifferential operator of order 0 type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

#### The statement of the main results of paper I

Let  $\Sigma$  be the characteristic manifold of  $\Box_{h}^{(q)}$ . We have

$$\Sigma = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_0(x), \lambda \neq 0\}.$$

Put

$$\Sigma^{+} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda > 0\},$$
  
$$\Sigma^{-} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda < 0\}.$$

We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. Then the Levi form has constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . We define

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Sigma} &= \Sigma^{+} \text{ if } n_{+} = q \neq n_{-}, \\ \hat{\Sigma} &= \Sigma^{-} \text{ if } n_{-} = q \neq n_{+}, \\ \hat{\Sigma} &= \Sigma^{+} \bigcup \Sigma^{-} \text{ if } n_{+} = q = n_{-}. \end{split}$$

The main result of the first paper is the following

**Theorem 0.2.** Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0} T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , with a Hermitian metric (|). We assume that the Levi form L is non-degenerate at each point of X. Then, the Levi form has constant

signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . Let  $q = n_-$  or  $n_+$ . Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Then  $\pi^{(q)}$  is a well defined continuous operator

$$\pi^{(q)}: H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

WF'(
$$K_{\pi^{(q)}}$$
) = diag( $\hat{\Sigma} \times \hat{\Sigma}$ ),

where  $H^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the standard Sobolev space of order s and

WF'(
$$K_{\pi^{(q)}}$$
) = { $(x, \xi, y, \eta) \in T^*(X) \times T^*(X); (x, \xi, y, -\eta) \in WF(K_{\pi^{(q)}})$ }.

Here WF( $K_{\pi^{(q)}}$ ) is the wave front set of  $K_{\pi^{(q)}}$  in the sense of Hörmander (see Appendix A of the second paper for a review). Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} K_{\pi^{(q)}} &= K_{\pi^+} \text{ if } n_+ = q \neq n_-, \\ K_{\pi^{(q)}} &= K_{\pi^-} \text{ if } n_- = q \neq n_+, \\ K_{\pi^{(q)}} &= K_{\pi^+} + K_{\pi^-} \text{ if } n_+ = q = n_-, \end{split}$$

where  $K_{\pi^+}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$K_{\pi^{+}}(x,y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\phi_{+}(x,y)t} s_{+}(x,y,t) dt$$

with

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$$

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x, y) t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$ 

where  $S_{1,0}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the Hörmander symbol space (see Appendix A of the first paper for a review and references),

$$s_{+}^{j}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

 $\phi_+$ 

and

$$\phi_+(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X), \tag{0.2}$$

$$(x,x) = 0,$$
 (0.3)

$$\phi_+(x,y) \neq 0 \quad if \ x \neq y, \tag{0.4}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\phi_+(x,y) \ge 0, \tag{0.5}$$

$$d_x \phi_+ \neq 0, \ d_y \phi_+ \neq 0 \ where \ \operatorname{Im} \phi_+ = 0,$$
 (0.6)

$$d_x \phi_+(x, y)|_{x=y} = \omega_0(x), \tag{0.7}$$

$$d_{y}\phi_{+}(x,y)|_{x=y} = -\omega_{0}(x), \qquad (0.8)$$

 $\phi_{+}(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_{+}(y,x).$  (0.9)

Similarly,

$$K_{\pi^{-}}(x,y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\phi_{-}(x,y)t} s_{-}(x,y,t) dt$$

with

$$\begin{split} s_{-}(x, y, t) &\in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \\ s_{-}(x, y, t) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{-}^{j}(x, y) t^{n-1-j} \\ in the symbol space \ S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \end{split}$$

where

$$s^{j}_{-}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{x}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $-\overline{\phi}_{-}(x, y)$  satisfies (0.2)-(0.9).

More properties of the phase  $\phi_+(x, y)$  will be given in Theorem 0.4 and Remark 0.5 below.

*Remark* 0.3. We notice that if Y(q-1) and Y(q+1) hold then  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range.

#### The tangential Hessian of $\phi_+(x, y)$

Until further notice, we assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. The phase  $\phi_+(x, y)$  is not unique. we can replace  $\phi_+(x, y)$  by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x,y) = f(x,y)\phi_+(x,y), \qquad (0.10)$$

where  $f(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$  is real and f(x, x) = 1, f(x, y) = f(y, x). Then  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies (0.2)-(0.9). We work with local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We want to know the Hessian

$$(\phi_{+})'' = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} (\phi_{+})''_{xx} & (\phi_{+})''_{xy} \\ (\phi_{+})''_{yx} & (\phi_{+})''_{yy} \end{array} \right]$$

of  $\phi_+$  at  $(p, p) \in X \times X$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathbb{C}T_p(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_p(X)$ . From (0.10), we can check that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \widetilde{\phi}''(p,p)U,V \right\rangle &= \left\langle (\phi_{+})''(p,p)U,V \right\rangle + \left\langle df(p,p),U \right\rangle \left\langle d\phi_{+}(p,p),V \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle df(p,p),V \right\rangle \left\langle d\phi_{+}(p,p),U \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Thus, the Hessian  $(\phi_+)''$  of  $\phi_+$  at (p,p) is only well-defined on the space

$$T_{(p,p)}H_+ = \left\{ W \in \mathbb{C}T_p(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_p(X); \left\langle d\phi_+(p,p), W \right\rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

In view of (0.7) and (0.8), we see that  $T_{(p,p)}H_+$  is spanned by

$$(u, v), (Y(p), Y(p)), u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X).$$

We define the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at (p, p) as the bilinear map:

$$T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \times T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \to \mathbb{C},$$
  
$$(U,V) \to \left\langle (\phi_{+}^{\prime\prime})(p,p)U,V \right\rangle, \ U,V \in T_{(p,p)}H_{+}.$$

In the section 9 of the first paper, we completely determined the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at (p, p). For the better understanding, we describe it in some special local coordinates. For a given point  $p \in X$ , let

$$U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_x(X)$  varying smoothly with x in a neighborhood of p, for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. We take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

defined on some neighborhood of p such that

$$\omega_0(p) = \sqrt{2} dx_{2n-1}, \quad x(p) = 0,$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = 2\delta_{j,k}, \quad j,k = 1,\dots,2n-1$$

and

$$U_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n-2} c_{j,s}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

 $a_j \in C^{\infty}, a_j(0) = 0, j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$c_{j,s}(x) \in C^{\infty}, \ c_{j,s}(0) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1, \ s = 1, \dots, 2n-2.$$

The integrability of  $\Lambda^{1,0} T(X)$ , i.e.  $[U_j, U_k] \in \Lambda^{1,0} T(X)$  implies that

$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_k}(0) = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_j}(0), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$
(0.11)

Since the Levi form is diagonalized at *p* with respect to  $U_j(p)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, we can check that (see (0.1))

$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \overline{z}_k}(0) - \frac{\partial \overline{a}_k}{\partial z_j}(0) = 2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,k}, \quad j,k = 1,\dots, n-1, \tag{0.12}$$

where  $\lambda_i$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ .

If  $\hat{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$ ,  $\hat{\phi}(p,p) = 0$ ,  $d_{x,y}\hat{\phi}(p,p) = d_{x,y}\phi_+(p,p)$  and the tangential Hessian of  $\hat{\phi}(x,y)$  at (p,p) is the same as the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x,y)$  at (p,p), then

$$\hat{\phi}(x, y', x_{2n-1}) - \phi_+(x, y', x_{2n-1}) = O(|(x, y')|^3)$$

in some neighborhood of (p, p), where  $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-2})$ . Moreover, we have the following

**Theorem 0.4.** With the notations used before, in some neighborhood of  $(p, p) \in X \times X$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \phi_{+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1}) + i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \lambda_{j} \right| \left| z_{j} - w_{j} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(z_{j}\overline{z}_{k} - w_{j}\overline{w}_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}z_{k} - \overline{w}_{j}w_{k}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( i\lambda_{j}(z_{j}\overline{w}_{j} - \overline{z}_{j}w_{j}) + \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(z_{j}x_{2n-1} - w_{j}y_{2n-1}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}x_{2n-1} - \overline{w}_{j}y_{2n-1}) \right) + \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1})f(x,y) + O(\left| (x,y) \right|^{3}), \\ f \in C^{\infty}, \ f(0,0) = 0, \ f(x,y) = \overline{f}(y,x), \\ y = (y_{1}, \dots, y_{2n-1}), \ w_{j} = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{split}$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$  and  $\phi_+$  is as in Theorem 0.2.

Remark 0.5. We use the same notations as in Theorem 0.4. Since

$$\frac{\partial \phi_+}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0,0) \neq 0,$$

from the Malgrange preparation theorem (see Theorem B.6 of the first paper), we have

$$\phi_{+}(x,y) = g(x,y)(\sqrt{2}x_{2n-1} + h(x',y))$$

in some neighborhood of (0,0), where  $g, h \in C^{\infty}$ , g(0,0) = 1, h(0,0) = 0 and  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2})$ . Put

$$\hat{\phi}(x,y) = \sqrt{2}x_{2n-1} + h(x',y).$$

From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Proposition *B*.21 of the first paper), we see that  $\phi_+(x, y)$  and  $\hat{\phi}(x, y)$  are equivalent at  $(p, \omega_0(p))$  in the sense of Melin-Sjöstrand (see Definition *B*.20 of the first paper). Since  $\phi_+(x, y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y, x)$ , we can replace  $\phi_+(x, y)$  by

$$\frac{\hat{\phi}(x,y)-\hat{\phi}(y,x)}{2}.$$

Then  $\phi_+(x, y)$  satisfies (0.2)-(0.9). Moreover, we can check that

$$\phi_{+}(x,y) = \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1}) + i\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left|\lambda_{j}\right| \left|z_{j} - w_{j}\right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(z_{j}\overline{z}_{k} - w_{j}\overline{w}_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}z_{k} - \overline{w}_{j}w_{k})\right) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(i\lambda_{j}(z_{j}\overline{w}_{j} - \overline{z}_{j}w_{j}) + \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(z_{j}x_{2n-1} - w_{j}y_{2n-1}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}x_{2n-1} - \overline{w}_{j}y_{2n-1})\right) + O(\left|(x,y)\right|^{3}), \qquad (0.14)$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . (Compare (0.14) with (0.13).)

#### The leading term of the Szegö projection

We have the following corollary of Theorem 0.2.

Corollary 0.6. There exist smooth functions

$$F_{+}, G_{+}, F_{-}, G_{-} \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X)))$$

such that

$$K_{\pi^+} = F_+(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_+\log(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0)),$$
  
$$K_{\pi^-} = F_-(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_-\log(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$F_{+} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{+}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k} + f_{+}(x,y)(\phi_{+}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$F_{-} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{-}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k} + f_{-}(x,y)(\phi_{-}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$G_{+} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{+}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k},$$

$$G_{-} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{-}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k},$$
(0.15)

where

$$f_+(x,y), f_-(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))).$$

If  $w \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ , let

$$w^{\wedge,*}: \Lambda^{0,q+1}T_z^*(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q}T_z^*(X), q \ge 0,$$

be the adjoint of left exterior multiplication

$$w^{\wedge}: \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*_z(X).$$

That is,

$$(w^{\wedge}u \mid v) = (u \mid w^{\wedge,*}v),$$
 (0.16)

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(X)$ . Notice that  $w^{\wedge,*}$  depends anti-linearly on w.

In section 9 of the first paper, we compute  $F_+(x, x)$  and  $F_-(x, x)$ .

**Proposition 0.7.** *For a given point*  $x_0 \in X$ *, let* 

 $U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$ 

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at  $x_0$ . Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $\overline{U}_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that  $q = n_+$  and that

$$\lambda_j(x_0) > 0 \ if \ 1 \le j \le n_+.$$

Then

$$F_{+}(x_{0},x_{0}) = (n-1)!\frac{1}{2} |\lambda_{1}(x_{0})| \cdots |\lambda_{n-1}(x_{0})| \pi^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{j=n_{+}} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge,*}.$$

**Proposition 0.8.** For a given point  $x_0 \in X$ , let

$$U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at  $x_0$ . Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $\overline{U}_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that  $q = n_-$  and that

$$\lambda_j(x_0) < 0 \quad if \quad 1 \le j \le n_-.$$

Then

$$F_{-}(x_{0},x_{0}) = (n-1)!\frac{1}{2}|\lambda_{1}(x_{0})|\cdots|\lambda_{n-1}(x_{0})|\pi^{-n}\prod_{j=1}^{j=n-}e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge}e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge,*}.$$

#### 0.2 The Bergman projection

For the precise definitions of some standard notations in complex geometry and several complex variables, see section 2 of paper II. In this section, we assume that all manifolds are paracompact. Let M be a relatively compact open subset with  $C^{\infty}$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of a complex manifold M' of dimension n with a smooth Hermitian metric ( | ) on its holomorphic tangent bundle.

Let *F* be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over *M'*. Let  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; F)$ ,  $\mathscr{D}'(\overline{M}; F)$  and  $H^{s}(\overline{M}; F)$  denote the spaces of restrictions to *M* of elements in  $C^{\infty}(M'; F)$ ,  $\mathscr{D}'(M'; F)$  and  $H^{s}(M'; F)$  respectively.

Let  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  and  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(M')$  be the holomorphic tangent bundle of M' and the anti-holomorphic tangent boundle of M' respectively. We extend the Hermitian metric (|) to  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  in a natural way by requiring  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  to be orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(M')$  and satisfy

$$\overline{(u \mid v)} = (\overline{u} \mid \overline{v}), \ u, v \in \Lambda^{0,1} T(M').$$

For  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')$  be the bundle of (p,q) forms of M'. The Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  induces a Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')$  also denoted by (|). Let (dM') be the induced volume form on M' and let  $(|)_M$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid h)_{M} = \int_{M} (f \mid h)(dM'), \ f, h \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{p,q} T^{*}(M')).$$
(0.17)

Let  $r \in C^{\infty}(M')$  be a defining function of  $\Gamma$  such that r is real, r = 0 on  $\Gamma$ , r < 0 on M and  $dr \neq 0$  near  $\Gamma$ . From now on, we take a defining function r so that

$$||dr|| = 1$$
 on  $\Gamma$ .

Put

$$\omega_0 = J^t(dr). \tag{0.18}$$

Here  $J^t$  is the complex structure map for the cotangent bundle.

Let  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(\Gamma)$  be the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $\Gamma$ . The Levi form  $L_p(Z, \overline{W})$ ,  $p \in X, Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$ , is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$  defined as in (0.1).

For the convenience of the reader, we review the definition of the Kohn Laplacian on (0, q) forms. Let

$$\overline{\partial}: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M'))$$

be the part of the exterior differential operator which maps forms of type (0, q) to forms of type (0, q + 1) and we denote by

$$\overline{\partial_f}^*: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$$

the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$ . That is

$$(\overline{\partial}f \mid h)_{M'} = (f \mid \overline{\partial}_f^*h)_{M'}, \ f \in C_0^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ h \in C^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')),$$

where  $( | )_{M'}$  is defined by

$$(g \mid k)_{M'} = \int_{M'} (g \mid k) (dM'), \ g, k \in C_0^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We shall also use the notation  $\overline{\partial}$  for the closure in  $L^2$  of the  $\overline{\partial}$  operator, initially defined on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$  and  $\overline{\partial}^*$  for the Hilbert space adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$ . The domain of  $\overline{\partial}^*$  consists of all  $f \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M'))$  such that for some constant c > 0,

$$\left|(f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M\right| \leq c \|g\|, \text{ for all } g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

For such an f,

$$g \to (f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M$$

extends to a bounded anti-linear functional on  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  so

$$(f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M = (\widetilde{f} \mid g)_M$$

for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We have  $\overline{\partial}^* f = \tilde{f}$ . The  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann Laplacian on (0, q) forms is then the operator in the space  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ 

$$\Box^{(q)} = \overline{\partial} \ \overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^* \overline{\partial}. \tag{0.19}$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)} = \{ u \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')); u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \\ \overline{\partial}^* u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \}.$$

As before, if  $w \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(M')$ , let

$$w^{\wedge,*}: \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(M') \to \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')$$
(0.20)

be the adjoint of left exterior multiplication  $w^{\wedge}$ . (See (0.16).) Let  $\gamma$  denote the operator of restriction to the boundary  $\Gamma$ . Put

$$D^{(q)} = \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)} \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We have

$$D^{(q)} = \left\{ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0, q+1}T^{*}(M')); \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge, *}u = 0, \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge, *}\overline{\partial} u = 0 \right\}.$$
(0.21)

The boundary conditions

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} u = 0, \ \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \overline{\partial} u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}, \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

are called  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann boundary conditions.

Let

$$\Pi^{(q)}: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box^{(q)}$$

be the Bergman projection, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box^{(q)}$ . Let

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

be the distribution kernel of  $\Pi^{(q)}$ . Formally,

$$(\Pi^{(q)}u)(z) = \int_M K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w)u(w)dM'(w), \ u(w) \in C_0^{\infty}(M; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')).$$

We recall

**Definition 0.9.** Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . The Levi form is said to satisfy condition Z(q) at  $p \in \Gamma$  if it has at least n-q positive or at least q+1 negative eigenvalues. If the Levi form is non-degenerate at  $p \in \Gamma$ , let  $(n_-, n_+), n_- + n_+ = n-1$ , be the signature. Then Z(q) holds at p if and only if  $q \ne n_-$ .

When Z(q) holds at each point of  $\Gamma$ , Kohn (1963, [11]) proved that

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z.w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

When condition Z(q) fails, one is interested in the Bergman projection on the level of (0, q) forms. If the Levi form is positive definite at each point of  $\Gamma$ , Kerzman (1971, [13]) proved that

$$K_{\Pi^{(0)}}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)).$$

A complete asymptotic expansion of  $K_{\Pi^{(0)}}(z, z)$  at the boundary was given by Fefferman (1974, [7]): There are functions  $a, b \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M})$  such that

$$K_{\Pi^{(0)}}(z,z) = \frac{a(z)}{r(z)^{n+1}} + b(z)\log(-r(z)).$$

Here a(z) is given for  $z \in \Gamma$  by Hörmander (1965, [9]). Complete asymptotics of  $K_{\Pi^{(0)}}(z, w)$  when z and w approach the same boundary point in an arbitrary way was obtained by Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand (1976, [6]).

#### **Boundary reduction**

The Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  induces a Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(\Gamma)$ . For  $z \in \Gamma$ , we identify  $\mathbb{C}T_z^*(\Gamma)$  with the space

$$\left\{ u \in \mathbb{C} T_z^*(M'); (u \mid dr) = 0 \right\}.$$
 (0.22)

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , the bundle of boundary (0, q) forms is the vector bundle  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  with fiber

$$\Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(\Gamma) = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'); (u \mid \overline{\partial} r(z) \wedge g) = 0, \ \forall g \in \Lambda^{0,q-1} T_z^*(M') \right\}$$
(0.23)

at  $z \in \Gamma$ . In view of (0.21), we see that  $u \in D^{(q)}$  if and only if

$$\gamma u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \tag{0.24}$$

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} \, u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0, q+1} T^*(\Gamma)). \tag{0.25}$$

We take  $(d\Gamma)$  as the induced volume form on  $\Gamma$  and let  $(|)_{\Gamma}$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g)_{\Gamma} = \int_{\Gamma} (f \mid g) d\Gamma, \quad f, g \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')). \tag{0.26}$$

We assume that the Levi form is positive definite at each point of  $\Gamma$  and q = 0. As before, let  $\pi^{(0)}$  be the Szegö projection for (0,0) forms on  $\Gamma$ . Let *P* be the Poisson operator for functions. That is, if  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ , then

$$Pu \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}), \ \overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial} Pu = 0$$

and

$$\gamma P u = u$$
.

It is well-known (see ([6])) that

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P \pi^{(0)} \equiv 0. \tag{0.27}$$

From this, it is not difficult to see that

$$\Pi^{(0)} = P\pi^{(0)}(P^*P)^{-1}P^* + F, \qquad (0.28)$$

where

$$P^*: \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma)$$

is the operator defined by

$$(P^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Pv)_M, \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma)$$

$$F(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$

From (0.28), we can obtain the full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman projection for functions.

In the case of (0,q) forms, in general, the relation (0.27) doesn't hold. This makes it difficult to obtain a full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman projection directly from the Szegö projection. Instead, we introduce a new operator  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  and obtain a modified Szegö kernel such that (0.27) holds.

The operator  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$ 

Let

$$\Box_f^{(q)} = \overline{\partial} \ \overline{\partial_f}^* + \overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial} : C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$
(0.29)

denote the complex Laplace-Beltrami operator on (0,q) forms and denote by  $\sigma_{\Box_{\ell}^{(q)}}$  the principal symbol of  $\Box_{f}^{(q)}$ . Let us consider the map:

$$F^{(q)}: H^{2}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{0}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')),$$
$$u \to (\Box_{f}^{(q)} u, \gamma u).$$
(0.30)

Given q,  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ , we assume that

**Assumption 0.10.**  $F^{(k)}$  is injective,  $q - 1 \le k \le q + 1$ .

Thus, the Poisson operator for  $\Box_f^{(k)}$ ,  $q-1 \le k \le q+1$ , is well-defined. (See section 4 of the second paper.) If M' is Kähler, then  $F^{(q)}$  is injective for any q,  $0 \le q \le n$ . (See section 9 of the second paper for the definition and details.)

Let

$$P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

$$(0.31)$$

be the Poisson operator for  $\Box_f^{(q)}$ . It is well-known (see page 29 of Boutet de Monvel [5]) that *P* extends continuously

$$P: H^{s}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$P^*: \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

be the operator defined by

$$(P^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Pv)_M, \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

It is well-known (see page 30 of [5]) that  $P^*$  is continuous:

$$P^*: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

and

and

$$P^*: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We use the inner product [ | ] on  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined as follows:

$$[u \mid v] = (Pu \mid Pv)_M,$$

where  $u, v \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We consider  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  as an operator

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\Lambda,*}: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q-1} T^*(M')).$$

Note that  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  is the pointwise adjoint of  $\overline{\partial} r$  with respect to ( | ). Let

$$T: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$$

$$(0.32)$$

be the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  with respect to  $[\ |\ ]$ . That is, if  $u \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , then

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}Tu = 0$$

and

$$[(I-T)u \mid g] = 0, \ \forall \ g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}.$$

In section 4 of the second paper, we will show that T is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}.$$

Put

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = T\gamma \overline{\partial} P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$
(0.33)

 $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0,q) forms to boundary (0,q+1) forms,

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = \overline{\partial_b} + \text{lower order terms}, \qquad (0.34)$$

where  $\overline{\partial_b}$  is the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and

$$(\overline{\partial_{\beta}})^2 = 0.$$

Let

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)),$$

be the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  with respect to [|].  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0, q+1) forms to boundary (0, q) forms and

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} = \gamma \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P.$$

Put

$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} = \overline{\partial_{\beta}} \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} \overline{\partial_{\beta}} : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma))$$

We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate. Put

$$\Sigma^{-}(q) = \{(x, \lambda \omega_0(x)) \in T^*(\Gamma); \lambda < 0 \text{ and } Z(q) \text{ fails at } x\},\$$

$$\Sigma^+(q) = \{(x, \lambda \omega_0(x)) \in T^*(\Gamma); \lambda > 0 \text{ and } Z(q) \text{ fails at } x\}$$

We apply the method of Menikoff-Sjöstrand to  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  and obtain operators

$$A \in L^{-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)), \quad B_{-}, B_{+} \in L^{0}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma))$$

such that

$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}A + B_{-} + B_{+} \equiv I,$$
  
WF'(K<sub>B\_{-</sub>) = diag( $\Sigma^{-}(q) \times \Sigma^{-}(q)$ ),  
WF'(K<sub>B\_{+</sub>) = diag( $\Sigma^{+}(n-1-q) \times \Sigma^{+}(n-1-q)$ ),  
 $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}B_{-} \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}B_{-} \equiv 0,$   
 $B_{-} \equiv B_{-}^{\dagger} \equiv B_{-}^{2},$ 

where  $L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}$  is the space of pseudodifferential operators of order *m* type  $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $B_{-}^{\dagger}$  is the formal adjoint of  $B_{-}$  with respect to [ | ]. We prove that

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P B_{-} \equiv 0. \tag{0.35}$$

(See section 7 of the second paper.) From this, we deduce the generalization of (0.28)

$$\Pi^{(q)} = P B_{-} T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* + F, \qquad (0.36)$$

where

$$P^*: \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is the operator defined by

$$(P^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Pv)_M, \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

and

$$F(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))).$$

#### The statement of the main results of paper II

We recall the Hörmander symbol spaces

**Definition 0.11.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let *U* be an open set in  $M' \times M'$ .

$$S_{1,0}^m(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(M')))$$

is the space of all  $a(x, y, t) \in C^{\infty}(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(M')))$  such that for all compact sets  $K \subset U$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}\partial_t^{\gamma}a(x,y,t)\right| \leq c(1+|t|)^{m-|\gamma|}, (x,y,t) \in K \times ]0, \infty[.$$

 $S_{1,0}^m$  is called the space of symbols of order *m* type (1,0). We write  $S_{1,0}^{-\infty} = \bigcap S_{1,0}^m$ .

Let  $S_{1,0}^m(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$  denote the space of restrictions to  $U \cap (M \times M) \times ]0, \infty[$  of elements in

$$S_{1,0}^{m}(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{w}^{*}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'))).$$

Let

$$a_j \in S_{1,0}^{m_j}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with  $m_j \searrow -\infty$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists

$$a \in S_{1,0}^{m_0}(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M})\times]0,\infty[;\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_w(M'),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_z(M')))$$

such that

$$a - \sum_{0 \le j < k} a_j \in S^{m_k}_{1,0}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))),$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If a and  $a_i$  have the properties above, we write

$$a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \text{ in the space } S_{1,0}^{m_0}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times [0,\infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

Let

$$C, D: C_0^{\infty}(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \mathscr{D}'(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

with distribution kernels

$$K_C(z,w), K_D(z,w) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

We write

$$C \equiv D \mod C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}))$$

if

$$K_C(z,w) = K_D(z,w) + F(z,w),$$

where

$$F(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

and *U* is an open set in  $M' \times M'$ .

The main result of the second paper is the following

**Theorem 0.12.** Let M be a relatively compact open subset with  $C^{\infty}$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of a complex analytic manifold M' of dimension n. We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Let

$$\Gamma_q = \{ z \in \Gamma; Z(q) \text{ fails at } z \}$$

$$(0.37)$$

so that  $\Gamma_q$  is a union of connected components of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

*Moreover, in a neighborhood U of* diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ),  $K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z, w)$  satisfies

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt \mod C^\infty(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}))$$
(0.38)

(for the precise meaning of the oscillatory integral  $\int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt$ , see Remark 1.4 of the second paper) with

$$b(z, w, t) \in S_{1,0}^n(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))),$$
$$b(z, w, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j(z, w) t^{n-j}$$

 $in \ the \ space \ S^n_{1,0}(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M})\times]0,\infty[;\ \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}\ T^*_w(M'),\Lambda^{0,q}\ T^*_z(M'))),$ 

$$b_0(z,z) \neq 0, z \in \Gamma_q,$$

where

$$b_j(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\phi(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M})), \tag{0.39}$$

$$\phi(z,z) = 0, \ z \in \Gamma_a, \tag{0.40}$$

$$\phi(z,z) = 0, \ z \in \Gamma_q, \tag{0.40}$$

$$\phi(z,w) \neq 0 \ if \ (z,w) \notin \text{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q), \tag{0.41}$$

$$\text{Im } \phi \ge 0, \tag{0.42}$$

$$m\phi \ge 0, \tag{0.42}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi(z, w) > 0 \quad if \ (z, w) \notin \Gamma \times \Gamma, \tag{0.43}$$

$$\phi(z,w) = -\overline{\phi}(w,z). \tag{0.44}$$

For  $p \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\Box_{f}^{(q)}}(z, d_{z}\phi(z, w)) \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } z = p,$$
  
(z, w) is in some neighborhood of (p, p) in M'. (0.45)

For z = w,  $z \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$d_z \phi = -\omega_0 - i dr,$$
$$d_w \phi = \omega_0 - i dr.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\phi(z,w) = \phi_{-}(z,w)$$
 if  $z, w \in \Gamma_q$ ,

where  $\phi_{-}(z, w) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q)$  is the phase appearing in the description of the Szegö projection. See Theorem 0.2, Theorem 0.4 and Remark 0.5.

From (0.45) and Remark 0.5, it follows that

**Theorem 0.13.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 0.12, let  $p \in \Gamma_q$ . We choose local complex analytic coordinates

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n,$$

vanishing at p such that the metric on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_j \ at \ p$$

and

$$r(z) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} z_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \left| z_j \right|^2 + O(|z|^3),$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . (This is always possible.) We also write

$$w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n), w_j = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

*Then, we can take*  $\phi(z, w)$  *so that* 

$$\phi(z,w) = -\sqrt{2}x_{2n-1} + \sqrt{2}y_{2n-1} - ir(z)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} a_j x_j + \frac{1}{2}a_{2n} x_{2n}\right) - ir(w)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \overline{a}_j y_j + \frac{1}{2}\overline{a}_{2n} y_{2n}\right) + i\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_j| |z_j - w_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} i\lambda_j(\overline{z}_j w_j - z_j \overline{w}_j) + O\left(|(z,w)|^3\right)$$
(0.46)

in some neighborhood of (p, p) in  $M' \times M'$ , where

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\Box_j^{(q)}}}{\partial x_j} (p, -\omega_0(p) - i dr(p)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

#### The leading term of the Bergman projection

We have the following corollary of Theorem 0.12

**Corollary 0.14.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 0.12 and let U be a small neighborhood of diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ). Then there exist smooth functions

$$F, G \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M})); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

such that

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}} = F(-i(\phi(z,w)+i0))^{-n-1} + G\log(-i(\phi(z,w)+i0)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (n-j)! b_j(z,w) (-i\phi(z,w))^j + f(z,w) (\phi(z,w))^{n+1},$$
  

$$G \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!} b_{n+j+1}(z,w) (-i\phi(z,w))^j \mod C^{\infty}(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}))$$
(0.47)

where

$$f(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

We have the following

**Proposition 0.15.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 0.12, let  $p \in \Gamma_q$ ,  $q = n_-$ . Let

 $U_1(z), ..., U_{n-1}(z)$ 

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $e_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , which is dual to  $\overline{U}_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_z$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ . We assume that

$$\lambda_j(p) < 0$$
 if  $1 \leq j \leq n_-$ .

Then

$$F(p,p) = n! \left| \lambda_1(p) \right| \cdots \left| \lambda_{n-1}(p) \right| \pi^{-n} 2 \left( \prod_{j=1}^{j=n-1} e_j(p)^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*}(p) \right) \circ (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge},$$

$$(0.48)$$

where F is as in Corollary 0.14.

#### For the reader

We recall briefly some microlocal analysis that we used in this thesis in Appendix A and B of paper I. These two papers can be read independently. We hope that this thesis can serve as an introduction to certain microlocal techniques with applications to complex geometry and CR geometry.

### References

- [1] R. Beals and P. Greiner, *Calculus on Heisenberg manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 119, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- [2] R. Berman and J. Sjöstrand, *Asymptotics for Bergman-Hodge kernels for high powers of complex line bundles*, arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0511158.
- [3] B. Berndtsson, R. Berman, and J. Sjöstrand, *Asymptotics of Bergman kernels*, arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/050636.
- [4] D. Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (1997), 1–23.
- [5] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 11–51.
- [6] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque **34-35** (1976), 123–164.
- [7] C. Fefferman, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math (1974), no. 26, 1–65.
- [8] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972.
- [9] Hörmander,  $L^2$  estimates and existence theorems for the  $\overline{\partial}$  operator, Acta Math (1965), no. 113, 89–152.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, *The null space of the*  $\overline{\partial}$ *-neumann operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (2004), no. 54, 1305–1369.
- [11] J.J.Kohn, Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, i, Ann of Math (1963), no. 78, 112–148.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, ii*, Ann of Math (1964), no. 79, 450–472.
- [13] N. Kerzman, *The Bergman kernel function and Differentiability at the boundary*, Math. Ann. (1971), no. 195, 148–158.
- [14] X. Ma and Marinescu G, The first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel of the spin<sup>c</sup> Dirac operator, Internat. J. Math (2006), no. 17, 737–759.

- [15] A. Menikoff and J. Sjöstrand, *On the eigenvalues of a class of hypoelliptic operators*, Math. Ann. **235** (1978), 55–85.
- [16] S. Zelditch, *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 6, 317–331.

# On the singularities of the Szegö projection for (0, q) forms

#### Chin-Yu Hsiao

#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain the full asymptotic expansion of the Szegö projection for (0, q) forms. This generalizes a result of Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand for (0, 0) forms. Our main tool is Fourier integral operators with complex valued phase functions of Melin and Sjöstrand.

#### Résumé

Dans ce travail nous obtenons un développement asymptotique complet du projecteur de Szegö pour les (0, q) formes. Cela généralise un resultat de Boutet de Monvel et Sjöstrand pour les (0,0) formes. Nous utilisons des opérateurs intégraux de Fourier à phases complexes de Melin et Sjöstrand.

#### Contents

1	Introduction and statement of the main results	2
2	Cauchy-Riemann manifolds, $\overline{\partial}_b$ -Complex and $\Box_b$ , a review	17
3	The hypoellipicity of $\Box_b$	28
4	The characteristic equation	32
5	The heat equation, formal construction	39
6	Some symbol classes	50
7	The heat equation	64
8	The Szegö Projection	80

9	The leading term of the Szegö Projection	96
10	The Szegö projection on non-orientable CR manifolds	108
A	Appendix: Microlocal analysis, a review	111
B	Appendix: Almost analytic manifolds, functions and vector fields	130

#### **1** Introduction and statement of the main results

Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , (see Definition 2.1) and take a smooth Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  so that  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)$  is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$  and (u | v) is real if u, v are real tangent vectors, where  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X) = \overline{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)}$  and  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  is the complexified tangent bundle. For  $p \in X$ , let  $L_p$  be the Levi form of X at p. (See (1.1) and Definition 2.6.) Given q,  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ , the Levi form is said to satisfy condition Y(q) at  $p \in X$  if for any |J| = q,  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$ ,  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_q \le n - 1$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{j\notin J}\lambda_j-\sum_{j\in J}\lambda_j\right|<\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_j|,$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., (n-1), are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . (For the precise meaning of the eigenvalues of the Levi form, see Definition 2.8.) If the Levi form is nondegenerate at p, then Y(q) holds at p if and only if  $q \neq n_-, n_+$ , where  $(n_-, n_+)$ is the signature of  $L_p$ , i.e. the number of negative eigenvalues of  $L_p$  is  $n_-$  and  $n_+ + n_- = n - 1$ . Let  $\Box_b$  be the Kohn Laplacian on X (see [6] or section 2) and let  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  denote the restriction to (0, q)-forms. When condition Y(q) holds, Kohn's  $L^2$  estimates give the hypoellipicity with loss of one dervative for the solutions of  $\Box_b^{(q)} u = f$ . (See [11], [6] and section 3.) The Szegö projection is the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  in the  $L^2$  space. When condition Y(q)fails, one is interested in the Szegö projection on the level of (0, q)-forms. Beals and Greiner (see [1]) used the Heisenberg group to obtain the principal term of the Szegö projection. Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand (see [9]) obtained the full asymptotic expansion for the Szegö projection in the case of functions. We have been influenced by these works. The main inspiration for the present paper comes from Berman and Sjöstrand [3].

We now start to formulate the main results. First, we introduce some notations. Let *E* be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$ . The fiber of *E* at  $x \in \Omega$  will be denoted by  $E_x$ . Let  $Y \subset \Omega$  be an open set. From now on, the spaces of smooth sections of *E* over *Y* and distribution sections of *E* over *Y*  will be denoted by  $C^{\infty}(Y; E)$  and  $\mathscr{D}'(Y; E)$  respectively. Let  $\mathscr{E}'(Y; E)$  be the subspace of  $\mathscr{D}'(Y; E)$  whose elements have compact support in *Y*. For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $H^s(Y; E)$  denote the Sobolev space of order *s* of sections of *E* over *Y*.

Let  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  be the complexified cotangent bundle. The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  that we shall also denote by ( | ). Let  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$  be the bundle of (0, *q*)-forms of *X*. (See (2.5).) The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  induces a Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$  (see (2.3)) also denoted by ( | ).

We take (dm) as the induced volume form on *X*. In local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$ , we represent the Hermitian inner product (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  by

$$(u \mid v) = \langle Hu, \overline{v} \rangle, \ u, v \in \mathbb{C}T(X),$$

where  $H(x) \in C^{\infty}$  and H(x) is positive definite at each point. Let h(x) denote the determinant of H. The induced volume form on X is given by

$$dm = \sqrt{h(x)}dx$$

Let ( | ) be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g) = \int_{X} (f(z) \mid g(z))(dm), \ f, g \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)).$$

Let

$$\pi: L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$$

be the Szegö projection, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box_h^{(q)}$ . Let

$$K_{\pi}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X)))$$

be the distribution kernel of  $\pi$  with respect to (dm). Here  $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))$ is the vector bundle with fiber over (x, y) consisting of the linear maps from  $\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X)$  to  $\Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X)$ . Formally,

$$(\pi u)(x) = \int K_{\pi}(x,y)u(y)\sqrt{h(y)}dy, \ u(y) \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)).$$

We pause and recall a general fact of distribution theory. (See Hörmander [17].) Let *E* and *F* be  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold *M* equipped with a smooth density of integration. Let

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(M; E) \to \mathscr{D}'(M; F)$$

with distribution kernel

$$K_A(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(E_y, F_x)).$$

Then the following two statements are equivalent

- (a) A is continuous:  $\mathscr{E}'(M; E) \to C^{\infty}(M; F)$ ,
- (b)  $K_A \in C^{\infty}(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(E_y, F_x)).$

If A satisfies (a) or (b), we say that A is smoothing. Let

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(M; E) \to \mathscr{D}'(M; F).$$

From now on, we write  $K_B(x, y)$  or B(x, y) to denote the distribution kernel of *B* and we write  $A \equiv B$  or  $A \equiv B \mod C^{\infty}$  if A - B is a smoothing operator. *A* is smoothing if and only if *A* is continuous

$$A: H^s_{\text{comp}}(M; E) \to H^{s+N}_{\text{loc}}(M; F) \text{ for all } N \ge 0, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$H^s_{\text{loc}}(M; F) = \left\{ u \in \mathscr{D}'(M; F); \varphi u \in H^s(M; F); \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M) \right\}$$

and

$$H^{s}_{\text{comp}}(M; E) = H^{s}_{\text{loc}}(M; E) \bigcap \mathscr{E}'(M; E).$$

For  $z \in X$ , let  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X) = \overline{\Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)}$  and let  $\Lambda^{1,0} T^*(X)$  denote the vector bundle with fiber  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X)$  at  $z \in X$ . Locally we can choose an orthonormal frame

$$\omega_1(z),\ldots,\omega_{n-1}(z)$$

for  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X)$ , then

$$\overline{\omega}_1(z),\ldots,\overline{\omega}_{n-1}(z)$$

is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ . The (2n-2)-form

$$\omega = i^{n-1}\omega_1 \wedge \overline{\omega}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{n-1}$$

is real and is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame. Thus  $\omega$  can be considered as a globally defined (2n-2)-form. Locally there is a real 1-form  $\omega_0(z)$  of length one which is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ .  $\omega_0(z)$  is unique up to the choice of sign. Since X is orientable, there is a nowhere vanishing (2n-1)-form Q on X. Thus,  $\omega_0$  can be specified uniquely by requiring that

$$\omega \wedge \omega_0 = fQ$$
,

where *f* is a positive function. Therefore  $\omega_0$ , so chosen, is a uniquely determined global 1-form. We call  $\omega_0$  the uniquely determined global real 1-form.

Since (u | v) is real if u, v are real tangent vectors, there is a real non-vanishing vector field Y which is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$ . We write  $\langle , \rangle$  to denote

the duality between  $T_z(X)$  and  $T_z^*(X)$ . We extend  $\langle , \rangle$  bilinearly to  $\mathbb{C}T_z(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)$ . We take *Y* so that

$$\langle Y, \omega_0 \rangle = -1, ||Y|| = 1$$

Therefore *Y* is uniquely determined. We call *Y* the uniquely determined global real vector field.

We recall that the Levi form  $L_p$ ,  $p \in X$ , is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$  defined as follows:

For any 
$$Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$$
, pick  $\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{1,0} T(X))$  that satisfy  
 $\widetilde{Z}(p) = Z, \widetilde{W}(p) = W$ . Then  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle$ .
(1.1)

Let  $\Sigma$  be the characteristic manifold of  $\Box_h^{(q)}$ . We have

$$\Sigma = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_0(x), \lambda \neq 0\}.$$

Put

$$\Sigma^{+} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda > 0\},$$
  
$$\Sigma^{-} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda < 0\}.$$

We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. Then the Levi form has constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . We define

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma^{+} \text{ if } n_{+} = q \neq n_{-},$$
  

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma^{-} \text{ if } n_{-} = q \neq n_{+},$$
  

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma^{+} \bigcup \Sigma^{-} \text{ if } n_{+} = q = n_{-}.$$

The main result of this work is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , with a Hermitian metric (|). (See Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2.) We assume that the Levi form L is non-degenerate at each point of X. Then, the Levi form has constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . Let  $q = n_-$  or  $n_+$ . Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Then  $\pi$  is a well defined continuous operator

$$\pi: H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

WF'(
$$K_{\pi}$$
) = diag( $\hat{\Sigma} \times \hat{\Sigma}$ ),

where

Here  $WF(K_{\pi})$  is the wave front set of  $K_{\pi}$  in the sense of Hörmander [14]. Moreover, we have

$$K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^{+}}$$
 if  $n_{+} = q \neq n_{-}$ ,  
 $K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^{-}}$  if  $n_{-} = q \neq n_{+}$ ,  
 $K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^{+}} + K_{\pi^{-}}$  if  $n_{+} = q = n_{-}$ ,

where  $K_{\pi^+}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$K_{\pi^+}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_+(x,y)t} s_+(x,y,t) dt \mod C^\infty$$

with

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$$

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x, y) t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$ 

where  $S_{1,0}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the Hörmander symbol space (see Appendix A for a review and references),

$$s^{j}_{+}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{x}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\phi_+(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\phi_{+}(x,x) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\phi_+(x,y) \neq 0 \quad if \ x \neq y, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\phi_{+}(x,y) \ge 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$d_x\phi_+ \neq 0, \ d_y\phi_+ \neq 0 \ where \ \operatorname{Im}\phi_+ = 0,$$
 (1.6)

$$d_x \phi_+(x, y)|_{x=y} = \omega_0(x), \tag{1.7}$$

$$d_y \phi_+(x, y)|_{x=y} = -\omega_0(x), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\phi_{+}(x,y) = -\phi_{+}(y,x). \tag{1.9}$$

Similarly,

$$K_{\pi^-}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t} s_-(x,y,t) dt \mod C^\infty$$

with

$$\begin{split} s_{-}(x, y, t) &\in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \\ s_{-}(x, y, t) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{-}^{j}(x, y) t^{n-1-j} \\ in the symbol space \ S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \end{split}$$

where

$$s_{-}^{j}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and when  $q = n_- = n_+$ ,

$$\phi_{-}(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_{+}(x,y).$$

Formulas for  $s^0_+(x,x)$  and  $s^0_-(x,x)$  will be given in Proposition 1.7 and Proposition 1.8. More properties of the phase  $\phi_+(x,y)$  will be given in Theorem 1.4 and Remark 1.5 below.

*Remark* 1.2. We notice that if Y(q - 1) and Y(q + 1) hold then  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. (See section 7.)

*Remark* 1.3. If  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  is non-orientable, we also have results similar to Theorem 1.1. (See section 10.)

In the rest of this section, we assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. The phase  $\phi_+(x, y)$  is not unique. we can replace  $\phi_+(x, y)$  by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x,y) = f(x,y)\phi_+(x,y), \qquad (1.10)$$

where  $f(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$  is real and f(x, x) = 1, f(x, y) = f(y, x). Then  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies (1.2)-(1.9). We work with local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We want to know the Hessian

$$(\phi_{+})'' = \begin{bmatrix} (\phi_{+})''_{xx} & (\phi_{+})''_{xy} \\ (\phi_{+})''_{yx} & (\phi_{+})''_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

of  $\phi_+$  at  $(p, p) \in X \times X$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathbb{C}T_p(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_p(X)$ . From (1.10), we can check that

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\phi}''(p,p)U,V \right\rangle = \left\langle (\phi_{+})''(p,p)U,V \right\rangle + \left\langle df(p,p),U \right\rangle \left\langle d\phi_{+}(p,p),V \right\rangle \\ + \left\langle df(p,p),V \right\rangle \left\langle d\phi_{+}(p,p),U \right\rangle.$$

Thus, the Hessian  $(\phi_+)''$  of  $\phi_+$  at (p, p) is only well-defined on the space

$$T_{(p,p)}H_{+} = \left\{ W \in \mathbb{C}T_{p}(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_{p}(X); \left\langle d\phi_{+}(p,p), W \right\rangle = 0 \right\}$$

In section 8, we will define  $T_{(p,p)}H_+$  as the tangent space of the formal hypersurface  $H_+$  (see (8.46)) at  $(p, p) \in X \times X$ . In view of (1.7) and (1.8), we see that  $T_{(p,p)}H_+$  is spanned by

$$(u, v), (Y(p), Y(p)), u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X).$$

We define the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at (p, p) as the bilinear map:

$$T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \times T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \to \mathbb{C},$$
  
$$(U,V) \to \left\langle (\phi_{+}^{\prime\prime})(p,p)U,V \right\rangle, \ U,V \in T_{(p,p)}H_{+}.$$

For  $p \in X$ , we take local coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on some neighborhood of p such that

$$\omega_0(p) = \sqrt{2} dx_{2n-1}, x(p) = 0.$$

If  $\hat{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$ ,  $\hat{\phi}(p,p) = 0$ ,  $d_{x,y}\hat{\phi}(p,p) = d_{x,y}\phi_+(p,p)$  and the tangential Hessian of  $\hat{\phi}(x,y)$  at (p,p) is the same as the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x,y)$  at (p,p), then

$$\hat{\phi}(x, y', x_{2n-1}) - \phi_+(x, y', x_{2n-1}) = O(|(x, y')|^3)$$

in some neighborhood of (p, p), where  $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-2})$ . Moreover, we have the following (see section 9)

**Theorem 1.4.** For  $p \in X$ , let

$$U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$  varying smoothly with x in a neighborhood of p, for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. We take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

defined on some neighborhood of p such that

$$\omega_0(p) = \sqrt{2} dx_{2n-1}, \ x(p) = 0,$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = 2\delta_{j,k}, \ j, k = 1, \dots, 2n-1$$

and

$$U_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n-2} c_{j,s}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

 $a_j \in C^{\infty}$ ,  $a_j(0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_k}(0) = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_j}(0)$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$c_{j,s}(x) \in C^{\infty}, \ c_{j,s}(0) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1, \ s = 1, \dots, 2n-2$$

(This is always possible. see section 9.) We also write

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}), \quad w_j = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1}) + i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \lambda_{j} \right| \left| z_{j} - w_{j} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}z_{k} - \overline{w}_{j}w_{k}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( i\lambda_{j}(z_{j}\overline{w}_{j} - \overline{z}_{j}w_{j}) + \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(z_{j}x_{2n-1} - w_{j}y_{2n-1}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}x_{2n-1} - \overline{w}_{j}y_{2n-1}) \right) + \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1})f(x,y) + O(\left| (x,y) \right|^{3}), \\ f \in C^{\infty}, \ f(0,0) = 0, \ f(x,y) = \overline{f}(y,x), \end{split}$$
(1.11)

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ .

Remark 1.5. We use the same notations as in Theorem 1.4. Since

$$\frac{\partial \phi_+}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0,0) \neq 0,$$

from the Malgrange preparation theorem (see Theorem B.6), we have

$$\phi_{+}(x,y) = g(x,y)(\sqrt{2x_{2n-1}} + h(x',y))$$

in some neighborhood of (0,0), where  $g, h \in C^{\infty}$ , g(0,0) = 1, h(0,0) = 0 and  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2})$ . Put

$$\hat{\phi}(x,y) = \sqrt{2}x_{2n-1} + h(x',y).$$

From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Proposition B.21), we see that  $\phi_+(x,y)$  and  $\hat{\phi}(x,y)$  are equivalent at  $(p,\omega_0(p))$  in the sense of Melin-Sjöstrand (see Definition B.20). Since  $\phi_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y,x)$ , we can replace the phase  $\phi_+(x,y)$  by

$$\frac{\hat{\phi}(x,y)-\overline{\hat{\phi}}(y,x)}{2}.$$

Then  $\phi_+(x, y)$  satisfies (1.2)-(1.9). Moreover, we can check that

$$\begin{split} \phi_{+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1}) + i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \lambda_{j} \right| \left| z_{j} - w_{j} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(z_{j}\overline{z}_{k} - w_{j}\overline{w}_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}z_{k} - \overline{w}_{j}w_{k}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( i \lambda_{j}(z_{j}\overline{w}_{j} - \overline{z}_{j}w_{j}) + \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(z_{j}x_{2n-1} - w_{j}y_{2n-1}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}x_{2n-1} - \overline{w}_{j}y_{2n-1}) \right) + O(\left| (x,y) \right|^{3}), \end{split}$$

$$(1.12)$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . (Compare (1.12) with (1.11).)

We have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1. (See section 9.)

Corollary 1.6. There exist smooth functions

$$F_{+}, G_{+}, F_{-}, G_{-} \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X)))$$

such that

$$K_{\pi^+} = F_+(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_+\log(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0)),$$
  
$$K_{\pi^-} = F_-(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_-\log(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$F_{+} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{+}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k} + f_{+}(x,y)(\phi_{+}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$F_{-} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{-}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k} + f_{-}(x,y)(\phi_{-}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$G_{+} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{+}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k},$$

$$G_{-} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{-}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k},$$
(1.13)

where

$$f_+(x,y), f_-(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))).$$

If  $w \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ , let

$$w^{\wedge,*}: \Lambda^{0,q+1}T_z^*(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q}T_z^*(X), q \ge 0,$$

be the adjoint of left exterior multiplication

$$w^{\wedge}: \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*_z(X).$$

That is,

$$(w^{\wedge}u \mid v) = (u \mid w^{\wedge,*}v),$$

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(X)$ . Notice that  $w^{\wedge,*}$  depends anti-linearly on w.

In section 9, we compute  $F_+(x, x)$  and  $F_-(x, x)$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** *For a given point*  $x_0 \in X$ *, let* 

$$U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at  $x_0$ . Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $\overline{U}_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that  $q = n_+$  and that

$$\lambda_j(x_0) > 0 \ if \ 1 \le j \le n_+.$$

Then

$$F_{+}(x_{0},x_{0}) = (n-1)!\frac{1}{2} |\lambda_{1}(x_{0})| \cdots |\lambda_{n-1}(x_{0})| \pi^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{j=n_{+}} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge,*}.$$

**Proposition 1.8.** For a given point  $x_0 \in X$ , let

$$U_1(x),\ldots,U_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at  $x_0$ . Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $\overline{U}_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that  $q = n_-$  and that

$$\lambda_j(x_0) < 0 \quad if \quad 1 \le j \le n_-.$$

Then

$$F_{-}(x_{0},x_{0}) = (n-1)!\frac{1}{2}|\lambda_{1}(x_{0})|\cdots|\lambda_{n-1}(x_{0})|\pi^{-n}\prod_{j=1}^{j=n-}e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge}e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge,*}.$$

In the rest of this section, we will explain how to prove Theorem 1.1. Let *M* be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let *f*,  $g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ . We write

 $f \asymp g$ 

if for every compact set  $K \subset M$  there is a constant  $c_K > 0$  such that

$$f \leq c_K g, g \leq c_K f$$
 on K.

We will prove the following

**Proposition 1.9.** Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , with a Hermitian metric (| ). Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form. Let  $q = n_-$  or  $n_+$ . Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Then for every local coordinate patch U with local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ , the distribution kernel of  $\pi$  on  $U \times U$  is of the form

$$K_{\pi}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta \mod C^{\infty},$$
$$a(\infty,x,\eta) \in S^0_{1,0}(T^*(U); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(U))),$$

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta)$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{0}(T^{*}(U); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U)))$ 

where  $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(U), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(U))$  is the vector bundle with fiber  $(x, \eta)$  consisting of linear maps from  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_x(U)$  to  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_x(U)$ ,

$$a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^{*}(U); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U))), \quad j = 0, 1, ...,$$
$$a_{j}(\infty, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda^{-j} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta), \lambda \ge 1, \quad |\eta| \ge 1, \quad j = 0, 1, ....$$

Here

$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(U)),$$
  
$$\psi(\infty, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda\psi(\infty, x, \eta), \lambda > 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(\infty, x, \eta) \asymp \left|\eta\right| (\operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}), \Sigma))^2.$$

Moreover, for all  $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ ,

 $\begin{cases} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \text{ in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^{+}, & \text{if } q = n_{-}, n_{-} \neq n_{+} \\ a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \text{ in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^{-}, & \text{if } q = n_{+}, n_{-} \neq n_{+}. \end{cases}$  (1.14)

From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Melin-Sjöstrand [18] and section 8), we get Theorem 1.1.

Now, we sketch the proof of Proposition 1.9. We will use the heat equation method. We work with some real local coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We assume that  $q = n_-$  or  $q = n_+$ . We will say that  $a \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  is quasi-homogeneous of degree *j* if

$$a(t,x,\lambda\eta) = \lambda^{j} a(\lambda t,x,\eta)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})u(t, x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega\\ u(0, x) = v(x) \end{cases}$$
(1.15)

We shall start by making only a formal construction. We look for an approximate solution of (1.15) of the form

$$u(t,x) = A(t)v(x)$$

$$A(t)v(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)v(y)dyd\eta \qquad (1.16)$$

where formally

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta),$$

 $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a matrix-valued quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j. We let the full symbol of  $\Box_i^{(q)}$  be:

full symbol of 
$$\Box_b^{(q)} = \sum_{j=0}^2 p_j(x,\xi)$$

where  $p_i(x,\xi)$  is positively homogeneous of order 2-j in the sense that

$$p_j(x,\lambda\eta) = \lambda^{2-j} p_j(x,\eta), \ |\eta| \ge 1, \ \lambda \ge 1.$$

We apply  $\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}$  formally inside the integral in (1.16) and then introduce the asymptotic expansion of  $\Box_b^{(q)}(ae^{i\psi})$ . Set  $(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(ae^{i\psi}) \sim 0$  and regroup the terms according to the degree of quasi-homogeneity. The phase  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  should solve

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - i p_0(x, \psi'_x) = O(\left| \operatorname{Im} \psi \right|^N), \ \forall N \ge 0\\ \psi|_{t=0} = \langle x, \eta \rangle \end{cases}.$$
(1.17)

This equation can be solved with  $\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta) \ge 0$  and the phase  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  is quasi-homogeneous of degree 1. Moreover,

$$\psi(t, x, \eta) = \langle x, \eta \rangle \text{ on } \Sigma, \ d_{x,\eta}(\psi - \langle x, \eta \rangle) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma,$$
  
$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta) \asymp (\left|\eta\right| \frac{t \left|\eta\right|}{1 + t \left|\eta\right|}) \operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{\left|\eta\right|}), \Sigma))^{2}, \ \left|\eta\right| \ge 1.$$

Furthermore, there exists a function  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  with a uniquely determined Taylor expansion at each point of  $\Sigma$  such that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$  there is a constant  $c_K > 0$  such that

Im 
$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \ge c_K \left| \eta \right| (\operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}), \Sigma))^2, \ \left| \eta \right| \ge 1.$$

If  $\lambda \in C(T^*\Omega \setminus 0)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1 and  $\lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min \lambda_j$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$ , where  $\pm i\lambda_j$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ , then the solution  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  of (1.17) can be chosen so that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}$  such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}\partial_t^{\gamma}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\psi(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}e^{-\lambda(x,\eta)t} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K.$$

(For the detail, see Menikoff-Sjöstrand[20] or section 4.)

We obtain the transport equations

$$\begin{cases} T(t, x, \eta, \partial_t, \partial_x) a_0 = O(\left|\operatorname{Im} \psi\right|^N), \,\forall N \\ T(t, x, \eta, \partial_t, \partial_x) a_j + l_j(t, x, \eta, a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im} \psi\right|^N), \,\forall N. \end{cases}$$
(1.18)

Let  $p_0^s$  denote the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  (invariantly defined on  $\Sigma$ ). (For the precise meaning of subprincipal symbols, see Definition A.10 and Definition A.26.) Let  $F_\rho$  be the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  at  $\rho \in \Sigma$ . (For the precise meaning of the fundamental matrix, see the discussion before Remark A.43.) We write  $\tilde{tr} F_\rho$  to denote  $\sum |\lambda_j|$ , where  $\pm i\lambda_j$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of  $F_\rho$ . Let

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F) = \inf\left\{\lambda; \lambda: \text{eigenvalue of } p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F\right\}.$$

We have on  $\Sigma^+$ 

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,F) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_+ \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_+ \end{cases}$$
(1.19)

 $\operatorname{On}\Sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ 

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,F) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_- \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_- \end{cases}$$
(1.20)

Let

$$c_j(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))), \ j=0,1,\ldots$$

be positively homogeneous functions of degree -j. In section 5, we shall show that we can find solutions

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta)$$

of the system (1.18) with

$$a_{i}(0, x, \eta) = c_{i}(x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a matrix-valued  $C^{\infty}$  quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j. Moreover,  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  has unique Taylor expansions on  $\Sigma$ , for all j. Furthermore, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  and all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{\gamma}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a_{j}(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0}t|\eta|}(1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$
  
on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times (K \bigcap \Sigma^{+})$  if  $q = n_{-}, n_{-} \neq n_{+}$  (1.21)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a_j(t,x,\eta)\right| &\leq c \, e^{-\varepsilon_0 t \, |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \\ \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times (K \bigcap \Sigma^-) \text{ if } q = n_+, n_- \neq n_+. \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

Let

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta)$$

be the solutions of the system (1.18) with

$$a(0, x, \eta) = I,$$

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  matrix-valued quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j and  $I \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega)))$  is the identity map. We write

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(e^{i\psi}b) \sim 0$$

if *b* solves the system (1.18), where  $b(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(t,x,\eta)$ ,  $b_j(t,x,\eta)$  is a matrix-valued quasi-homogeneous function of degree m - j,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We use

$$\overline{\partial}_{b} \Box_{b}^{(q)} = \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}, \quad \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*} \Box_{b}^{(q)} = \Box_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*}$$

and get

$$\partial_t (\overline{\partial}_b (e^{i\psi} a)) + \Box_b^{(q+1)} (\overline{\partial}_b (e^{i\psi} a)) \sim 0$$
  
$$\partial_t (\overline{\partial_b}^* (e^{i\psi} a)) + \Box_b^{(q-1)} (\overline{\partial_b}^* (e^{i\psi} a)) \sim 0.$$

Put

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}\hat{a}, \ \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*}(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}\widetilde{a}$$

We have

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q+1)})(e^{i\psi}\hat{a}) \sim 0,$$
  
$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q-1)})(e^{i\psi}\tilde{a}) \sim 0.$$

In view of (1.21) and (1.22) (see Proposition 5.7), we see that  $\hat{a}$  and  $\tilde{a}$  satisfy the same decay estimates as in (1.21) or (1.22). This also applies to

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)}(ae^{i\psi}) = \overline{\partial}_{b}(\overline{\partial_{b}}^{*}ae^{i\psi}) + \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*}(\overline{\partial}_{b}ae^{i\psi})$$
$$= \overline{\partial}_{b}(e^{i\psi}\widetilde{a}) + \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*}(e^{i\psi}\widehat{a}).$$

Thus,  $\partial_t(ae^{i\psi})$  satisfies the same decay estimates as in (1.21) or (1.22). Since  $\partial_t \psi$  satisfies the same decay estimates as in (1.21) or (1.22),  $\partial_t a$  satisfies the same decay estimates as in (1.21) or (1.22). Hence, there exist

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

positively homogeneous of degree -j, and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  and all indices  $\alpha, \beta, j$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(a_j(t,x,\eta)-a_j(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|} \tag{1.23}$$

and for all j = 0, 1, ...,

all derivatives of 
$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$$
 vanish at  $\Sigma^+$ , if  $q = n_-, n_- \neq n_+$   
all derivatives of  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  vanish at  $\Sigma^-$ , if  $q = n_+, n_- \neq n_+$  (1.24)

Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . We formally set

$$G = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt d\eta$$

and

$$S = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) d\eta$$

In section 6, we will show that *G* is a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . In section 7, we will show that

$$S + \Box_h^{(q)} \circ G \equiv I$$

and

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ S \equiv 0$$

If  $\Box_h^{(q)}$  has closed range, then

$$N\Box_b^{(q)} + \pi = I = \Box_b^{(q)}N + \pi,$$

where *N* is the partial inverse of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . It is not difficult to see that

 $\pi \equiv S$ 

and

$$N \equiv (I - S)G$$

(See section 8.)

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank his advisor Johannes Sjöstrand for his patience, guidance and inspiration.

## **2** Cauchy-Riemann manifolds, $\overline{\partial}_b$ -Complex and $\Box_b$ , a review

We will give a brief discussion of the basic elements of CR geometry in a setting appropriate for our purpose. General references for this section are the books Boggess [5], Chen-Shaw [6].

Let *X* be a real compact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $T_p(X)$  and  $T_p^*(X)$  be the tangent space of *X* at *p* and the cotangent space of *X* at *p* respectively. We write T(X) and  $T^*(X)$  to denote the bundles with fibers  $T_z(X)$  and  $T_z^*(X)$  at  $z \in X$  respectively. Let  $\mathbb{C}T_p(X)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T_p^*(X)$  be the complexified tangent space of *X* at *p* and the complexified cotangent space of *X* at *p* respectively. That is,

$$\mathbb{C}T_p(X) = \{u + iv; u, v \in T_p(X)\}, \ \mathbb{C}T_p^*(X) = \{u + iv; u, v \in T_p^*(X)\}.$$

We write  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  to denote the bundles with fibers  $\mathbb{C}T_z(X)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)$  at  $z \in X$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** Let *X* be a real  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)$  be a subbundle of  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$ . The pair  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  is called a CR manifold or a CR structure if

- (a) dim  $_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X) = n-1, p \in X$ ,
- (b)  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \bigcap \Lambda^{0,1}T(X) = 0$ , where  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(X) = \overline{\Lambda^{1,0}T(X)}$ ,
- (c) For any  $V_1$ ,  $V_2 \in C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ , the Lie bracket  $[V_1, V_2] \in C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ , where *U* is any open subset of *X*.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a CR manifold. A Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  is a complex inner product ( | ) on each  $\mathbb{C}T_p(X)$  depending smoothly on p with the properties that  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$  is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_p(X)$  and (u | v) is real if u, v are real tangent vectors.

Until further notice, we assume that  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  is a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ , and we fix a Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$ . Then there is a real non-vanishing vector field *Y* on *X* which is pointwise orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T(X)$ .

We write  $\langle , \rangle$  to denote the duality between  $T_z(X)$  and  $T_z^*(X)$ . We extend  $\langle , \rangle$  bilinearly to  $\mathbb{C}T_z(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)$ .

The Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(X)$  that we shall also denote by (|) in the following way. For a given point  $z \in X$ , let  $\Gamma$  be the anti-linear map

$$\Gamma: \mathbb{C} T_z(X) \to \mathbb{C} T_z^*(X)$$

defined by

$$(u \mid v) = \langle u, \Gamma v \rangle, \ u, v \in \mathbb{C} T_z(X).$$

$$(2.1)$$

For  $\omega$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)$ , we put

$$(\omega \mid \mu) = (\Gamma^{-1}\mu \mid \Gamma^{-1}\omega). \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the vector bundle of r forms of X. That is, the fiber of  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))$  at  $z \in X$  is the vector space  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*_z(X))$  of all finite sums of

$$V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_r, V_j \in \mathbb{C} T_z^*(X), j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Here  $\wedge$  denotes the wedge product. The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))$  is defined by

$$(u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_r \mid v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_r) = \det \left( (u_j \mid v_k) \right)_{1 \le j,k \le r},$$
  
$$u_j, v_k \in \mathbb{C}T^*(X), \ j, k = 1, \dots, r,$$
(2.3)

and we extend the definition to arbitrary forms by sesqui-linearity.

Similarly, let  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T(X))$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the vector bundle with fiber  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T_z(X))$  at  $z \in X$ , the set of all finite sums of

$$V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_r, V_j \in \mathbb{C} T_z(X), j = 1, \ldots, r.$$

The duality  $\langle,\rangle$  between  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T(X))$  and  $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))$  is defined by

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_r, u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_r \rangle = \det \left( \left\langle v_j, u_k \right\rangle \right)_{1 \le j,k \le r},$$
  
 $u_j \in \mathbb{C}T^*(X), v_j \in \mathbb{C}T(X), j = 1, \dots, r.$ 

and we extend the definition by bilinearity.

For  $z \in X$ , let  $v \in \mathbb{C}T_z(X)$ . For  $0 \le r \le (2n-2)$ , the contraction

$$\nu^{\perp}: \Lambda^{r+1}(\mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)) \to \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T_z^*(X))$$

is defined by

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r, v^{\perp} u \rangle = \langle v \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r, u \rangle$$

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{r+1}(\mathbb{C}T_z^*(X)), v_j \in \mathbb{C}T_z(X), j = 1, \dots, r.$ 

We have the pointwise orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}T(X) = \Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T(X) \oplus \mathbb{C}Y.$$
(2.4)

Define the bundle  $\Lambda^{1,0} T^*(X)$  of type (1,0) by

$$\Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X) = (\Lambda^{0,1}T(X) \oplus \mathbb{C}Y)^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{C}T^*(X).$$

Similarly, we set

$$\Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X) = (\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \mathbb{C}Y)^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{C}T^*(X).$$

For  $z \in X$ ,  $u \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_z(X)$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z(X) \oplus \mathbb{C} Y(z)$ , we have

 $\langle v, \Gamma u \rangle = (v \mid u) = 0,$ 

where  $\Gamma$  is as in (2.1). Thus,  $\Gamma \Lambda^{1,0} T_z(X) \subset \Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X)$ . Since

$$\dim \Gamma \Lambda^{1,0} T_z(X) = \dim \Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X) = n - 1,$$

we have

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X) = \Gamma \Lambda^{1,0} T_z(X)$$

Similarly,

$$\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(X) = \Gamma\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(X)$$

For  $z \in X$ ,  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ , we have

$$(\omega \mid \mu) = (\Gamma^{-1}\mu \mid \Gamma^{-1}\omega).$$

Since  $\Gamma^{-1}\omega \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_z(X)$ ,  $\Gamma^{-1}\mu \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z(X)$ , we have

$$(\omega \mid \mu) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X)$  is pointwise orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X)$ . For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X) = \Lambda^q(\Lambda^{0,1} T^*(X)).$$
(2.5)

That is, the fiber of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)$  at  $z \in X$  is the vector space  $\Lambda^q(\Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X))$  of all finite sums of

$$V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_q$$
,  $V_j \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, q$ .

Note that  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X) = 0$  if  $q \ge n$ . We use the Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(X)$ , that is naturally obtained from  $\Lambda^q(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))$ . Similarly, for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^{0,q} T(X)$  be the vector bundle with fiber  $\Lambda^q(\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(X))$  at  $z \in X$ , the set of all finite sums of

$$V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_q, V_j \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z(X), j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Let

$$d: C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{r}(\mathbb{C}T^{*}(X))) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{r+1}(\mathbb{C}T^{*}(X)))$$

be the usual exterior derivative. We recall that the exterior derivative d has the following properties, where (b), (c) are special case of Cartan's formula:

$$\mathscr{L}_{v}\omega = v^{\perp}d\omega + d(v^{\perp}\omega).$$

Here *v* is a smooth vector field,  $\omega$  is a *q*-form and  $\mathscr{L}_v \omega$  is the Lie derivative of  $\omega$  along *v*.

- (a) If  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$  then  $\langle V, df \rangle = V(f), V \in C^{\infty}(X; \mathbb{C}T(X))$ .
- (b) If  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(X; \mathbb{C}T^*(X))$  then

$$\langle V_1 \wedge V_2, d\phi \rangle = V_1(\langle V_2, \phi \rangle) - V_2(\langle V_1, \phi \rangle) - \langle [V_1, V_2], \phi \rangle, \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $V_1$ ,  $V_2 \in C^{\infty}(X; \mathbb{C}T(X))$ .

(c) If  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{q-1}(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))), q \ge 2$ , then

$$\left\langle V_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q}, d\phi \right\rangle = -\left\langle V_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q}, d(V_{1}^{\perp}\phi) \right\rangle$$

$$+ V_{1}(\left\langle V_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q}, \phi \right\rangle)$$

$$- \left\langle [V_{1}, V_{2}] \wedge V_{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q}, \phi \right\rangle$$

$$- \cdots - \left\langle V_{2} \wedge V_{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge [V_{1}, V_{q}], \phi \right\rangle,$$

$$(2.7)$$

where  $V_j \in C^{\infty}(X; \mathbb{C}T(X)), j = 1, \dots, q$ .

(d) For 
$$\phi_1 \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))), \phi_2 \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^s(\mathbb{C}T^*(X)))$$
, we have  
$$d(\phi_1 \land \phi_2) = d\phi_1 \land \phi_2 + (-1)^r \phi_1 \land d\phi_2.$$

(e)  $d^2 = 0$ .

Let

$$\pi^{0,q}:\Lambda^q(\mathbb{C}T^*(X))\to\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)$$

be the orthogonal projection map.

Definition 2.3. The tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator:

$$\overline{\partial}_b: C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X))$$

is defined by

$$\overline{\partial}_b = \pi^{0,q+1} \circ d.$$

We will show that

$$\overline{\partial}_b: C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X))$$

is a complex, i.e.  $\overline{\partial}_b^2 = 0$ . This will follow from the equation  $d^2 = 0$  and some computations. We need the following

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $q \ge 1$ . Let  $\omega$  be a smooth q-form. We assume that  $\omega(x)$  annihilates  $\Lambda^{0,q} T_x(X)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(d \omega)(x)$  annihilates  $\Lambda^{0,q+1} T_x(X)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction over *q*. For q = 1, we let

$$V_1, V_2 \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,1} T(X)),$$

then

$$\langle V_1 \wedge V_2, d\omega \rangle = V_1(\langle V_2, \omega \rangle) - V_2(\langle V_1, \omega \rangle) - \langle [V_1, V_2], \omega \rangle$$

Since  $[V_1, V_2] \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,1}T(X))$ , we have  $\langle [V_1, V_2], \omega \rangle = 0$ . Thus,

$$\langle V_1 \wedge V_2, d \omega \rangle = 0.$$

Let  $q \ge 2$ . Let  $\omega$  be a smooth q-form. We assume that  $\omega(x)$  annihilates  $\Lambda^{0,q} T_x(X)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Let

$$V_1,\ldots,V_{q+1}\in C^{\infty}(X;\Lambda^{0,1}T(X)).$$

From (2.7), we have

$$\left\langle V_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d\omega \right\rangle = -\left\langle V_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d(V_{1}^{\perp}\omega) \right\rangle + V_{1}(\left\langle V_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, \omega \right\rangle) \\ -\left\langle [V_{1}, V_{2}] \wedge V_{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, \omega \right\rangle - \cdots - \left\langle V_{2} \wedge V_{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge [V_{1}, V_{q+1}], \omega \right\rangle.$$

Since

$$[V_1, V_j] \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,1}T(X)), j = 2, \dots, q+1,$$

and

$$V_1(\langle V_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, \omega \rangle) = 0,$$

we have

$$\langle V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d\omega \rangle = - \langle V_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d(V_1^{\neg}\omega) \rangle.$$

By the induction assumption, we have

$$\left\langle V_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d(V_1^{\perp}\omega) \right\rangle = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\langle V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{q+1}, d\omega \rangle = 0.$$

The lemma follows.

Proposition 2.5. We have

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}^{2} = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ , then

$$0 = d^2 f = d(\pi^{0,q+1} df + (I - \pi^{0,q+1}) df).$$

Now  $(I - \pi^{0,q+1})df$  annihilates  $\Lambda^{0,q+1}T(X)$  pointwise. In view of Lemma 2.4, we see that

$$\pi^{0,q+2}d((I-\pi^{0,q+1})df)=0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi^{0,q+2} d^2 f \\ &= \pi^{0,q+2} d \pi^{0,q+1} df + \pi^{0,q+2} d((I - \pi^{0,q+1}) df) \\ &= \pi^{0,q+2} d \pi^{0,q+1} df \\ &= \overline{\partial}_b^2 f. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows.

We take (dm) as the induced volume form on *X* introduced in the begining of the introduction. Let (|) be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g) = \int_{X} (f(z) \mid g(z))(dm), \ f, g \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)).$$
(2.8)

Let  $\overline{\partial_b}^*$  be the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}_b$ , that is

$$(\overline{\partial}_b f \mid h) = (f \mid \overline{\partial_b}^* h), \ f \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)), h \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X)).$$

 $\overline{\partial_b}^*$  is a first order differential operator and

$$\overline{\partial_b}^* : \dots \leftarrow C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \leftarrow C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X)) \leftarrow \dots$$

is a complex.

If  $w \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $z \in X$ , let

$$w^{\wedge,*}: \Lambda^{0,q+1}T_z^*(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q}T_z^*(X)$$

be the adjoint of left exterior multiplication

$$w^{\wedge}: \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(X).$$

That is,

$$(w^{\wedge}u \mid v) = (u \mid w^{\wedge,*}v),$$

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(X)$ . Notice that  $w^{\wedge,*}$  depends anti-linearly on w. Note that

$$(u \mid w \land v_1 \land \dots \land v_q) = \left\langle \Gamma^{-1} w \land \Gamma^{-1} v_1 \land \dots \land \Gamma^{-1} v_q, u \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \Gamma^{-1} v_1 \land \dots \land \Gamma^{-1} v_q, (\Gamma^{-1} w)^{\perp} u \right\rangle$$
$$= ((\Gamma^{-1} w)^{\perp} u \mid v_1 \land \dots \land v_q)$$

where  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(X)$  and  $v_j \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, q$ . Thus,

$$(\Gamma^{-1}w)^{\lrcorner}u = w^{\wedge,*}u, \ u \in \Lambda^{0,q+1}T_z^*(X).$$

Locally we can choose an orthonormal frame

$$\omega_1(z),\ldots,\omega_{n-1}(z)$$

for  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X)$ , then

 $\overline{\omega}_1(z),\ldots,\overline{\omega}_{n-1}(z)$ 

is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(X)$ . The (2n-2)-form

$$\omega = i^{n-1}\omega_1 \wedge \overline{\omega}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{n-1}$$

is real and is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame. Thus  $\omega$  can be considered as a globally defined (2n - 2)-form. Locally there is a real 1-form  $\omega_0(z)$  of length one which is orthogonal to

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(X).$$

 $\omega_0(z)$  is unique up to the choice of sign. Since *X* is orientable, there is a nowhere vanishing (2n - 1)-form *Q* on *X*. Thus,  $\omega_0$  can be specified uniquely by requiring that

$$\omega \wedge \omega_0 = fQ$$
,

where f is a positive function. Therefore  $\omega_0$ , so chosen, is a uniquely determined global 1-form. We call  $\omega_0$  the uniquely determined global real 1-form.

We have the pointwise orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathbb{C}T^*(X) = \Lambda^{1,0}T^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T^*(X) \oplus \{\lambda\omega_0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$
(2.9)

We take Y (already introduced after Definition 2.2 and (2.4)) so that

$$\langle Y, \omega_0 \rangle = -1, \ \|Y\| = 1.$$

Therefore *Y* is uniquely determined. We call *Y* the uniquely determined global real vector field. Note that

 $\omega_0 = -\Gamma Y.$ 

**Definition 2.6.** For  $p \in X$ , the Levi form  $L_p$  is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$  defined as follows:

For any 
$$Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X)$$
, pick  $\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{1,0} T(X))$  that satisfy  
 $\widetilde{Z}(p) = Z, \widetilde{W}(p) = W$ . Then  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle$ .
$$(2.10)$$

Here

$$[\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}] = \widetilde{Z}\overline{\widetilde{W}} - \overline{\widetilde{W}}\widetilde{Z}$$

denotes the commutator of  $\widetilde{Z}$  and  $\overline{\widetilde{W}}$ .

The following lemma shows that the definition of the Levi form  $L_p$  is independent of the choices of  $\widetilde{Z}$  and  $\widetilde{W}$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $\widetilde{Z}$ ,  $\widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle \widetilde{Z}(p) \wedge \overline{\widetilde{W}}(p), d\,\omega_0(p) \right\rangle.$$
(2.11)

*Proof.* In view of (2.6), we see that

$$\left\langle \widetilde{Z} \wedge \overline{\widetilde{W}}, d\omega_0 \right\rangle = \widetilde{Z}(\left\langle \overline{\widetilde{W}}, \omega_0 \right\rangle) - \overline{\widetilde{W}}(\left\langle \widetilde{Z}, \omega_0 \right\rangle) - \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}], \omega_0 \right\rangle.$$

Since  $\omega_0$  is pointwise orthogonal to

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T^*(X),$$

it pointwise annihilates

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T(X).$$

We have

$$\left\langle \overline{\widetilde{W}}, \omega_0 \right\rangle = 0, \left\langle \widetilde{Z}, \omega_0 \right\rangle = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle [\widetilde{Z},\overline{\widetilde{W}}](p),\omega_0(p)\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle \widetilde{Z}(p)\wedge\overline{\widetilde{W}}(p),d\,\omega_0(p)\right\rangle.$$

The lemma follows.

**Definition 2.8.** The eigenvalues of the Levi form at  $p \in X$  are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form  $L_p$  with respect to the inner product (|) on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X)$ .

For  $U, V \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$ , we have  $[U, \overline{V}](p) \in \mathbb{C}T_p(X)$ ,  $p \in X$ . In view of (2.4), we see that

$$[U,\overline{V}](p) = \lambda Y(p) + h, \ h \in \Lambda^{0,1}T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X).$$

Note that

$$\langle h, \omega_0(p) \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle Y(p), \omega_0(p) \rangle = -1.$$

In view of (2.10), we have

$$[U, \overline{V}](p) = -(2i)L_p(U(p), \overline{V(p)})Y(p) + h.$$
(2.12)

Next we compute  $\overline{\partial}_b$  and  $\overline{\partial_b}^*$ .

For each point  $z_0 \in X$ , we can choose an orthonormal frame

$$e_1(z), \ldots, e_{n-1}(z)$$

for  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(X)$  varying smoothly with z in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . Let  $Z_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(X)$ , which is dual to  $e_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1. We have

$$df = \left(\sum e_j^{\wedge} Z_j + \sum \overline{e}_j^{\wedge} \overline{Z}_j - \omega_0^{\wedge} Y\right) f, \ f \in C^{\infty}(X).$$

If  $f(z)e_{j_1}(z) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_q}(z) \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$  is a typical term in a general (0, q)-form, we have

$$d(f(z)e_{j_1}(z)\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_q}(z)) = \sum ((Z_j f)e_j^{\wedge} + (\overline{Z}_j f)\overline{e}_j^{\wedge} - (Yf)\omega_0^{\wedge})e_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_q}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{k-1}f(z)e_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge (de_{j_k})\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_q}.$$

Thus,

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}(f(z)e_{j_{1}}(z)\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_{q}}(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Z_{j}(f)e_{j}(z)^{\wedge}e_{j_{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_{q}}$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{q}(-1)^{k-1}f(z)e_{j_{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge (\overline{\partial}_{b}e_{j_{k}})\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_{q}}$$

$$=(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Z_{j}(f)e_{j}^{\wedge})e_{j_{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_{q}})$$

$$+(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\overline{\partial}_{b}e_{j})^{\wedge}e_{j}^{\wedge,*})(f(z)e_{j_{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_{q}}).$$

For the given orthonormal frame, the map

$$e_j^{\wedge} \circ Z_j : C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X))$$

is defined by

$$(e_j^{\wedge} \circ Z_j)(f(z)e_{j_1}(z) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_q}(z)) = Z_j(f)e_j(z)^{\wedge}e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_q}(z)$$

and we extend the definition by linearity.

So for the given orthonormal frame we have the identification

$$\overline{\partial}_b \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (e_j^{\wedge} \circ Z_j + (\overline{\partial}_b e_j)^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*})$$

and correspondingly

$$\overline{\partial_b}^* \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (e_j^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_j^* + e_j^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial}_b e_j)^{\wedge,*}),$$

where the map

$$e_j^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_j^* : C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

is defined by

$$(e_{j}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{j}^{*})(f(z)e_{j_{1}}(z) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}(z)) = Z_{j}^{*}(f)e_{j}(z)^{\wedge,*}e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}(z)$$

and we extend the definition by linearity.

The Kohn Laplacian  $\Box_b$  is given by

$$\Box_b = \overline{\partial}_b \overline{\partial_b}^* + \overline{\partial_b}^* \overline{\partial}_b.$$

From now on, we write  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  to denote the restriction to (0, q)-forms. We have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} \circ Z_{j} + (\overline{\partial}_{b} e_{j})^{\wedge} e_{j}^{\wedge,*} \right) \left( e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{k}^{*} + e_{k}^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial}_{b} e_{k})^{\wedge,*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left( e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{k}^{*} + e_{k}^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial}_{b} e_{k})^{\wedge,*} \right) \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} \circ Z_{j} + (\overline{\partial}_{b} e_{j})^{\wedge} e_{j}^{\wedge,*} \right) \right] \\ = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} \circ Z_{j} \right) \left( e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{k}^{*} \right) + \left( e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{k}^{*} \right) \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} \circ Z_{j} \right) \right] \\ \left. + \varepsilon(Z) + \varepsilon(Z^{*}) + \text{zero order terms} \right] \\ = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ Z_{j} Z_{k}^{*} + e_{k}^{\wedge,*} e_{j}^{\wedge} \circ Z_{k}^{*} Z_{j} \right) \\ \left. + \varepsilon(Z) + \varepsilon(Z^{*}) + \text{zero order terms} \right] \\ = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( e_{j}^{\wedge} e_{k}^{\wedge,*} + e_{k}^{\wedge,*} e_{j}^{\wedge} \right) \circ Z_{k}^{*} Z_{j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} e_{j}^{\wedge} e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ [Z_{j}, Z_{k}^{*}] \\ \left. + \varepsilon(Z) + \varepsilon(Z^{*}) + \text{zero order terms} \right\}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where  $\varepsilon(Z)$  denotes remainder terms of the form  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k(z)Z_k$  with  $a_k(z) \in C^{\infty}$ , matrix-valued and similarly for  $\varepsilon(Z^*)$ .

Note that

$$e_{j}^{\wedge}e_{k}^{\wedge,*}+e_{k}^{\wedge,*}e_{j}^{\wedge}=\delta_{j,k}.$$
 (2.14)

We obtain the following

**Proposition 2.9.** The Kohn Laplacian  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is given by

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} = \overline{\partial}_{b} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} \overline{\partial}_{b}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Z_{j}^{*} Z_{j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} e_{j}^{\wedge} e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ [Z_{j}, Z_{k}^{*}]$$
$$+ \varepsilon(Z) + \varepsilon(Z^{*}) + \text{zero order terms}$$

where  $\varepsilon(Z)$  denotes remainder terms of the form  $\sum a_k(z)Z_k$  with  $a_k(z)$  smooth, matrix-valued and similarly for  $\varepsilon(Z^*)$ .

## **3** The hypoellipicity of $\Box_b$

We work with some real local coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . In view of Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} = \overline{\partial}_{b} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} \overline{\partial}_{b}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Z_{j}^{*} Z_{j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} e_{j}^{\wedge} e_{k}^{\wedge,*} \circ [Z_{j}, Z_{k}^{*}]$$

$$+ \varepsilon(Z) + \varepsilon(Z^{*}) + \text{zero order terms}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where  $\varepsilon(Z)$  and  $\varepsilon(Z^*)$  are as in Proposition 2.9. Let  $q_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, be the principal symbols of  $Z_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. The principal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is

$$p_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{q}_j q_j. \tag{3.2}$$

The characteristic manifold  $\Sigma$  of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is

$$\Sigma = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_0(x), \lambda \neq 0\}, \qquad (3.3)$$

where  $\omega_0$  is the uniquely determined global real 1-form.

From (3.2), we see that  $p_0$  vanishes to second order at  $\Sigma$ . Thus,  $\Sigma$  is a doubly characteristic manifold of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is well-defined on  $\Sigma$ . (For the precise meanings of doubly characteristic manifold and subprincipal symbol, see Definition A.10, Definition A.11, Definition A.25 and Definition A.26.) For an operator of the form  $Z_j^*Z_j$  this subprincipal symbol is given by  $\frac{1}{2i} \{\overline{q}_j, q_j\}$  and the contribution from the double sum in (3.1) to the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is

$$\frac{1}{i}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}e_j^{\wedge}e_k^{\wedge,*}\circ\{q_j,\overline{q}_k\},\,$$

where  $\{q_j, \overline{q}_k\}$  denotes the Poisson bracket of  $q_j$  and  $\overline{q}_k$ . (See Definition A.35.) We get the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  on  $\Sigma$  (see Lemma A.12),

$$p_0^s = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} -\frac{1}{2i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\} \right) + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} e_j^{\wedge} e_k^{\wedge *} \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_k \right\}.$$
(3.4)

From (2.12), we see that

$$[\overline{Z}_k, Z_j] = -(2i)L(\overline{Z}_k, Z_j)Y \mod(\Lambda^{1,0}T(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T(X)),$$

where *Y* is the uniquely determined global real vector field. Note that the principal symbol of  $\overline{Z}_k$  is  $-\overline{q}_k$ . Hence,

$$\left\{\overline{q}_{k}, q_{j}\right\} = (2i)L(\overline{Z}_{k}, Z_{j})\sigma_{iY} \text{ on }\Sigma, \qquad (3.5)$$

where  $\sigma_{iY}$  is the principal symbol of *iY*. Thus,

$$p_0^s = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} L(\overline{Z}_j, Z_j) - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} 2e_j^{\wedge} e_k^{\wedge,*} L(\overline{Z}_k, Z_j)\right) \sigma_{iY} \text{ on } \Sigma.$$
(3.6)

In the rest of this section, we need some basic notions of symplectic geometry. See appendix A, after Definition A.26, for a reivew.

From now on, for any  $f \in C^{\infty}(T^*(X))$ , we write  $H_f$  to denote the Hamilton field of f. (See Definition A.34.) We need the following

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\Sigma$  is a symplectic submanifold of  $T^*(X)$  if and only if the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of X.

*Proof.* Note that

$$\Sigma = \left\{ (x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; q_1(x,\xi) = \cdots = q_{n-1}(x,\xi) = \overline{q}_1(x,\xi) \cdots = \overline{q}_{n-1}(x,\xi) = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\Sigma)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(T^{*}(X))$  be the complexifications of  $T_{\rho}(\Sigma)$  and  $T_{\rho}(T^{*}(X))$  respectively, where  $\rho \in \Sigma$ . For  $\rho \in \Sigma$ , we can choose the basis

$$H_{q_1},\ldots,H_{q_{n-1}},H_{\overline{q}_1},\ldots,H_{\overline{q}_{n-1}}$$

for  $T_{\rho}(\Sigma)^{\perp}$ , where  $T_{\rho}(\Sigma)^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal to  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\Sigma)$  in  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(T^*(X))$  with respect to canonical two form,

$$\sigma = d\xi \wedge dx.$$

In view of (3.5), we have

$$\sigma(H_{q_j}, H_{\overline{q}_k}) = \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_k \right\} = \frac{2}{i} L(\overline{Z}_k, Z_j) \sigma_{iY} \text{ on } \Sigma.$$
(3.7)

We notice that  $\{q_j, q_k\} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . Thus, if the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*, then  $\sigma$  is non-degenerate on  $T_{\rho}(\Sigma)^{\perp}$ , hence also on  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\Sigma)$  and  $\Sigma$  is therefore symplectic. Notice also that in that case

$$\mathbb{C} T_{\rho}(\Sigma) \bigcap T_{\rho}(\Sigma)^{\perp} = 0.$$

If  $\Sigma$  is a symplectic submanifold of  $T^*(X)$ , from (3.7), it follows that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. The lemma follows.

Let  $F_{\rho}$  be the fundamental matrix of  $p_0$  at  $\rho = (p, \xi_0) \in \Sigma$ . (see the discussion before Remark A.43.) We can choose the basis

$$H_{q_1},\ldots,H_{q_{n-1}},H_{\overline{q}_1},\ldots,H_{\overline{q}_{n-1}}$$

for  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(T^*(X)) \setminus \mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\Sigma)$ . We notice that

$$H_{p_0} = \sum_j (\overline{q}_j H_{q_j} + q_j H_{\overline{q}_j}).$$

We compute the linearization of  $H_{p_0}$  at  $\rho$ 

$$H_{p_0}(\rho + \sum (t_k H_{q_k} + s_k H_{\overline{q}_k})) = O(|t, s|^2) + \sum_{j,k} t_k \left\{ q_k, \overline{q}_j \right\} H_{q_j}$$
$$+ \sum_{j,k} s_k \left\{ \overline{q}_k, q_j \right\} H_{\overline{q}_j}.$$

So the matrix  $F_{\rho}$  of the linearization is expressed in the basis

$$H_{q_1},\ldots,H_{q_{n-1}},H_{\overline{q}_1},\ldots,H_{\overline{q}_{n-1}}$$

by

$$F_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \left\{ q_k, \overline{q}_j \right\} & 0\\ 0 & \left\{ \overline{q}_k, q_j \right\} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.8)

Again, from (3.5), we see that the non-vanishing eigenvalues of  $F_{\rho}$  are

$$\pm 2i\lambda_j\sigma_{iY}(\rho),\tag{3.9}$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ .

To compute further, we assume that the Levi form is diagonalized at the given point  $p \in X$ . Then

$$\sum_{j,k} 2e_j^{\wedge} e_k^{\wedge,*} L_p(\overline{Z}_k, Z_j) \sigma_{iY} = \sum_j 2e_j^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*} L_p(\overline{Z}_j, Z_j) \sigma_{iY}.$$
(3.10)

From above, we see that on  $\Sigma$  and on the space of (0, q) forms,  $p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{t}\tilde{r}F$  has the eigenvalues

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_j| |\sigma_{iY}| + \sum_{j \notin J} \lambda_j \sigma_{iY} - \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \sigma_{iY}, |J| = q,$$

$$J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q), \ 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_q \le n-1,$$
(3.11)

where  $\tilde{tr} F_p$  denotes  $\sum |\mu_j|, \pm \mu_j$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of  $F_p$ . Put

$$\Sigma^{-} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda < 0\}$$

and

$$\Sigma^+ = \left\{ (x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; \, \xi = \lambda \omega_0(x), \, \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. Then the Levi form has constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . Since  $\langle Y, \omega_0 \rangle = -1$ , we have  $\sigma_{iY} > 0$  on  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $\sigma_{iY} < 0$  on  $\Sigma^-$ .

Let

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F) = \inf\left\{\lambda; \lambda: \text{eigenvalue of } p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F\right\}.$$

From (3.11), we see that on  $\Sigma^+$ 

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}F) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_+ \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_+ \end{cases}$$
(3.12)

 $\operatorname{On}\Sigma^{-}$ 

$$\inf(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_- \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_- \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

**Definition 3.2.** Given q,  $0 \le q \le n-1$ , the Levi form is said to satisfy condition Y(q) at  $p \in X$  if for any |J| = q,  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_q)$ ,  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q \le n-1$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{j\notin J}\lambda_j-\sum_{j\in J}\lambda_j\right|<\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_j\right|,$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., (n - 1), are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . If the Levi form is nondegenerate at p, then the condition is equivalent to  $q \neq n_+$ ,  $n_-$ , where  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , is the signature of  $L_p$ .

> From now on, we assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of X. (3.14)

From (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and Definition 3.2, we have the following

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form L.  $p_0^s + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\text{tr}} F$  is positive semi-definite and we have that (3.12), (3.13) hold and  $p_0^s + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\text{tr}} F$  is positive definite when Y(q) holds, precisely when  $q \notin \{n_+, n_-\}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let *P* be a classical pseudodifferential operator on  $\Omega$  of order m > 1. *P* is said to be hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative if  $u \in \mathscr{E}'(\Omega)$  and  $Pu \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega)$  implies  $u \in H^{s+m-1}_{comp}(\Omega)$ .

We recall classical works by Boutet de Monvel [7] and Sjöstrand [21].

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let P be a classical pseudodifferential operator on  $\Omega$  of order m > 1. The symbol of P takes the form

$$\sigma_P(x,\xi) \sim p_m(x,\xi) + p_{m-1}(x,\xi) + p_{m-2}(x,\xi) + \cdots,$$

where  $p_j$  is positively homogeneous of degree j. We assume that  $\Sigma = p_m^{-1}(0)$  is a symplectic submanifold of codimension 2d,  $p_m \ge 0$  and  $p_m$  vanishes to precisely second order on  $\Sigma$ . Let F be the fundamental matrix of  $p_m$ . Let  $p_m^s$  be the subprincipal symbol of P. Then P is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative if and only if

$$p_m^s(\rho) + \sum_{j=1}^d (\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j) |\mu_j| \neq 0$$

at every point  $\rho \in \Sigma$  for all  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , where  $\pm i\mu_j$  are the eigenvalues of *F* at  $\rho$ .

Proposition 3.4 also holds if *P* is a matrix-valued classical pseudodifferential operator on  $\Omega$  of order *m* > 1 with scalar principal symbol.

From Proposition 3.4, we have the following

**Proposition 3.5.** We recall that we work with the assumption that the Levi form L is non-degenerate at each point of X.  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative if and only if Y(q) holds at each point of X.

*Remark* 3.6. Kohn's  $L^2$  estimates give the hypoellipicity with loss of one dervative for the solutions  $\Box_b^{(q)} u = f$  under condition Y(q). (See Folland-Kohn [11].) Kohn's method works as well when the Levi form *L* is degenerate.

## 4 The characteristic equation

In this section, we consider the characteristic equation for  $\partial_t + \Box_h^{(q)}$ .

Let  $p_0(x,\xi)$  be the principal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . We work with some real local coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We identify  $\Omega$  with an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ . Let  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$  be an almost complexification of  $\Omega$ . That is,  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$  with  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} = \Omega$ . We identify  $T^*(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ . Similarly, let  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$  with  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap (\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) = T^*(\Omega)$ . In this section, for any function f, we also write f to denote an almost analytic extension. (See Definition B.1.) We look for solutions  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - i p_0(x, \psi'_x) = O(\left| \operatorname{Im} \psi \right|^N), \ \forall N \ge 0, \\ \psi|_{t=0} = \langle x, \eta \rangle \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

with Im  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \ge 0$ . More precisely, we look for solutions  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  with Im  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \ge 0$  such that  $\psi|_{t=0} = \langle x, \eta \rangle$  and for every compact set  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$ ,  $N \ge 0$ , there exists  $c_{K,N} \ge 0$ , such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - i p_0(x, \psi'_x)\right| \le c_{K,N} \left|\operatorname{Im} \psi\right|^N \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K.$$

Let  $f(x,\xi)$ ,  $g(x,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}})$ . We write

$$f = g \mod |\operatorname{Im}(x,\xi)|^{\infty}$$

if, given any compact subset *K* of  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$  and any integer N > 0, there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left| (f-g)(x,\xi) \right| \le c \left| \operatorname{Im}(x,\xi) \right|^N, \, \forall (x,\xi) \in K.$$

Let *U* and *V* be  $C^{\infty}$  complex vector fields on  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We write

 $U = V \mod |\operatorname{Im}(x,\xi)|^{\infty}$ 

if

 $U(f) = V(f) \mod |\operatorname{Im}(x,\xi)|^{\infty}$ 

and

$$U(\overline{f}) = V(\overline{f}) \mod |\mathrm{Im}(x,\xi)|^{\infty}$$

for all almost analytic functions f on  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In Appendix B, we discuss the notions of almost analytic vector fields and equivalence of almost analytic vector fields.

In the complex domain, the Hamiltonian field  $H_{p_0}$  is given by

$$H_{p_0} = \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}.$$

We notice that  $H_{p_0}$  depends on the choice of almost analytic extension of  $p_0$  but we can give an invariant meaning of the exponential map  $\exp(-itH_{p_0})$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Note that  $H_{p_0}$  vanishes on  $\Sigma$ . We consider the real vector field

$$-iH_{p_0}+\overline{-iH_{p_0}}.$$

Let  $\Phi(t,\rho)$  be the  $-iH_{p_0} + \overline{-iH_{p_0}}$  flow. We notice that for every T > 0 there is an open neighborhood U of  $\Sigma$  in  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that for all  $0 \le t \le T$ ,  $\Phi(t,\rho)$  is well-defined if  $\rho \in U$ . Since we only need to consider Taylor expansions at  $\Sigma$ , for the convenience, we assume that  $\Phi(t,\rho)$  is well-defined for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $\rho \in T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We have the following

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $\Phi(t,\rho)$  be as above. Let U be a real vector field on  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$U = -iH_{p_0} + \overline{-iH_{p_0}} \mod |\operatorname{Im}(x,\xi)|^{\infty}.$$

Let  $\hat{\Phi}(t,\rho)$  be the U flow. Then, for every compact set  $K \subset T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $N \ge 0$ , there is  $c_{N,K}(t) > 0$ , such that

$$\left|\Phi(t,\rho) - \hat{\Phi}(t,\rho)\right| \le c_{N,K}(t) \operatorname{dist}(\rho,\Sigma)^N, \ \rho \in K.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition B.13.

For  $t \ge 0$ , let

$$G_t = \{(\rho, \Phi(t, \rho)); \rho \in T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}\}, \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $\Phi(t, \rho)$  is as in Proposistion 4.1. We call  $G_t$  the graph of  $\exp(-itH_{p_0})$ . Since  $H_{p_0}$  vanishes on  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$\Phi(t,\rho) = \rho$$
 if  $\rho \in \Sigma$ .

 $G_t$  depends on the choice of almost analytic extension of  $p_0$ . Let  $\hat{p}_0$  be another almost analytic extension of  $p_0$ . Let  $\hat{G}_t$  be the graph of  $\exp(-it H_{\hat{p}_0})$ . From Proposition 4.1, it follows that  $G_t$  coincides to infinite order with  $\hat{G}_t$  on diag( $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ ), for all  $t \ge 0$ .

In Menikoff-Sjöstrand [20], it was shown that there exist  $g(t, x, \eta)$ ,  $h(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}})$  such that

$$G_t = \{(x, g(t, x, \eta), h(t, x, \eta), \eta); (x, \eta) \in T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

Moreover, there exists  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}})$  such that

$$g(t,x,\eta) - \psi'_x(t,x,\eta)$$

$$h(t, x, \eta) - \psi'_n(t, x, \eta)$$

vanish to infinite order on  $\Sigma$ , for all  $t \ge 0$ . Furthermore, when  $(t, x, \eta)$  is real,  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  solves (4.1) and we have,

$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta) \approx \frac{t}{1+t} (\operatorname{dist}((x, \eta), \Sigma))^2, \ t \ge 0, \ \left|\eta\right| = 1.$$
(4.3)

For the precise meaning of  $\asymp$ , see the discussion after Proposition 1.8. Moreover, we have the following

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \ge 0$ with equality precisely on  $(\{0\} \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0) \bigcup (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Sigma)$  and such that (4.1) holds where the error term is uniform on every set of the form  $[0, T] \times K$  with T > 0 and  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  compact. Furthermore,  $\psi$  is unique up to a term which is  $O(|\operatorname{Im} \psi|^N)$ locally uniformly for every N and

$$\psi(t, x, \eta) = \langle x, \eta \rangle$$
 on  $\Sigma$ ,  $d_{x,\eta}(\psi - \langle x, \eta \rangle) = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ 

Moreover, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta) \approx \left|\eta\right| \frac{t\left|\eta\right|}{1+t\left|\eta\right|} \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(x,\frac{\eta}{\left|\eta\right|}\right),\Sigma\right)\right)^{2}, \ t \ge 0, \ \left|\eta\right| \ge 1.$$

$$(4.4)$$

**Proposition 4.3.** There exists a function  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  with a uniquely determined Taylor expansion at each point of  $\Sigma$  such that

For every compact set  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  there is a constant  $c_K > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \psi(\infty, x, \eta) \ge c_K \left| \eta \right| (\operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}), \Sigma))^2,$   $d_{x,\eta}(\psi(\infty, x, \eta) - \langle x, \eta \rangle) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$ 

If  $\lambda \in C(T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min |\lambda_j|$ , where  $\pm i |\lambda_j|$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ , then the solution  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  of (4.1) can be chosen so that for every compact set  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}$  such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}\partial_t^{\gamma}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\psi(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}e^{-\lambda(x,\eta)t} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K.$$
(4.5)

For the proofs of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we refer the reader to Menikoff-Sjöstrand [20]. From the positively homogeneity of  $p_0$ , it follows that

and

we can choose  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  in Proposition 4.2 to be quasi-homogeneous of degree 1 in the sense that

$$\psi(t, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda\psi(\lambda t, x, \eta), \ \lambda > 0.$$

(See Definition 5.1.) This makes  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  positively homogeneous of degree 1. We recall that

$$p_0 = q_1 \overline{q}_1 + \dots + q_{n-1} \overline{q}_{n-1}$$

We can take an almost analytic extension of  $p_0$  so that

$$p_0(x,\xi) = \overline{p}_0(\overline{x},\overline{\xi}). \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.6), we have

$$-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t,x,-\eta) - ip_0(x,\overline{\psi}'_x(t,x,-\eta)) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im} \psi\right|^N), \ t \ge 0,$$

for all  $N \ge 0$ ,  $(x, \eta)$  real. Since  $p_0(x, -\xi) = p_0(x, \xi)$ , we have

$$-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t,x,-\eta) - ip_0(x,-\overline{\psi}'_x(t,x,-\eta)) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im}\psi\right|^N), \ t \ge 0,$$
(4.7)

for all  $N \ge 0$ ,  $(x, \eta)$  real. From Proposition 4.2, we can take  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  so that

$$\psi(t, x, \eta) = -\psi(t, x, -\eta), \ (x, \eta) \text{ is real.}$$
(4.8)

Hence,

$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) = -\overline{\psi}(\infty, x, -\eta), \ (x, \eta) \text{ is real.}$$
 (4.9)

Put

$$\widetilde{G}_t = \left\{ (\overline{y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{x}, \overline{\xi}); (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in G_t \right\},\$$

where  $G_t$  is defined by (4.2). From (4.6), it follows that

$$\Phi(t,\overline{\rho}) = \Phi(-t,\rho),$$

where  $\Phi(t, \rho)$  is as in Proposition 4.1. Thus, for all  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$G_t = \widetilde{G}_t. \tag{4.10}$$

Put

$$C_{t} = \left\{ (x, \psi_{x}'(t, x, \eta), \psi_{\eta}'(t, x, \eta), \eta); (x, \eta) \in T^{*}(\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}) \right\}$$
(4.11)

and

$$\widetilde{C}_{t} = \left\{ (\overline{y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{x}, \overline{\xi}); (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_{t} \right\}.$$
(4.12)

Since  $C_t$  coincides to infinite order with  $G_t$  on diag( $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ ), for all  $t \ge 0$ , from (4.10), it follows that  $C_t$  coincides to infinite order with  $\tilde{C}_t$  on diag( $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ ), for all  $t \ge 0$ . Letting  $t \to \infty$ , we get the following

Proposition 4.4. Let

$$C_{\infty} = \left\{ (x, \psi'_{x}(\infty, x, \eta), \psi'_{\eta}(\infty, x, \eta), \eta); (x, \eta) \in T^{*}(\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}) \right\}$$
(4.13)

and

$$\widetilde{C}_{\infty} = \left\{ (\overline{y}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{x}, \overline{\xi}); (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_{\infty} \right\}.$$
(4.14)

Then  $\widetilde{C}_{\infty}$  coincides to infinite order with  $C_{\infty}$  on diag $(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ .

From Proposition 4.4 and the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Proposition B.21), we have the following

**Proposition 4.5.** *The two phases* 

$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-1}), \ -\overline{\psi}(\infty, y, \eta) + \langle x, \eta \rangle \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-1})$$

are equivalent in the sense of Definition B.20.

We recall that

$$\Sigma = \left\{ (x,\xi) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0; q_1(x,\xi) = \cdots = q_{n-1}(x,\xi) = \overline{q}_1(x,\xi) \cdots = \overline{q}_{n-1}(x,\xi) = 0 \right\}.$$

For any function  $f \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega))$ , we use  $\tilde{f}$  to denote an almost analytic extension with respect to the weight function dist $((x, \xi), \Sigma)$ . (See Definition B.1.) Set

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = \left\{ (x,\xi) \in T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \smallsetminus 0; \, \widetilde{q}_1(x,\xi) = \dots = \widetilde{q}_{n-1}(x,\xi) = \widetilde{\overline{q}}_1(x,\xi) \dots = \widetilde{\overline{q}}_{n-1}(x,\xi) = 0 \right\}.$$
(4.15)

We say that  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is an almost analytic extension with respect to the weight function dist $((x, \xi), \Sigma)$  of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $f(x, \xi)$ ,  $g(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}(W)$ , where W is an open set in  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We write

$$f = g \mod d_{\Sigma}^{\infty}$$

if, given any compact subset *K* of *W* and any integer N > 0, there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left| (f-g)(x,\xi) \right| \le c \operatorname{dist}((x,\xi),\Sigma)^N, \ \forall (x,\xi) \in K.$$

From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Proposition B.21), we only need to consider Taylor expansions at  $\Sigma$ . We may work with the following coordinates

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $\rho \in \Sigma$ . Then in some open neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\rho$  in  $T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , there are  $C^{\infty}$  functions

$$\widetilde{x}_j \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma), \ \widetilde{\xi}_j \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma), \ j = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

such that

(a)  $\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{\xi}_j, j = 1,...,2n - 1$ , are almost analytic functions with respect to the weight function dist $((x,\xi),\Sigma)$ .

(b)

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial(x,\xi)}{\partial(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi})}\right) \neq 0 \text{ on } (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where 
$$(\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} = \Gamma \bigcap T^*(\Omega)$$
 and  $\widetilde{x} = (\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_{2n-1}), \widetilde{\xi} = (\widetilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\xi}_{2n-1}).$ 

(c)  $\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{\xi}_j, j = 1, ..., 2n - 1$ , form local coordinates of  $\Gamma$ .

(d)  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$  is symplectic to infinite order on  $\Sigma$ . That is,

$$\{\widetilde{x}_{j}, \widetilde{x}_{k}\} = 0 \mod d_{\Sigma}^{\infty}, \{\widetilde{\xi}_{j}, \widetilde{\xi}_{k}\} = 0 \mod d_{\Sigma}^{\infty}, \\\{\widetilde{\xi}_{j}, \widetilde{x}_{k}\} = \delta_{j,k} \mod d_{\Sigma}^{\infty},$$

where 
$$j, k = 1, ..., 2n - 1$$
. Here  $\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi}$ ,  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ 

(e) We write  $\tilde{x}', \tilde{x}'', \tilde{\xi}'$  and  $\tilde{\xi}''$  to denote  $(\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_n), (\tilde{x}_{n+1}, ..., \tilde{x}_{2n-1}), (\tilde{\xi}_1, ..., \tilde{\xi}_n)$ and  $(\tilde{\xi}_{n+1}, ..., \tilde{\xi}_{2n-1})$  respectively. Then,  $\tilde{\Sigma} \bigcap \Gamma$  coincides to infinite order with

$$\left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi}); \, \widetilde{x}'' = 0, \, \widetilde{\xi}'' = 0 \right\}$$

on  $\Sigma \bigcap (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and

$$\Sigma \bigcap (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi}); \widetilde{x}'' = 0, \widetilde{\xi}'' = 0, \widetilde{x}' \text{ and } \widetilde{\xi}' \text{ are real} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, there is  $a(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix of almost analytic functions  $A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$  such that for every compact set  $K \subset \Gamma$  and  $N \ge 0$ , there is a  $c_{K,N} > 0$ , such that

$$\left| p_0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi}) - i \left\langle A(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{x}'',\widetilde{\xi}'' \right\rangle \right| \le c_{K,N} \left| (\widetilde{x}'',\widetilde{\xi}'') \right|^N \text{ on } K$$

and when  $\tilde{x}'$  and  $\tilde{\xi}'$  are real,  $A(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\xi}', 0)$  has only positive eigenvalues

$$|\lambda_1|,\ldots,|\lambda_{n-1}|,$$

where  $\pm i\lambda_1, \ldots, \pm i\lambda_{n-1}$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of  $F(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\xi}', 0)$ , the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} A(\widetilde{x}',0,\widetilde{\xi}',0) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} F(\widetilde{x}',0,\widetilde{\xi}',0).$$

Formally, we write

$$p_{0}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi}) = i \left\langle A(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{x}'',\widetilde{\xi}'' \right\rangle + O(\left| (\widetilde{x}'',\widetilde{\xi}'') \right|^{N}).$$
(4.16)

...

Proof. See Menikoff-Sjöstrand [20].

Remark 4.7. Set

$$E = \left\{ (t, x, \xi, y, \eta) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \times T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}; (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_t \right\},\$$

where  $C_t$  is defined by (4.11). Let  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$  be the coordinates of Proposition 4.6. In the work of Menikoff-Sjöstrand [20], it was shown that there exists  $\tilde{\psi}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is as in Proposition 4.6, such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial t} - i p_0(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\psi}'_{\widetilde{x}}) = O(\left| (\widetilde{x}'', \widetilde{\eta}'') \right|^N), \text{ for all } N > 0, \\ \widetilde{\psi}|_{t=0} = \langle \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta} \rangle \end{cases}$$

and  $\tilde{\psi}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  is of the form

$$\widetilde{\psi}(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}) = \langle \widetilde{x}',\widetilde{\eta}' \rangle + \left\langle e^{-tA(\widetilde{x}',0,\widetilde{\eta}',0)}\widetilde{x}'',\widetilde{\eta}'' \right\rangle + \widetilde{\psi}_2(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}) + \widetilde{\psi}_3(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}) + \cdots, \quad (4.17)$$

where *A* is as in Proposition 4.6 and  $\widetilde{\psi}_j(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta})$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  homogeneous polynomial of degree *j* in  $(\widetilde{x}'', \widetilde{\eta}'')$ . If  $\lambda \in C(T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min \lambda_j$  with  $\lambda_j >$ 0, where  $\pm i\lambda_j$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ , then for every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma \bigcap (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,j,K}$  such that

$$\left|\partial_{\widetilde{x}}^{\alpha}\partial_{\widetilde{\eta}}^{\beta}\partial_{t}^{\gamma}(\widetilde{\psi}_{j}(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}))\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}e^{-\lambda(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta})t} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times K.$$
(4.18)

Put

$$\widetilde{E} = \left\{ (t, \widetilde{x}, \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \widetilde{x}}(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta}), \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \widetilde{\eta}}(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta}), \widetilde{\eta}); t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta} \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma) \right\}.$$

We notice that  $\widetilde{E}$  coincides to infinite order with E on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{diag}((\Sigma \cap (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}) \times (\Sigma \cap (\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}))$ . (See [20].)

## 5 The heat equation, formal construction

We work with some real local coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We identify  $T^*(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** We will say that  $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times T^*(\Omega))$  is quasi-homogeneous of degree *j* if  $a(t, x, \lambda \eta) = \lambda^j a(\lambda t, x, \eta)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

It is easy to see that if *a* is quasi-homogeneous of degree *j*, then  $\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \partial_t^{\gamma} a$  is quasi-homogeneous of degree  $j - |\beta| + \gamma$ .

In this section, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}) u(t, x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \\ u(0, x) = v(x) \end{cases} .$$
(5.1)

We shall start by making only a formal construction. We look for an approximate solution of (5.1) of the form

$$u(t,x) = A(t)v(x)$$

$$A(t)v(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)v(y)dyd\eta \qquad (5.2)$$

where formally

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta), \ a_j(t,x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))),$$

 $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j.

We let the full symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  be:

full symbol of 
$$\Box_b^{(q)} = \sum_{j=0}^2 p_j(x,\xi),$$

where  $p_j(x,\xi)$  is positively homogeneous of order 2 - j. We apply  $\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}$  formally under the integral in (5.2) and then introduce the asymptotic expansion of  $\Box_b^{(q)}(ae^{i\psi})$ . (See Proposition B.16.) Setting  $(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(ae^{i\psi}) \sim 0$  and regrouping the terms according to the degree of quasi-homogeneity. We obtain the transport equations

$$\begin{cases} T(t,x,\eta,\partial_t,\partial_x)a_0 = O(\left|\operatorname{Im}\psi\right|^N), \,\forall N\\ T(t,x,\eta,\partial_t,\partial_x)a_j + l_j(t,x,\eta,a_0,\dots,a_{j-1}) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im}\psi\right|^N), \,\forall N. \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

Here

$$T(t,x,\eta,\partial_t,\partial_x) = \partial_t - i \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \xi_j}(x,\psi'_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + q(t,x,\eta)$$

where

$$q(t,x,\eta) = p_1(x,\psi'_x) + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial^2 p_0(x,\psi'_x)}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(t,x,\eta)}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}$$

and  $l_j$  is a linear differential operator acting on  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}$ . We note that  $q(t, x, \eta) \rightarrow q(\infty, x, \eta)$  exponentially fast in the sense of (4.5) and that the same is true for the coefficients of  $l_j$ .

Let  $C_t$ , *E* be as in (4.11) and Remark 4.7. We recall that for  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$C_{t} = \left\{ (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in T^{*}(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \times T^{*}(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}; \xi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(t, x, \eta), y = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta}(t, x, \eta) \right\},\$$
$$E = \left\{ (t, x, \xi, y, \eta) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \times T^{*}(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}; (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_{t} \right\}$$

and for t > 0,

$$(C_t)_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma) = \left\{ (x, \xi, x, \xi) \in T^*(\Omega) \times T^*(\Omega); (x, \xi) \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

If we consider  $a_0, a_1, \ldots$  as functions on *E*, then the equations (5.3) involve differentiations along the vector field

$$v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - iH_{p_0}.$$

We can consider only Taylor expansions at  $\Sigma$ . Until further notice, our computations will only be valid to infinite order on  $\Sigma$ .

Consider *v* as a vector field on *E*. In the coordinates  $(t, x, \eta)$  we can express *v*:

$$v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \psi'_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

We can compute

$$\operatorname{div}(v) = \frac{1}{i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial^2 p_0(x, \psi'_x)}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k}(x, \psi'_x) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(t, x, \eta) \right).$$
(5.4)

For a smooth function  $a(t, x, \eta)$  we introduce the  $\frac{1}{2}$  density on *E* 

$$\alpha = a(t, x, \eta) \sqrt{dt dx d\eta}$$

which is well-defined up to some factor  $i^{\mu}$ . (See Hörmander [14].) The Lie derivative of  $\alpha$  along v is

$$L_{v}(\alpha) = (v(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(v)a) \sqrt{dt dx d\eta}.$$

We see from the expression for T that

$$(Ta)\sqrt{dtdxd\eta} = (L_v + p_0^s(x,\psi_x'(t,x,\eta)))(a\sqrt{dtdxd\eta}),$$
(5.5)

where

$$p_0^{s}(x,\xi) = p_1(x,\xi) + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial^2 p_0(x,\xi)}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_j}$$

is the subprincipal symbol (invariantly defined on  $\Sigma$ ). Now let  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$  be the coordinates of Proposition 4.6, in which  $p_0$  takes the form (4.16). In these coordinates we have

$$H_{p_{0}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{\xi}) = i \left\langle A(\tilde{x},\tilde{\xi})\tilde{x}'', \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}''} \right\rangle - i \left\langle {}^{t}A(\tilde{x},\tilde{\xi})\tilde{\xi}'', \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\xi}''} \right\rangle \\ + \sum_{|\alpha|=1, |\beta|=1} (\tilde{x}'')^{\alpha} (\tilde{\xi}'')^{\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{x},\tilde{\xi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\xi}})$$
(5.6)

and

$$v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left\langle A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\psi}'_{x}) \tilde{x}'', \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}''} \right\rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|=1, |\beta|=1} (\tilde{x}'')^{\alpha} (\tilde{\psi}'_{x''})^{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta} (\tilde{x}', \tilde{\psi}'_{\tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}).$$
(5.7)

Here  $\tilde{\psi}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  is as in Remark 4.7.

Let  $f(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $f(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}})$ . We say that  $f(t, x, \eta)$  converges exponentially fast to  $f(\infty, x, \eta)$  if

$$f(t, x, \eta) - f(\infty, x, \eta)$$

satisfies the same kind of estimates as (4.5). Recalling the form of  $\widetilde{\psi}$  we obtain

$$v = \tilde{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left\langle A(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\eta}', 0) \tilde{x}'', \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}''} \right\rangle + \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=2, \alpha\neq 0} (\tilde{x}'')^{\alpha} (\tilde{\eta}'')^{\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}})$$
(5.8)

where  $D_{\alpha\beta}$  converges exponentially fast to some limit as  $t \to +\infty$ . We have on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\tilde{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} A(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\eta}', 0) = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\eta}', 0)$$
(5.9)

where  $F(\tilde{x}', 0, \tilde{\eta}', 0)$  is the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . We define  $\tilde{a}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  by

$$\widetilde{a}(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta})\sqrt{dt\,d\widetilde{x}\,d\widetilde{\eta}} = a(t,x,\eta)\sqrt{dt\,dx\,d\eta}.$$
(5.10)

Note that the last equation only defines  $\tilde{a}$  up to  $i^{\mu}$ . We have

$$(Ta)\sqrt{dtdxd\eta} = (\tilde{T}\tilde{a})\sqrt{dtd\tilde{x}d\tilde{\eta}}$$

where

$$\widetilde{T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left\langle A(\widetilde{x}', 0, \widetilde{\eta}', 0) \widetilde{x}'', \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{x}''} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F(\widetilde{x}', 0, \widetilde{\eta}', 0) + p_0^s(\widetilde{x}', 0, \widetilde{\eta}', 0) + Q(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{x}}).$$
(5.11)

Here

$$Q(t,\tilde{x},\tilde{\eta},\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}) = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=2,\alpha\neq 0} (\tilde{x}'')^{\alpha} (\tilde{\eta}'')^{\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(t,\tilde{x},\tilde{\eta},\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}) + \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=1} (\tilde{x}'')^{\alpha} (\tilde{\eta}'')^{\beta} E_{\alpha\beta}(t,\tilde{x},\tilde{\eta}).$$

It is easy to see that  $E_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $D_{\alpha\beta}$  converge exponentially fast to some limits  $E_{\alpha\beta}(\infty, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  and  $D_{\alpha\beta}(\infty, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  respectively. We need the following

**Lemma 5.2.** Let A be a  $d \times d$  matrix having only positive eigenvalues and consider the map

$$\mathscr{A}: u \mapsto \left\langle A \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_d} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$$

on the space  $P^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of homogeneous polynomials of degree m. Then

$$\exp(t\mathscr{A})(u) = u \circ (\exp(tA))$$

and the map  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bijection except for m = 0.

*Proof.* We notice that  $U(t): u \mapsto u \circ \exp(tA)$  form a group of operators and that

$$\left(\frac{\partial U(t)}{\partial t}\right)\Big|_{t=0} = \mathscr{A}.$$

This shows that  $U(t) = \exp(t \mathscr{A})$ . To prove the second statement, suppose that  $u \in P^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$  and  $\mathscr{A}(u) = 0$ . Then  $\exp(t \mathscr{A})(u) = u$  for all t, in other words  $u(\exp(tA)(x)) = u(x), t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since  $\exp(tA)(x) \to 0$  when  $t \to -\infty$ , we obtain u(x) = u(0) = 0, which proves the lemma.

Proposition 5.3. Let

$$c_j(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots, j$$

be positively homogeneous functions of degree -j. Then, we can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

of the system (5.3) with

$$a_j(0, x, \eta) = c_j(x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j such that  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$ has unique Taylor expansions on  $\Sigma$ , for all j. Furthermore, let  $\lambda(x, \eta) \in C(T^*(\Omega))$ and  $\lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min \tau_j$ , where  $\tau_j$  are the eigenvalues of  $\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F + p_0^s$  on  $\Sigma$ . Then for all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$  and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a_j(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c e^{-t\lambda(x,\eta)} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K.$$
(5.12)

*Proof.* We only need to study Taylor expansions on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$  be the coordinates of Proposition 4.6. We define  $\tilde{a}_j(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  from  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  as in (5.10). In order to prove (5.12), it is sufficient to prove the corresponding statement for  $\tilde{a}_j$ . (See section 1 of Menikoff-Sjöstrand[20].) We introduce the Taylor expansion of  $\tilde{a}_0$  with respect to  $(\tilde{x}'', \tilde{\eta}'')$ .

$$\widetilde{a}_0(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}) = \sum_0^\infty \widetilde{a}_0^j(t,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}),$$

where  $\tilde{a}_0^j$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j in  $(\tilde{x}'', \tilde{\eta}'')$ . Let

$$c_0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}) = \sum_{j=0} \widetilde{c}_0^j(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}),$$

where  $\tilde{c}_0^j$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j in  $(\tilde{x}'', \tilde{\eta}'')$ . From  $\tilde{T}\tilde{a}_0 = 0$ , we get

$$\widetilde{a}_0^0(t,\widetilde{x}',\widetilde{\eta}') = e^{-t(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,F+p_0^s)}\widetilde{c}_0^0(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta}).$$

It is easy to see that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_{\widetilde{x}}^{\alpha}\partial_{\widetilde{\eta}}^{\beta}\widetilde{a}_0^0\right| \leq c \, e^{-t\,\lambda(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\eta})} \, \mathrm{on}\, \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K,$$

where  $\lambda(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta}) \in C(T^*(\Omega)), \lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min \tau_j$ . Here  $\tau_j$  are the eigenvalues of  $\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\operatorname{tr}} F + p_0^s$  on  $\Sigma$ .

Again, from  $\widetilde{T}\widetilde{a}_0 = 0$ , we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{A} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}} F + p_0^s\right)\widetilde{a}_0^{j+1}(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta}) = \widetilde{b}_0^{j+1}(t, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\eta})$$

where  $\tilde{b}_0^{j+1}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$  satisfies the same kind of estimate as  $\tilde{a}_0^0$ . By Lemma 5.2, we see that  $\exp(-t \mathscr{A})$  is bounded for  $t \ge 0$ . We deduce a similar estimate for the

function  $\tilde{a}_0^{j+1}(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\eta})$ . Continuing in this way we get all the desired estimates for  $\tilde{a}_0$ . The next transport equation takes the form  $\tilde{T}\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{b}$  where  $\tilde{b}$  satisfies the estimates (5.12). We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that  $\tilde{a}_1$  satisfies the estimates (5.12). From above, we see that  $\tilde{a}_0$ ,  $\tilde{a}_1$  have the unique Taylor expansions on  $\Sigma$ . Continuing in this way we get the proposition.

From Proposition 5.3, we have the following

**Proposition 5.4.** Suppose condition Y(q) holds. Let

$$c_j(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

be positively homogeneous functions of degree -j. Then, we can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

of the system (5.3) with

$$a_{j}(0, x, \eta) = c_{j}(x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$  and every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{\gamma}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a_{j}(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0}t|\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times K.$$
(5.13)

**Proposition 5.5.** Suppose condition Y(q) fails. Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form. Let

$$c_i(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, \dots, j$$

be positively homogeneous functions of degree -j. Then, we can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, \dots$$

of the system (5.3) with

$$a_i(0, x, \eta) = c_i(x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j and such that for all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a_j(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c \, e^{\varepsilon t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K.$$
(5.14)

Furthermore, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$  and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$ , there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{\gamma}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a_{j}(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0}t|\eta|}(1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$
  
on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times (K \bigcap \Sigma^{+}) \quad if q = n_{-}, n_{-} \neq n_{+}$  (5.15)

and

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{\gamma}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a_{j}(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0}t\left|\eta\right|}(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{-j-\left|\beta\right|+\gamma}$$
  
on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times (K \bigcap \Sigma^{-})$  if  $q = n_{+}, n_{-} \neq n_{+}.$  (5.16)

We need the following formula

**Proposition 5.6.** Let Q be a  $C^{\infty}$  differential operator on  $\Omega$  of order k > 0 with full symbol  $q(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega))$ . For  $0 \le q, q_1 \le n - 1, q, q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_{1}}T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q}T^{*}(\Omega))).$$

Then,

$$Q(x,D_x)(e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}a(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)} \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} q^{(\alpha)}(x,\psi'_x(t,x,\eta))(R_{\alpha}(\psi,D_x)a),$$

where

$$D_{x} = -i\partial_{x},$$

$$R_{\alpha}(\psi, D_{x})a = D_{y}^{\alpha} \left\{ e^{i\phi_{2}(t,x,y,\eta)}a(t,y,\eta) \right\} \Big|_{y=x},$$

$$\phi_{2}(t,x,y,\eta) = (x-y)\psi_{x}'(t,x,\eta) - (\psi(t,x,\eta) - \psi(t,y,\eta)).$$

For  $0 \le q, q_1 \le n-1, q, q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_1} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(5.17)

be quasi-homogeneous functions of degree m - j,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We assume that

$$a_j(t, x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

are the solutions of the system (5.3). From the proof of Proposition 5.3, it follows that for all indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, j$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{\gamma}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a_{j}(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c e^{\varepsilon t|\eta|}(1+|\eta|)^{m-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times K.$$
(5.18)

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_{1}}T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q}T^{*}(\Omega)))$$
(5.19)

be the asymptotic sum of  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$ . (See Definition 6.1 and Remark 6.2 for a precise meaning.) We formally write

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta).$$

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}a(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}b(t,x,\eta),$$

where

$$b(t, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(t, x, \eta),$$
$$b_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

 $b_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree m + 2 - j.

From Proposition 5.6, we see that for all *N*, every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists c > 0 such that

$$|b(t,x,\eta)| \le c e^{\varepsilon t |\eta|} (|\eta|^{-N} + |\eta|^{2-N} (\operatorname{Im} \psi(t,x,\eta)^{N})$$
 (5.20)

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

Conversely, if

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}a(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}b(t,x,\eta)$$

and *b* satisfies the same kind of estimates as (5.20), then  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$ , j = 0, 1, ..., solve the system (5.3) to infinite order at  $\Sigma$ . From this and the particular structure of the problem, we will next show

**Proposition 5.7.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form. Suppose condition Y(q) fails. That is,  $q = n_-$  or  $n_+$ . Let

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, \dots, j$$

be the solutions of the system (5.3) with

$$a_0(0, x, \eta) = I, a_j(0, x, \eta) = 0$$
 when  $j > 0$ ,

where  $a_i(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j. Then we can find

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

Let

where  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a positively homogeneous function of degree -j,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j, every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$ , there exists c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a_j(t,x,\eta)-a_j(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$
(5.21)

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

*Furthermore, for all* j = 0, 1, ...,

 $\begin{cases} \text{ all derivatives of } a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \text{ vanish at } \Sigma^+, & \text{if } q = n_-, n_- \neq n_+ \\ \text{ all derivatives of } a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \text{ vanish at } \Sigma^-, & \text{if } q = n_+, n_- \neq n_+. \end{cases}$ (5.22)

*Proof.* We assume that  $q = n_{-}$ . Put

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_j a_j(t,x,\eta).$$

Since  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$ , j = 0, 1, ..., solve the system (5.3), we have

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)})(e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}a(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i\psi(t,x,\eta)}b(t,x,\eta),$$

where  $b(t, x, \eta)$  satisfies (5.20). Note that we have the interwing properties

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{\partial}_{b} \Box_{b}^{(q)} = \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b} \\ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} \Box_{b}^{(q)} = \Box_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*} \end{array}\right) (5.23)$$

Now,

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial_b}^*(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}\tilde{a}\\ \overline{\partial}_b(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}\hat{a}\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a} &\sim \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_j(t, x, \eta), \, \widehat{a} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \widehat{a}_j(t, x, \eta), \, \text{where} \\ \\ & \widehat{a}_j \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} \, T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q+1} \, T^*(\Omega))), \, \, j = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \\ & \widetilde{a}_j \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} \, T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q-1} \, T^*(\Omega))), \, \, j = 0, 1, \dots, \end{split}$$

and  $\hat{a}_j$ ,  $\tilde{a}_j$  are quasi-homogeneous of degree 1 - j. From (5.23), we have

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q-1)})(e^{i\psi}\tilde{a}) = e^{i\psi}b_1,$$
  
$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q+1)})(e^{i\psi}\hat{a}) = e^{i\psi}b_2,$$

where  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  satisfy (5.20). Since  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  satisfy (5.20),  $\tilde{a}_j$ ,  $\hat{a}_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., solve the system (5.3) to infinite order at  $\Sigma$ . We notice that

$$q-1 \neq n_-, q+1 \neq n_-.$$

In view of the proof of Proposition 5.3, we can find  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j, every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma^-$ , there exists c > 0 such that

$$\begin{cases} \left| \partial_{t}^{\gamma} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \widetilde{a}_{j}(t, x, \eta) \right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0} t |\eta|} (1 + |\eta|)^{1-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \\ \left| \partial_{t}^{\gamma} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \widehat{a}_{j}(t, x, \eta) \right| \leq c e^{-\varepsilon_{0} t |\eta|} (1 + |\eta|)^{1-j-|\beta|+\gamma} \end{cases}$$
(5.24)

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

Now  $\Box_b^{(q)} = \overline{\partial}_b \overline{\partial}_b^* + \overline{\partial}_b^* \overline{\partial}_b$ , so  $\Box_b^{(q)}(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}c$ , where *c* satisfies the same kind of estimates as (5.24). From this we see that  $\partial_t(e^{i\psi}a) = e^{i\psi}d$ , where *d* has the same properties as *c*. Since  $d = i(\partial_t \psi)a + \partial_t a$  and  $\partial_t \psi$  satisfy the same kind of estimates as (5.24),  $\partial_t a$  satisfies the same kind of estimates as (5.24). From this we conclude that we can find  $a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$ , where  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a matrix-valued  $C^{\infty}$  positively homogeneous function of degree -j,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma^-$ , there exists c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a_j(t,x,\eta)-a_j(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . If  $n_- = n_+$ , then

$$q-1 \neq n_+, q+1 \neq n_+.$$

We can repeat the method above to conclude that we can find

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\infty, x, \eta),$$

where  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a matrix-valued  $C^{\infty}$  positively homogeneous function of degree -j,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma^+$ , there exists c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a_j(t,x,\eta)-a_j(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

Now, we assume that  $n_- \neq n_+$ . From (5.15), we can find  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j and every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma^+$ , there exists c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a_j(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1+|\eta|)^{-j-|\beta|+\gamma}$$

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

The proposition follows.

# 6 Some symbol classes

In this section we continue to work with some real local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$$

defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . We identify  $T^*(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $r(x, \eta)$  be a non-negative real continuous function on  $T^*(\Omega)$ . We assume that  $r(x, \eta)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1, that is,  $r(x, \lambda \eta) = \lambda r(x, \eta)$ , for  $\lambda \ge 1$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . For  $0 \le q_1, q_2 \le n - 1$ ,  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that

 $a \in \hat{S}_{r}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_{1}}T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_{2}}T^{*}(\Omega)))$ 

if

$$a \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_{1}}T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_{2}}T^{*}(\Omega)))$$

and for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c e^{t(-r(x,\eta)+\varepsilon|\eta|)}(1+|\eta|)^{k+\gamma-|\beta|}, x \in K, |\eta| \ge 1.$$

*Remark* 6.2. It is easy to see that we have the following properties:

- (a) If  $a \in \hat{S}_{r_1}^k$ ,  $b \in \hat{S}_{r_2}^l$  then  $ab \in \hat{S}_{r_1+r_2}^{k+l}$ ,  $a+b \in \hat{S}_{\min(r_1,r_2)}^{\max(k,l)}$ .
- (b) If  $a \in \hat{S}_r^k$  then  $\partial_t^{\gamma} \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_\eta^{\beta} a \in \hat{S}_r^{k-|\beta|+\gamma}$ .
- (c) If  $a_j \in \hat{S}_r^{k_j}$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ... and  $k_j \searrow -\infty$  as  $j \to \infty$ , then there exists  $a \in \hat{S}_r^{k_0}$  such that  $a \sum_{0}^{\nu-1} a_j \in \hat{S}_r^{k_\nu}$ , for all  $\nu = 1, 2, ...$  Moreover, if  $\hat{S}_r^{-\infty}$  denotes  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{S}_r^k$  then *a* is unique modulo  $\hat{S}_r^{-\infty}$ .

If *a* and  $a_j$  have the properties of (*c*), we write

$$a \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_j$$
 in the symbol space  $\hat{S}_r^{k_0}$ .

From Proposition 5.4, Proposition 5.5 and the standard Borel construction, we get the following

### Proposition 6.3. Let

$$c_j(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

be positively homogeneous functions of degree -j. Then, we can find solutions

 $a_{i}(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$ 

of the system (5.3) with

$$a_j(0, x, \eta) = c_j(x, \eta), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_i(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j such that

$$a_j \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

for some r with r > 0 if Y(q) holds and r = 0 if Y(q) fails. If the Levi form has signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , then we can take r > 0,

$$\begin{cases} \text{near } \Sigma^+, & \text{if } q = n_-, n_- \neq n_+, \\ \text{near } \Sigma^-, & \text{if } q = n_+, n_- \neq n_+. \end{cases}$$

Again, from Proposition 5.7 and the standard Borel construction, we get the following

**Proposition 6.4.** Suppose condition Y(q) fails. We assume that the Levi form has signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . We can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

of the system (5.3) with

$$a_0(0, x, \eta) = I, a_j(0, x, \eta) = 0$$
 when  $j > 0$ ,

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j, such that for some r > 0 as in Definition 6.1,

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) - a_j(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a positively homogeneous function of degree -j. Furthermore, for all j = 0, 1, ...,

$$\begin{cases} a_j(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 & \text{in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^+, & \text{if } q = n_-, n_- \neq n_+, \\ a_j(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 & \text{in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^-, & \text{if } q = n_+, n_- \neq n_+. \end{cases}$$

Let  $b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k$ , r > 0. Our next goal is to define the operator

$$B(t,x,y) = \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) d\eta$$

as an oscillatory integral. We have the following

## Proposition 6.5. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_1} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q_2} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. Then we can define

$$B(t): C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+; C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)))$$

with distribution kernel

$$B(t,x,y) = \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) d\eta$$

and B(t) has a unique continuous extension

$$B(t): \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+; \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

We have

$$B(t,x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}; C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_{1}}T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_{2}}T^{*}(\Omega)))),$$

and

$$B(t, x, y)|_{t>0} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_1} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q_2} T^*(\Omega))).$$

Proof. Let

$$S^*\Omega = \left\{ (x,\eta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}; \left| \eta \right| = 1 \right\},$$

and let

$$V \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times S^* \Omega$$

be a neighborhood of

$$(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\Sigma \bigcap S^*\Omega)) \bigcup (\{0\} \times S^*\Omega)$$

such that

$$V_t = \{(x,\eta); (t,x,\eta) \in V\}$$

is independent of t for large t. Set

$$W = \left\{ (t, x, \eta) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-1}; (\left| \eta \right| t, x, \frac{\eta}{\left| \eta \right|}) \in V \right\}.$$

Let  $\chi_V \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^*(\Omega))$  have its support in *V*, be equal to 1 in a neighborhood of  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^*\Sigma) \bigcup (\{0\} \times S^*\Omega)$ , and be independent of *t*, for large *t*. Set

$$\chi_W(t,x,\eta) = \chi_V(\left|\eta\right|t,x,\frac{\eta}{\left|\eta\right|}) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-1}).$$

We have  $\chi_W(t, x, \lambda \eta) = \chi_W(\lambda t, x, \eta), \lambda > 0$ . We can choose *V* sufficiently small so that

$$\left|\psi_{x}'(t,x,\eta)-\eta\right| \leq \frac{|\eta|}{2} \text{ in } W.$$
(6.1)

We formally set

$$B(t,x,y) = \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (1 - \chi_W(t,x,\eta)) b(t,x,\eta) d\eta$$
$$+ \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \chi_W(t,x,\eta) b(t,x,\eta) d\eta$$
$$= B_1(t,x,y) + B_2(t,x,y)$$

where in  $B_1(t, x, y)$  and  $B_2(t, x, y)$  we have introduced the cut-off functions  $(1 - \chi_W)$  and  $\chi_W$  respectively. Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Since  $\operatorname{Im} \psi > 0$  outside  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Sigma) \bigcup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ , we have

Im 
$$\psi(t, x, \eta) \ge c |\eta|$$
 outside W,

where c > 0. The kernel

$$B_{1,\varepsilon}(t,x,y) = \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (1 - \chi_W(t,x,\eta)) b(t,x,\eta) \chi(\varepsilon \eta) d\eta$$

converges in  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)))$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . This means that

$$B_1(t,x,y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} B_{1,\varepsilon}(t,x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

To study  $B_2(t, x, y)$  take a  $u(y) \in C_0^{\infty}(K; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)), K \subset \Omega$  and set

$$\chi_{\nu}(\eta) = \chi(2^{-\nu}\eta) - \chi(2^{1-\nu}\eta), \nu > 0, \ \chi_{0}(\eta) = \chi(\eta).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\nu} = 1 \text{ and } 2^{\nu-1} \leq \left| \eta \right| \leq 2^{\nu+1} \text{ when } \eta \in \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu}, \ \nu \neq 0$$

We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  if  $|\eta| \le 1$ . If  $x \in K$ , we obtain for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c_{\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$ , such that

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\eta}^{\beta}(\chi_v(\eta)\chi_W(t,x,\eta)b(t,x,\eta)) \right| \le c_{\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,K} e^{t(-r(x,\eta)+\varepsilon|\eta|)} (1+|\eta|)^{k-|\beta|}.$$
(6.2)

Note that  $|D^{\alpha}\chi_{\nu}(\eta)| \leq c_{\alpha}(1+|\eta|)^{-|\alpha|}$  with a constant independent of  $\nu$ . We have

$$B_{2,\nu+1} = \int \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \chi_{\nu+1}(\eta) \chi_W(t,x,\eta) b(t,x,\eta) u(y) dy d\eta$$
  
=  $2^{(2n-1)\nu} \int e^{i\lambda(\psi(\lambda t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \chi_1(\eta) \chi_W(t,x,\lambda\eta) b(t,x,\lambda\eta) u(y) dy d\eta,$ 

where  $\lambda = 2^{\nu}$ . Since (6.2) holds, we have

$$\left|D^{\alpha}_{\eta}(\chi_{W}(t,x,2^{\nu}\eta)b(t,x,2^{\nu}\eta))\right| \leq c2^{k\nu},$$

if  $x \in K$ ,  $1 < |\eta| < 4$ , where c > 0. Since  $d_y(\psi(\lambda t, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle) \neq 0$ , if  $\eta \neq 0$ , we can integrate by parts and obtain

$$\left|B_{2,\nu+1}\right| \leq c 2^{\nu(2n-1+k-m)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup |D^{\alpha}u|.$$

Since *m* can be chosen arbitrary large, we conclude that  $\sum_{v} |B_{2,v}|$ : converges and that B(t) defines an operator

$$B(t): C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_y; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega_x; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)).$$

Let  $B^*(t)$  be the formal adjoint of B(t) with respect to (|). From (6.1), we see that  $\psi'_x(t,x,\eta) \neq 0$  on *W*. We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that  $B^*(t)$  defines an operator

$$C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_x; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega_y; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)).$$

Hence, we can extend B(t) to

$$\mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1} T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+; \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2} T^*(\Omega)))$$

by the following formula

$$(B(t)u(y) | v(x)) = (u(y) | B^{*}(t)v(x)), \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^{*}(\Omega)), v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^{*}(\Omega)).$$

When  $x \neq y$  and  $(x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma$ , we have  $d_{\eta}(\psi(t, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle) \neq 0$ , we can repeat the procedure above and conclude that

$$B(t, x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+; C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)))).$$

Finally, in view of the exponential decrease as  $t \to \infty$  of the symbol  $b(t, x, \eta)$ , we see that the kernel  $B(t)|_{t>0}$  is smoothing.

Let  $b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k$  with r > 0. Our next step is to show that we can also define the operator

$$B(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta$$

as an oscillatory integral. We have the following

#### Proposition 6.6. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_1} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q_2} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Then we can define

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))$$

with distribution kernel

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta$$

and B has a unique continuous extension

$$B: \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$B(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

*Proof.* Let *W* and  $\chi_W(t, x, \eta)$  be as in Proposition 6.5. We formally set

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (1 - \chi_W(t,x,\eta)) b(t,x,\eta) dt d\eta + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \chi_W(t,x,\eta) b(t,x,\eta) dt d\eta = B_1(x,y) + B_2(x,y)$$

where in  $B_1(x, y)$  and  $B_2(x, y)$  we have introduced the cut-off functions  $(1 - \chi_W)$ and  $\chi_W$  respectively. Since

Im 
$$\psi(t, x, \eta) \ge c' |\eta|$$
 outside *W*,

where c' > 0, we have

$$\left|e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}(1-\chi_W(t,x,\eta))b(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c e^{-c'|\eta|}e^{-\varepsilon_0 t|\eta|}(1+|\eta|)^k, \ \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

and similar estimates for the derivatives. From this, we see that  $B_1(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$ 

Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . To study  $B_2(x, y)$  take a  $u(y) \in C_0^{\infty}(K; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)), K \subset \Omega$  and set

$$B_{2,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left( \int \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) \chi_W(t,x,\eta) \chi(\frac{\eta}{\lambda}) u(y) dy d\eta \right) dt.$$

We have

$$B_{2,2\lambda}(x) - B_{2,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda^{2n-1}}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left( \int \int e^{i\lambda(\psi(\lambda t, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \chi_W(t, x, \lambda\eta) b(t, x, \lambda\eta) \right) (\chi(\frac{\eta}{2}) - \chi(\eta)) u(y) dy d\eta dt.$$

Since  $d_y(\psi(\lambda t, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle) \neq 0, \eta \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \iint e^{i\lambda(\psi(\lambda t, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \chi_W(t, x, \lambda\eta) b(t, x, \lambda\eta) (\chi(\frac{\eta}{2}) - \chi(\eta)) u(y) dy d\eta \right| \\ &\leq c\lambda^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup \left| D^{\alpha}_{y, \eta} \chi_W(t, x, \lambda\eta) b(t, x, \lambda\eta) (\chi(\frac{\eta}{2}) - \chi(\eta)) u(y) \right| \\ &\leq c'\lambda^{-N} e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1 + |\lambda|)^k, \end{split}$$

where *c*, *c'*,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Hence  $B_2(x) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} B_{2,\lambda}(x)$  exists. Thus, B(x, y) defines an operator

$$C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega_x; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)).$$

Let  $B^*$  be the formal adjoint of B with respect to (|). Since  $\psi'_x(t, x, \eta) \neq 0$  on W, we can repeat the procedure above and conclude that  $B^*$  defines an operator

$$C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_x; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega_y; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)).$$

We can extend *B* to

$$\mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1} T^*(\Omega)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2} T^*(\Omega))$$

by the following formula

$$(Bu(y) | v(x)) = (u(y) | B^*v(x)), \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega)), v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)).$$

Finally, when  $x \neq y$  and  $(x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma$ , we have

$$d_{\eta}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)\neq 0,$$

we can repeat the procedure above and conclude that

$$B(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

Remark 6.7. Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in \widehat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

We assume  $a(t, x, \eta) = 0$ , if  $|\eta| \le 1$  and

$$a(t,x,\eta) - a(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0, where

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q_1}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q_2}T^*(\Omega))).$$

Then we can also define

$$A(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$

as an oscillatory integral by the following formula:

$$A(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (-t)(i\psi'_t(t,x,\eta)a(t,x,\eta) + a'_t(t,x,\eta))dt \right) d\eta.$$

We notice that

$$(-t)(i\psi'_t(t,x,\eta)a(t,x,\eta)+a'_t(t,x,\eta)) \in \hat{S}_r^{k+1}, r > 0.$$

Let *B* be as in the proposition 6.6. We can show that *B* is a matrix of pseudodifferential operators of order *k* type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . We review some facts about pseudodifferential operators of type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

**Definition 6.8.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

is the space of all

$$a \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

such that for every compact sets  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$  such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+|\xi|)^{k-\frac{|\beta|}{2}+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}, (x,\xi) \in T^*(\Omega), x \in K.$$

 $S^k_{rac{1}{2},rac{1}{2}}$  is called the space of symbols of order k type  $(rac{1}{2},rac{1}{2})$ . We write

$$S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}, \quad S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}.$$

Let  $a(x,\xi) \in S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega)))$ . We can also define

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

as an oscillatory integral and we can show that A is continuous

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$$

and has unique continuous extension

$$A: \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)).$$

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . A pseudodifferential operator of order k type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$$

such that the distribution kernel of A is

$$K_A = A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

with  $a \in S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega)))$ . We call  $a(x, \xi)$  the symbol of A. We shall write

$$L^{k}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega))$$

to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$ . We write

$$L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}, \quad L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}.$$

We recall the following classical proposition of Calderon-Vaillancourt.

**Proposition 6.10.** If  $A \in L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$ . Then, for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , A is continuous

$$A: H^s_{\text{comp}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to H^{s-k}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$$

and

$$A: H^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to H^{s-k}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$$

if A is properly supported. (For the precise meaning of properly supported operators, see the discussion before Definition A.6.) Proof. See Hörmander [15].

We need the following properties of the phase  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$ .

**Lemma 6.11.** For every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \ge 1$ , there exists a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$ , such that

 $\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}, \text{ if } |\alpha|+\left|\beta\right|=1$ and

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{1-\left|\beta\right|}, \quad \text{if } |\alpha|+\left|\beta\right|\geq 2,$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $|\eta| = 1$ , we consider Taylor expansions of  $\partial_{x_j}(\psi(t, x, \eta) - \langle x, \eta \rangle)$ , j = 1, ..., 2n - 1, at  $(x_0, \eta_0) \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\partial_{x_j}(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle) = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_k \partial x_j}(t,x_0,\eta_0)(x_k - x_0^{(k)}) \\ + \sum_k \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta_k \partial x_j}(t,x_0,\eta_0)(\eta_k - \eta_0^{(k)}) \\ + O(|(x-x_0)|^2 + |(\eta-\eta_0)|^2),$$

where  $x_0 = (x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(2n-1)})$ ,  $\eta_0 = (\eta_0^{(1)}, \dots, \eta_0^{(2n-1)})$ . Thus, for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  there exists a constant c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_x(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c \frac{t}{1+t} \operatorname{dist}((x,\eta),\Sigma)),$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $|\eta| = 1$ . From (4.4), we have

Im 
$$\psi(t, x, \eta) \approx (\frac{t}{1+t}) \operatorname{dist}((x, \eta), \Sigma))^2$$
,  $|\eta| = 1$ .

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\operatorname{dist}((x,\eta),\Sigma)) \asymp \left(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left|\eta\right| = 1.$$

Thus, for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  there exists a constant c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_x(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c(\frac{t}{1+t})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \left|\eta\right|=1, x\in K.$$

From above, we get for  $|\eta| \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_{x}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| &= \left|\eta\right| \left|\partial_{x}(\psi(t\left|\eta\right|,x,\frac{\eta}{\left|\eta\right|})-\left\langle x,\frac{\eta}{\left|\eta\right|}\right\rangle)\right| \\ &\leq c\left|\eta\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{t\left|\eta\right|}{1+t\left|\eta\right|})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c'(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c, c' > 0, x \in K, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Here *K* is as above. Similarly, for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  there exists a constant c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_{\eta}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . For  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \ge 2$ , we have

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{1-\left|\beta\right|},$$

where  $c, x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . Here *K* is as above.

The lemma follows.

We also need the following

**Lemma 6.12.** For every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ , there exist a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(t\psi_t'(t,x,\eta)) \right| &\leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K} (1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}} e^{-t\varepsilon|\eta|} (1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{1+\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}, \\ if \ |\alpha|+\left|\beta\right| &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(t\psi_t'(t,x,\eta))\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+|\eta|)^{1-|\beta|}e^{-t\varepsilon|\eta|}, \text{ if } |\alpha|+|\beta|\geq 2,$$
  
where  $x \in K, t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, |\eta|\geq 1.$ 

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 6.11.

We need the following

**Lemma 6.13.** For every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ , there exist a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)})\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}}e^{-\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta)}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}$$
(6.3)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle} t \psi_{t}'(t,x,\eta))) \right| \\ &\leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K} (1 + \left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha| - |\beta|}{2}} e^{-t\varepsilon \left|\eta\right|} e^{-\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta)} (1 + \operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{1 + \frac{|\alpha| + |\beta|}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$
(6.4)

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove (6.3). We proceed by induction over  $|\alpha| + |\beta|$ . For  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 1$ , from Lemma 6.11, we get (6.3). Let  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \ge 2$ . Then

$$\left| \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)}) \right| = \left| \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha, \beta' + \beta'' = \beta, (\alpha'', \beta'') \neq 0} \partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta'} (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)}) \partial_x^{\alpha''} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta''} (i\psi(t,x,\eta) - i\langle x,\eta \rangle) \right|.$$

By the induction assumption, we have for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists a constant c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha'}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta'}(e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)})\right| \leq c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha'|-|\beta'|}{2}}e^{-\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta)}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha'|+|\beta'|}{2}}, \quad (6.5)$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . From Lemma 6.11, we have

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha''}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta''}(i\psi(t,x,\eta)-i\langle x,\eta\rangle)\right| \leq c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha''|-|\beta''|}{2}}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha''|+|\beta''|}{2}},\quad(6.6)$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . Combining (6.5) with (6.6), we get (6.3).

From Leibniz's formula, Lemma 6.12 and (6.3), we get (6.4).

Lemma 6.14. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_{r}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Omega))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Then

$$q(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))).$$

Proof. From Leibniz's formula, we have

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta)) \\= \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha, \beta' + \beta'' = \beta} (\partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta'} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)}) (\partial_x^{\alpha''} \partial_{\eta}^{\eta''} b(t,x,\eta))$$

From (6.3) and the definition of  $\hat{S}_r^k$ , we have for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exist a constant c > 0 and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} q(x,\eta) \right| \\ & \leq c \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\operatorname{Im} \psi(t,x,\eta)} (1+\left|\eta\right|)^{k+\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}} (1+\operatorname{Im} \psi(t,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} e^{-\varepsilon t \left|\eta\right|} dt \\ & \leq c' (1+\left|\eta\right|)^{k-1+\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}}, \end{split}$$

where c' > 0,  $x \in K$ . The lemma follows.

We will next show

Proposition 6.15. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \widehat{S}_{r}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Let

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)),$$
  
$$\mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)).$$

be as in Proposition 6.6. Then

$$B \in L^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega))$$

with symbol

$$q(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt$$
  
  $\in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$ 

*Proof.* Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Take a  $u(y) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$ , then

$$Bu = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left( \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) u(y) \chi(\varepsilon \eta) d\eta \right) dt$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty e^{i\langle x-y,\eta \rangle} \left( \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) u(y) \chi(\varepsilon \eta) \right) d\eta dt$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\eta \rangle} q(x,\eta) u(y) \chi(\varepsilon \eta) d\eta.$$

From Lemma 6.14, we know that  $q(x, \eta) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{k-1}$ . Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\eta \rangle} q(x,\eta) u(y) \chi(\varepsilon\eta) d\eta \in L^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)).$$

We need the following

Lemma 6.16. Let

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

be a classical symbol of order k, that is

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^k(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))),$ 

where

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$
$$a_j(\infty, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda^{k-j} a_j(\infty, x, \eta), \quad \lambda \ge 1, \quad |\eta| \ge 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $S_{1,0}^k$  is the Hörmander symbol space. We assume that  $a(\infty, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Then

$$p(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)})a(\infty,x,\eta)dt$$
  
$$\in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))).$$

Proof. We have

$$p(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} (-t) i\psi'_t(t,x,\eta) a(\infty,x,\eta) dt.$$
(6.7)

From (6.4), we can repeat the procedure in the proof of Lemma 6.14 to get the lemma.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Remark 6.17. Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$$

We assume  $a(t, x, \eta) = 0$ , if  $|\eta| \le 1$  and

$$a(t,x,\eta) - a(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0, where  $a(\infty, x, \eta)$  is as in Lemma 6.16. By Lemma 6.14 and Lemma 6.16, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \Big) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} (a(t,x,\eta) - a(\infty,x,\eta)) dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)}) a(\infty,x,\eta)) dt \\ &\in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} (T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega))). \end{split}$$

Let

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$

be as in the Remark 6.7. Then as in Proposition 6.15, we can show that

$$A \in L^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Omega))$$

with symbol

$$q(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt$$
  
$$\in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$$

We have the following

Proposition 6.18. Let

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

be a classical symbol of order k. Then

$$a(x,\eta) = e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta)$$
  

$$\in S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$$

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 6.13, we have for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ , there exists a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$ , such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)})\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}}e^{-\operatorname{Im}\psi(\infty,x,\eta)}(1+\operatorname{Im}\psi(\infty,x,\eta))^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}},$$

where  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . From this and Leibniz's formula, we get the proposition.  $\Box$ 

# 7 The heat equation

Until further notice, we work with some real local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$$

defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . From now on, we write

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta$$

to denote the kernel of pseudodifferential operator of order k - 1 type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  with symbol

$$\int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)}b(t,x,\eta)dt \in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega);\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega),\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))).$$

(See Proposition 6.15.)

Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$$

We assume that  $a(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$  and that

$$a(t, x, \eta) - a(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))) \text{ with } r > 0,$$

where

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

is a classical symbol of order k. From now on, we write

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$

to denote the kernel of pseudodifferential operator of order k - 1 type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)$  with symbol

$$\int_0^\infty \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \Big) dt$$

in  $S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}((T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Omega))))$ . (See Lemma 6.14 and Lemma 6.16.) From Proposition 5.6, we have the following

**Proposition 7.1.** Let Q be a  $C^{\infty}$  differential operator on  $\Omega$  of order m. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Set

$$Q(e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}c(t,x,\eta),$$
  

$$c(t,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_{r}^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(\Omega),\Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(\Omega))), r > 0.$$

Put

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta,$$
  
$$C(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} c(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta.$$

We have

$$Q \circ B \equiv C.$$

**Proposition 7.2.** Let Q be a  $C^{\infty}$  differential operator on  $\Omega$  of order m. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$$

We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$  and that

$$b(t, x, \eta) - b(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0, where

$$b(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

is a classical symbol of order k. Set

$$Q\Big(e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(t,x,\eta)-e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(\infty,x,\eta)\Big)$$
  
=  $e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}c(t,x,\eta)-e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}c(\infty,x,\eta),$ 

where

$$c(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))),$$
$$c(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

is a classical symbol of order k + m. Then

$$c(t,x,\eta) - c(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. Put

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta,$$
$$C(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} c(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} c(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta.$$

We have

$$Q \circ B \equiv C$$
.

We return to our problem. From now on, we assume that our operators are properly supported. We assume that Y(q) holds. Let

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \quad r > 0,$$

be as in Proposition 6.3 with  $a_0(0, x, \eta) = I$ ,  $a_j(0, x, \eta) = 0$  when j > 0. Let

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta)$$

in the symbol space  $\hat{S}^0_r(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))),$ 

where r > 0. Let

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}) \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) = e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta).$$
(7.1)

From Proposition 5.6, we see that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $c_{\alpha,\beta,N,\varepsilon,K} > 0$  such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}b(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,N,\varepsilon,K}e^{t(-r(x,\eta)+\varepsilon|\eta|)}(\left|\eta\right|^{-N}+\left|\eta\right|^{2-N}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{N}), \quad (7.2)$$

where  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$ and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Set

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta.$$
(7.3)

We have the following proposition

**Proposition 7.3.** Suppose Y(q) holds. Let A = A(x, y) be as in (7.3). We have

$$\Box_b^{(q)} A \equiv I.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{split} & \Box_b^{(q)} \Big( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) (1-\chi(\eta)), \end{split}$$

where  $b(t, x, \eta)$  is as in (7.1), (7.2). From Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} \circ A \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta$$
$$- \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta.$$

From (7.2), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}\int \left(\int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta))dt\right)d\eta$$

is smoothing. Choose a cut-off function  $\chi_1(\eta) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi_1(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi_1(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Take a

$$u(y) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)),$$

then

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) (1-\chi(\eta)) \chi_1(\varepsilon\eta) u(y) dt \Big) d\eta dy \\ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int e^{i\langle x-y,\eta \rangle} (1-\chi(\eta)) \chi_1(\varepsilon\eta) u(y) d\eta dy. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \equiv -I.$$

Thus

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A \equiv I$$

*Remark* 7.4. We assume that Y(q) holds. From Proposition 7.3, we know that, for every local coordinate patch  $X_i$ , there exists a properly supported operator

$$A_j: \mathscr{D}'(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j))$$

such that

$$A_j: H^s_{\operatorname{loc}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)) \to H^{s+1}_{\operatorname{loc}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j))$$

and

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A_j - I : H^s_{\text{loc}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)) \to H^{s+m}_{\text{loc}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m \ge 0$ . We assume that  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} X_j$ . Let  $\{\chi_j\}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  partition of unity subordinate to  $\{X_j\}$  and set

$$Au = \sum_{j} A_{j}(\chi_{j}u), \ u \in \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(X)).$$

A is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$A: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We notice that *A* is properly supported. We have

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A - I : H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^{s+m}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m \ge 0$ .

Now we assume that Y(q) fails. Let

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) \in \widehat{S}_0^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

be as in Proposition 6.4. We recall that for some r > 0,

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) - a_j(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^0(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$  (7.4)

Here  $S_{1,0}^0$  is the Hörmander symbol space. Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t, x, \eta)$$
  
in the symbol space  $\hat{S}_0^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))).$  (7.5)

We take  $a(t, x, \eta)$  so that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , k, there exists c > 0, c is independent of t, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a(t,x,\eta) - \sum_{j=0}^k a_j(t,x,\eta))\right| \le c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{-k-1+\gamma-\left|\beta\right|},\tag{7.6}$$

where  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ , and

$$a(t, x, \eta) - a(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}^0_r(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

with r > 0. Let

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}) \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) = e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta).$$
(7.7)

Then

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Omega)))$$

 $b(t, x, \eta) - b(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega)))$ (7.8)

with r > 0, where  $b(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a classical symbol of order 2. Moreover, we have

$$(\partial_t + \Box_b^{(q)}) \Big( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \Big) \Big)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \Big).$  (7.9)

From Proposition 5.6, we see that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $c_{\alpha,\beta,N,K} > 0$  such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}b(t,x,\eta)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,N,K}(\left|\eta\right|^{-N} + \left|\eta\right|^{2-N}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(t,x,\eta))^{N}),\tag{7.10}$$

where  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . Thus,

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}b(\infty,x,\eta)\right| \le c_{\alpha,\beta,N,K}(\left|\eta\right|^{-N} + \left|\eta\right|^{2-N}(\operatorname{Im}\psi(\infty,x,\eta))^{N}).$$
(7.11)

From (7.8), (7.10) and (7.11), it follows that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $c_{\alpha,\beta,N,\varepsilon,K} > 0$  such that

$$\left| \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \left( b(t, x, \eta) - b(\infty, x, \eta) \right) \right| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta, N, \varepsilon, K} \left( e^{t(-r(x, \eta) + \varepsilon |\eta|)} (\left| \eta \right|^{-N} + \left| \eta \right|^{2-N} (\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta))^{N}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(7.12)

where  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ , r > 0.

Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Set

$$G(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta.$$
(7.13)

Put

$$S(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta.$$
(7.14)

We have the following

**Proposition 7.5.** We assume that Y(q) fails. Let G and S be as in (7.13) and (7.14) respectively. Then

$$S + \Box_b^{(q)} \circ G \equiv I$$

and

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ S \equiv 0.$$

and

*Proof.* We have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)}\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}a(t,x,\eta)\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}\left(b(t,x,\eta)-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}a-\frac{\partial a}{\partial t}\right),$$

where  $b(t, x, \eta)$  is as in (7.7). Letting  $t \to \infty$ , we get

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)}\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}a(\infty,x,\eta)\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(\infty,x,\eta),$$

where  $b(\infty, x, \eta)$  is as in (7.8) and (7.11). From (7.11), we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}}\int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(\infty,x,\eta)d\eta$$

is smoothing. Thus

$$\Box_h^{(q)} \circ S \equiv 0.$$

In view of (7.9), we have

$$\begin{split} \Box_{b}^{(q)} \Big( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) \\ &- e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta)(1-\chi(\eta)) \Big) \Big) \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) \\ &- e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \Big) (1-\chi(\eta)) \\ &- \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) (1-\chi(\eta)). \end{split}$$

From Proposition 7.2, we have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} \circ G = \Box_{b}^{(q)} \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \right)$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \left( \int \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta - \int \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \right).$$

In view of (7.11) and (7.12), we see that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} & \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \right) b(\infty,x,\eta) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \left( b(t,x,\eta) - b(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \end{split}$$

is smoothing.

Choose a cut-off function  $\chi_1(\eta) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi_1(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$ and  $\chi_1(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Take a  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Omega))$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \Big) (1-\chi(\eta)) \chi_1(\varepsilon \eta) u(y) dt \Big) d\eta dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) (1-\chi(\eta)) \chi_1(\varepsilon \eta) u(y) d\eta dy \\ &- \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\eta \rangle} (1-\chi(\eta)) \chi_1(\varepsilon \eta) u(y) d\eta dy. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta \equiv S - I.$$

Thus

$$S + \Box_{b,q} \circ G \equiv I.$$

In the remainder of this section, we recall some facts about Hilbert space theory that will be useful later. We recall that  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$  carries an inner product

$$(u \mid v) = \int_{X} (u(z) \mid v(z))(dm), \ u \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)), \ v \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)).$$

(See (2.8).) The completion will be denoted  $L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ . Let *A* be as in Remark 7.4. *A* has a formal adjoint

$$A^*: \mathcal{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathcal{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$
  
$$(A^*u \mid v) = (u \mid Av), \ u \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)), \ v \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

**Lemma 7.6.** *A*<sup>\*</sup> *is well-defined as a continuous operator* 

$$A^*: H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we have

$$A^* \equiv A$$

*Proof.* The first statement is a consequence of the theorem of Calderon and Vaillancourt. (See Proposition 6.10.) In view of Remark 7.4, we see that  $\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A \equiv I$ . Thus

$$A^* \circ \Box_h^{(q)} \equiv I$$

We have

$$A^* - A \equiv A^* \circ (\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A) - A$$
$$\equiv (A^* \circ \Box_b^{(q)}) \circ A - A$$
$$\equiv A - A$$
$$\equiv 0.$$

The lemma follows.

From this, we get a two-sided parametrix for  $\Box_h^{(q)}$ .

**Proposition 7.7.** We assume that Y(q) holds. Let A be as in Remark 7.4. Then

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \circ A \equiv A \circ \Box_b^{(q)} \equiv I.$$

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 7.6, we have  $A^* \equiv A$ . Thus

$$I \equiv \Box_b^{(q)} \circ A \equiv A^* \circ \Box_b^{(q)} \equiv A \circ \Box_b^{(q)}.$$

*Remark* 7.8. The existence of a two-sided parametrix for  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  under condition Y(q) is a classical result. See Beals-Greiner [1].

**Definition 7.9.** Suppose *Q* is a closed densely defined operator

$$Q: H \supset \operatorname{Dom} Q \to \operatorname{Ran} Q \subset H,$$

where *H* is a Hilbert space. Suppose that *Q* has closed range. By the partial inverse of *Q*, we mean the bounded operator  $N : H \to H$  such that

$$Q \circ N = \pi_2$$
,  $N \circ Q = \pi_1$  on Dom  $Q$ ,

where  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  are the orthogonal projections in *H* such that

$$\operatorname{Ran} \pi_1 = (\operatorname{Ker} Q)^{\perp}$$
,  $\operatorname{Ran} \pi_2 = \operatorname{Ran} Q$ .

In other words, for  $u \in H$ , let

$$\pi_2 u = Qv, v \in (\operatorname{Ker} Q)^{\perp} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} Q.$$

Then,

$$Nu = v.$$

Set

Dom 
$$\Box_b^{(q)} = \left\{ u \in L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)); \Box_b^{(q)} u \in L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 7.10.** We consider  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  as an operator

$$\Box_b^{(q)}: L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \supset \text{Dom}\,\Box_b^{(q)} \to L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

If Y(q) holds, then  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range.

*Proof.* Suppose  $u_j \in \text{Dom} \square_b^{(q)}$  and

$$\Box_b^{(q)} u_j = v_j \to v \text{ in } L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

We have to show that there exists  $u \in \text{Dom} \square_b^{(q)}$  such that

$$\Box_b^{(q)} u = v.$$

From Proposition 7.7, we have

$$\Box_b^{(q)} A = I - F_1, \ A \Box_b^{(q)} = I - F_2,$$

where  $F_j$ , j = 1, 2, are smoothing operators. Now,

$$A \square_{b}^{(q)} u_{j} = (I - F_{2}) u_{j} \rightarrow Av \text{ in } L^{2}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)).$$

Since  $F_2$  is compact, there exists a subsequence

$$u_{j_k} \to u$$
 in  $L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)), k \to \infty$ .

We have  $(I - F_2)u = Av$  and

$$\Box_b^{(q)} u_{j_k} \to \Box_b^{(q)} u \text{ in } H^{-2}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)), \ k \to \infty.$$

Thus  $\Box_b^{(q)} u = v$ . Now  $v \in L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ , so  $u \in \text{Dom} \Box_b^{(q)}$ . We have proved the lemma.

It follows that  $\operatorname{Ran}_{b}^{(q)} = (\operatorname{Ker}_{b}^{(q)})^{\perp}$ . Notice also that  $\Box_{b}^{(q)}$  is self-adjoint. Now, we can prove the following classical proposition of Beals and Greiner. (See [1].)

**Proposition 7.11.** Suppose Y(q) holds. Then dim Ker $\Box_b^{(q)} < \infty$ . Let  $\pi$  be the orthogonal projection onto Ker $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and N be the partial inverse. Then  $\pi$  is a smoothing operator and N = A + F where A is as in Proposition 7.7 and F is a smoothing operator. Let  $N^*$  be the formal adjoint of N,

$$(N^*u \mid v) = (u \mid Nv), \ u \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)), \ v \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

Then,

$$N^* = N$$
 on  $L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ 

Proof. From Proposition 7.7, we have

$$A \Box_b^{(q)} = I - F_1, \ \Box_b^{(q)} A = I - F_2,$$

where  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  are smoothing operators. Thus  $\operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(I - F_1)$ . Since  $F_1$  is compact,  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - F_1)$  is finite dimensional and contained in  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ . Thus  $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)} < \infty$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)} \subset C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ .

Let  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis for Ker $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . The projection  $\pi$  is given by

$$\pi u = \sum_{j=1}^m (u \mid \phi_j) \phi_j.$$

Thus  $\pi$  is a smoothing operator. Notice that  $I - \pi$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ran}_{h}^{(q)}$  since  $\Box_{h}^{(q)}$  is formally self-adjoint with closed range.

For  $u \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ , we have

$$(N-A)u = (A \Box_b^{(q)} + F_1)Nu - Au$$
  
=  $A(I - \pi)u + F_1Nu - Au$   
=  $-A\pi u + F_1N(\Box_b^{(q)}A + F_2)u$   
=  $-A\pi u + F_1(I - \pi)Au + F_1NF_2u.$ 

Here

$$-A\pi, F_1(1-\pi)A: H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^{s+m}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m \ge 0$ , so  $-A\pi$ ,  $F_1(1-\pi)A$  are smoothing operators. Since

$$F_1 N F_2 : \mathscr{E}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$
$$\to L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

 $F_1NF_2$  is a smoothing operator. Thus

N = A + F, F is a smoothing operator.

Since

$$N\pi = \pi N = 0 = N^*\pi = \pi N^* = 0,$$

we have

$$N^* = (N \square_b^{(q)} + \pi) N^* = N \square_b^{(q)} N^* = N.$$

The proposition follows.

Now, we assume that Y(q) fails but that Y(q-1), Y(q+1) hold. In view of Lemma 7.10, we see that  $\Box_b^{(q-1)}$  and  $\Box_b^{(q+1)}$  have closed range. We write  $\overline{\partial}_b^{(q)}$  to denote the map

$$\overline{\partial}_b: C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(X)).$$

Let  $\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*}$  denote the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}_{b}$ . We have

$$\overline{\partial}_b^{(q),*}: C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(X)).$$

Let  $N_b^{(q+1)}$  and  $N_b^{(q-1)}$  be the partial inverses of  $\Box_b^{(q+1)}$  and  $\Box_b^{(q-1)}$  respectively. From Proposition 7.11, we have

$$(N_b^{(q+1)})^* = N_b^{(q+1)}, (N_b^{(q-1)})^* = N_b^{(q-1)},$$

where  $(N_b^{(q+1)})^*$  and  $(N_b^{(q-1)})^*$  are the formal adjoints of  $N_b^{(q+1)}$  and  $N_b^{(q-1)}$  respectively. Let  $\pi_b^{(q+1)}$  and  $\pi_b^{(q-1)}$  be the orthogonal projections onto the kernels of  $\Box_b^{(q+1)}$  and  $\Box_b^{(q-1)}$  respectively. Put

$$N = \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}$$
(7.15)

and

$$\pi = I - (\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}).$$
(7.16)

In view of Proposition 7.11, we see that N is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$N: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X))$$
(7.17)

and  $\pi$  is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$\pi: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s-1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)),$$
(7.18)

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\pi^*$  and  $N^*$  be the formal adjoints of  $\pi$  and N respectively. We have the following

**Lemma 7.12.** If we consider  $\pi$  and N as operators

$$\pi, N: \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

then

$$\pi^* = \pi, \ N^* = N, \tag{7.19}$$

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)}\pi = 0 = \pi \Box_{b}^{(q)}, \tag{7.20}$$

$$\pi + \Box_b^{(q)} N = I = \pi + N \Box_b^{(q)}, \tag{7.21}$$

$$\pi N = 0 = N\pi, \tag{7.22}$$

$$\pi^2 = \pi. \tag{7.23}$$

*Proof.* From (7.15) and (7.16), we get (7.19). For *u* ∈  $C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(X))$ , we have

$$0 = (\Box_{b}^{(q+1)} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u \mid \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u)$$
  
=  $(\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q+1)} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u \mid \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q+1)} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u) + (\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u \mid \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} u).$ 

Thus,

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q+1)} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} = 0, \ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \pi_{b}^{(q+1)} = 0.$$
(7.24)

Hence, by taking the formal adjoints

$$\pi_b^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_b^{(q+1),*} = 0, \ \pi_b^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_b^{(q)} = 0.$$
(7.25)

Similarly,

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} \pi_{b}^{(q-1)} = 0, \ \pi_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} = 0.$$
(7.26)

Note that

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} = \Box_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*}, \ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} \Box_{b}^{(q-1)} = \Box_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)}.$$
(7.27)

Now,

$$\begin{split} & \Box_b^{(q)}(\overline{\partial}_b^{(q),*}N_b^{(q+1)}\overline{\partial}_b^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1)}N_b^{(q-1)}\overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1),*}) \\ &= \overline{\partial}_b^{(q),*}\Box_b^{(q+1)}N_b^{(q+1)}\overline{\partial}_b^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1)}\Box_b^{(q-1)}N_b^{(q-1)}\overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_b^{(q),*}(I - \pi_b^{(q+1)})\overline{\partial}_b^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1)}(I - \pi_b^{(q-1)})\overline{\partial}_b^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \Box_b^{(q)}. \end{split}$$

Here we used (7.24), (7.26) and (7.27). Hence,

$$\Box_b^{(q)} \pi = 0.$$

We have

$$\pi \Box_b^{(q)} = (\Box_b^{(q)} \pi)^* = 0,$$

where  $(\Box_b^{(q)}\pi)^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $\Box_b^{(q)}\pi$ . We get (7.20). Now,

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)} N = \left(\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} \Box_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}\right)$$

$$= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (I - \pi_{b}^{(q+1)}) N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (I - \pi_{b}^{(q-1)}) N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}$$

$$= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}$$

$$= I - \pi.$$
(7.28)

Here we used (7.24), (7.26) and (7.27). Thus,

$$\Box_b^{(q)} N + \pi = I.$$

We have

$$\pi + N \Box_b^{(q)} = (\Box_b^{(q)} N + \pi)^* = I,$$

where  $(\Box_b^{(q)}N + \pi)^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $\Box_b^{(q)}N + \pi$ . We get (7.21). Now,

$$N(I - \pi) = N(\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*})$$
  
$$= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)}$$
  
$$+ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*}.$$

From (7.24), (7.25) and (7.27), we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} &= (I - \pi_{b}^{(q+1)}) \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \\ &= N_{b}^{(q+1)} \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \\ &= N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \\ &= N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (I - \pi_{b}^{(q+1)}) \\ &= N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} . \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} N_{b}^{(q-1)} = N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} N(I-\pi) &= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \\ &+ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} N_{b}^{(q+1)} \Box_{b}^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \\ &+ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} N_{b}^{(q-1)} \Box_{b}^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} (I - \pi_{b}^{(q+1)}) \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} \\ &+ \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q),*} (N_{b}^{(q+1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q)} + \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1)} (N_{b}^{(q-1)})^{2} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{(q-1),*} \\ &= N. \end{split}$$

Here we used (7.25) and (7.26). Thus,

$$N\pi = 0.$$

We have

$$\pi N = (N\pi)^* = 0$$

where  $(N\pi)^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $N\pi$ . We get (7.22).

Finally,

$$\pi = (\Box_b^{(q)} N + \pi)\pi = \pi^2.$$

We get (7.23).

The lemma follows.

**Lemma 7.13.** If we restrict  $\pi$  to  $L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ , then  $\pi$  is the orthogonal projection onto Ker $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . Thus,  $\pi$  is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$\pi : L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

*Proof.* From (7.20), we get  $\operatorname{Ran}(\pi) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$  in the space of distributions. From (7.21), we get  $\pi u = u$ , when  $u \in \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$ , so  $\operatorname{Ran}(\pi) = \operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$  and

$$\pi^2 = \pi = \pi^* \pi = \pi^*.$$

For  $\varphi$ ,  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ , we get

$$((1-\pi)\varphi \mid \pi\phi) = 0$$

so  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - \pi) \perp \operatorname{Ran}(\pi)$  and  $\varphi = (I - \pi)\varphi + \pi\varphi$  is the orthogonal decomposition. It follows that  $\pi$  restricted to  $L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ker} \Box_h^{(q)}$ .

**Lemma 7.14.** If we consider  $\Box_h^{(q)}$  as an unbounded operator

$$\Box_b^{(q)}: L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \supset \text{Dom}\,\Box_b^{(q)} \longrightarrow L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

then  $\Box_h^{(q)}$  has closed range and

$$N: L^{2}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to \text{Dom} \square_{b}^{(q)} = \left\{ u \in L^{2}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)); \\ \square_{b}^{(q)} u \in L^{2}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \right\}$$

is the partial inverse.

Proof. From (7.21) and Lemma 7.13, we see that

 $N: L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \text{Dom}\,\Box_h^{(q)}$ 

and  $\operatorname{Ran} \Box_b^{(q)} \supset \operatorname{Ran}(I - \pi)$ . If

$$\Box_b^{(q)} u = v, \quad u, v \in L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

then  $(I - \pi)v = (I - \pi)\Box_b^{(q)}u = v$  since  $\pi \Box_b^{(q)} = \Box_b^{(q)}\pi = 0$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{Ran}_{b}^{(q)} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(I-\pi)$$

so  $\square_b^{(q)}$  has closed range.

From (7.22), we know that  $N\pi = \pi N = 0$ . Thus, N is the partial inverse.

From Lemma 7.13 and Lemma 7.14 we get the following classical result. (See also Beals-Greiner [1].)

**Proposition 7.15.** We assume that Y(q) fails but that Y(q-1) and Y(q+1) hold. Then  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Let N and  $\pi$  be as in (7.15) and (7.16) respectively. Then N is the partial inverse of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and  $\pi$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ker} \Box_b^{(q)}$ .

## 8 The Szegö Projection

In this section, we assume that Y(q) fails. From Proposition 7.5, we know that, for every local coordinate patch  $X_i$ , there exist

$$G_j \in L^{-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j))$$

and

$$S_j \in L^0_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(X_j; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j))$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} S_j + \Box_b^{(q)} G_j \equiv I \\ \Box_b^{(q)} S_j \equiv 0 \end{cases}$$
(8.1)

in the space  $\mathcal{D}'(X_j \times X_j; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)))$ . Furthermore, the distribution kernel  $K_{S_i}$  of  $S_j$  is of the form

$$K_{S_j}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta, \qquad (8.2)$$

where  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(X_j))$  and

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(X_j); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)))$$

are as in Proposition 4.3 and (7.4). From now on, we assume that  $S_j$  and  $G_j$  are properly supported operators.

We assume that  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} X_j$ . Let  $\chi_j$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  partition of unity subordinate to  $\{X_j\}$ . From (8.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} S_j \chi_j + \Box_b^{(q)} G_j \chi_j \equiv \chi_j \\ \Box_b^{(q)} S_j \chi_j \equiv 0 \end{cases}$$
(8.3)

in the space  $\mathcal{D}'(X_j \times X_j; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X_j)))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{cases} S + \Box_b^{(q)} G \equiv I \\ \Box_b^{(q)} S \equiv 0 \end{cases}$$
(8.4)

in the space  $\mathcal{D}'(X \times X; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)))$ , where

$$S, G: \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

$$\begin{cases}
Su = \sum_{j=1}^k S_j(\chi_j u), u \in \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \\
Gu = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(\chi_j u), u \in \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))
\end{cases}.$$
(8.5)

Let

 $S^*, G^* : \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$ 

be the formal adjoints of *S* and *G* respectively. As in Lemma 7.6, we see that  $S^*$  and  $G^*$  are well-defined as continuous operators

$$\begin{cases} S^* : H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \\ G^* : H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \end{cases}$$
(8.6)

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have the following

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let S be as in (8.4), (8.5). We have* 

 $S \equiv S^*S.$ 

It follows that

 $S \equiv S^*$ 

and

 $S^2 \equiv S$ .

*Proof.* From (8.4), it follows that

$$S^* + G^* \square_h^{(q)} \equiv I.$$

We have

$$S \equiv (S^* + G^* \Box_b^{(q)}) \circ S$$
$$\equiv S^* S + G^* \Box_b^{(q)} S$$
$$\equiv S^* S.$$

The lemma follows.

Let

$$H = (I - S) \circ G. \tag{8.7}$$

*H* is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$H: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \longrightarrow H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . The formal adjoint  $H^*$  is well-defined as a continuous operator

$$H^*: H^s(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Lemma 8.2. Let S and H be as in (8.4), (8.5) and (8.7). Then

$$SH \equiv 0, \tag{8.8}$$

$$S + \Box_b^{(q)} H \equiv I. \tag{8.9}$$

Proof. We have

$$SH \equiv S(I-S)G \equiv (S-S^2)G \equiv 0$$

since  $S^2 \equiv S$ , where *G* is as in (8.4). From (8.4), it follows that

$$S + \Box_b^{(q)} H = S + \Box_b^{(q)} (I - S)G$$
$$\equiv I - \Box_b^{(q)} SG$$
$$\equiv I.$$

The lemma follows.

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let H be as in* (8.7)*. Then* 

 $H \equiv H^*$ .

*Proof.* Taking the adjoint in (8.9), we get

$$S^* + H^* \square_b^{(q)} \equiv I.$$

Hence

$$H \equiv (S^* + H^* \Box_b^{(q)}) H \equiv S^* H + H^* \Box_b^{(q)} H.$$

From Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.2, we have

$$S^*H \equiv SH \equiv 0.$$

Hence

$$H \equiv H^* \square_h^{(q)} H \equiv H^*.$$

Summing up, we get the following

**Proposition 8.4.** We assume that Y(q) fails. Let

$$\begin{cases} S: \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \\ H: \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \end{cases}$$

be as in (8.5) and (8.7). Then, S and H are well-defined as continuous operators

$$S: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)),$$
(8.10)

$$H: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s+1}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)),$$
(8.11)

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we have

$$H\Box_{b}^{(q)} + S \equiv S + \Box_{b}^{(q)} H \equiv I,$$
(8.12)

$$\Box_b^{(q)} S \equiv S \Box_b^{(q)} \equiv 0, \tag{8.13}$$

$$S \equiv S^* \equiv S^2, \tag{8.14}$$

$$SH \equiv HS \equiv 0, \tag{8.15}$$

$$H \equiv H^*. \tag{8.16}$$

Remark 8.5. If

$$S', H' : \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$

satisfy (8.10)-(8.16), then

$$S' \equiv (H \square_b^{(q)} + S)S' \equiv SS' \equiv S(\square_b^{(q)}H' + S') \equiv S$$

and

$$H' \equiv (H \square_b^{(q)} + S)H' \equiv (H \square_b^{(q)} + S')H' \equiv H \square_b^{(q)}H' \equiv H(\square_b^{(q)}H' + S') \equiv H.$$

Thus,(8.10)-(8.16) determine S and H uniquely up to smoothing operators.

*Remark* 8.6. Proposition 8.4 is motivated by the work of Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand [9]. See also Beals-Greiner [1].

Now we can prove the following

**Proposition 8.7.** We assume that Y(q) fails. Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Let N be the partial inverse of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and let  $\pi$  be the orthogonal projection onto Ker $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . Then

$$N = H + F,$$
$$\pi = S + K,$$

where H, S are as in Proposition 8.4, F, K are smoothing operators.

*Proof.* We may replace *S* by  $I - \Box_b^{(q)} H$  and we have

$$\Box_{b}^{(q)}H + S = I = H^{*}\Box_{b}^{(q)} + S^{*}.$$

Now,

$$\pi = \pi(\Box_b^{(q)}H + S) = \pi S, \qquad (8.17)$$

hence

$$\pi^* = S^* \pi^* = \pi = S^* \pi. \tag{8.18}$$

Similarly,

$$S = (N \Box_b^{(q)} + \pi) S = \pi S + N F_1, \qquad (8.19)$$

where  $F_1$  is a smoothing operator. From (8.17) and (8.19), we have

$$S - \pi = S - \pi S = NF_1. \tag{8.20}$$

Hence

$$(S^* - \pi)(S - \pi) = F_1^* N^2 F_1.$$

On the other hand,

$$(S^* - \pi)(S - \pi) = S^*S - S^*\pi - \pi S + \pi^2$$
  
=  $S^*S - \pi$   
=  $S - \pi + F_2$ ,

where  $F_2$  is a smoothing operator. Here we used (8.17) and (8.18). Now,

$$F_1^* N^2 F_1 : \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \\ \to L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

Hence  $F_1^* N^2 F_1$  is smoothing. Thus  $S - \pi$  is smoothing. We have,

$$N - H = N(\Box_b^{(q)}H + S) - H$$
$$= (I - \pi)H + NS - H$$
$$= NS - \pi H$$
$$= N(S - \pi) + F_3$$
$$= NF_4 + F_3$$

where  $F_4$  and  $F_3$  are smoothing operators. Now,

$$N - H^* = N^* - H^*$$
  
=  $F_4^* N + F_3^*$   
=  $F_4^* (NF_4 + F_3 + H) + F_3^*.$ 

Note that

$$F_4^*NF_4: \mathscr{D}'(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))$$
$$\to L^2(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)).$$

and

$$F_{4}^{*}H: H^{s}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X)) \to H^{s+m}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(X))$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m \ge 0$ . Hence  $N - H^*$  is smoothing and so is  $(N - H^*)^* = N - H$ .

From Proposition 8.4 and Proposition 8.7, we obtain the following

**Theorem 8.8.** We assume that Y(q) fails. Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form L. Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range and recall this is the case when Y(q-1) and Y(q+1) hold. Let  $\pi$  be the Szegö projection, that is,  $\pi$  is the orthogonal projection onto Ker $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . Then for every local coordinate patch  $U \subset X$ , the distribution kernel of  $\pi$  on  $U \times U$  is of the form

$$K_{\pi}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta \ \text{mod} C^{\infty}, \tag{8.21}$$

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in S^0_{1,0}(T^*(U); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(U))),$$

$$\begin{split} a(\infty, x, \eta) &\sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) \\ in the symbol space \ S_{1,0}^{0}(T^{*}(U); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U))), \end{split}$$

where

$$a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^{*}(U); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(U))), \quad j = 0, 1, ...,$$
$$a_{j}(\infty, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda^{-j} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta), \lambda \ge 1, \quad |\eta| \ge 1, \quad j = 0, 1, ...,$$

and  $S_{1,0}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the Hörmander symbol space. Here  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  is as in Proposition 4.3 and (4.9). We recall that

$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^{*}(U)),$$
  

$$\psi(\infty, x, \lambda\eta) = \lambda\psi(\infty, x, \eta), \lambda > 0,$$
  

$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(\infty, x, \eta) \asymp \left|\eta\right| (\operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}), \Sigma))^{2},$$
  

$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) = -\overline{\psi}(\infty, x, -\eta).$$
(8.22)

Moreover, for all j = 0, 1, ...,

$$\begin{array}{l} a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \quad in \ a \ conic \ neighborhood \ of \ \Sigma^{+}, \quad \text{if} \ q = n_{-}, \ n_{-} \neq n_{+}, \\ a_{j}(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \quad in \ a \ conic \ neighborhood \ of \ \Sigma^{-}, \quad \text{if} \ q = n_{+}, \ n_{-} \neq n_{+}. \end{array}$$

$$(8.23)$$

In the rest of this section, we will study the singularities of the distribution kernel of the Szegö projection. We need

**Definition 8.9.** Let *M* be a real paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold and let  $\Lambda$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  closed submanifold of *M*. Let *U* be an open set in *M*. We let  $C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(U)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$  under the equivalence relation

 $f \equiv g$  in the space  $C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(U)$ 

if for every  $z_0 \in \Lambda \bigcap U$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W \subset U$  of  $z_0$  such that

$$f = g + h$$
 on  $W$ ,

where  $h \in C^{\infty}(W)$  and *h* vanishes to infinite order on  $\Lambda \bigcap W$ .

In view of Proposition 4.3, we see that  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  has a uniquely determined Taylor expansion at each point of  $\Sigma$ . Thus, we can define  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  as an element in  $C_{\Sigma}^{\infty}(T^*(X))$ . We also write  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  for the equivalence class of  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta)$  in the space  $C_{\Sigma}^{\infty}(T^*(X))$ .

Let *M* be a real paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold and let  $\Lambda$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  closed submanifold of *M*. If  $x_0 \in \Lambda$ , we let  $A(\Lambda, n, x_0)$  be the set

$$A(\Lambda, n, x_0) = \{(U, f_1, \dots, f_n); U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } x_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(U), f_j|_{\Lambda \bigcap U} = 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and } df_1, \dots, df_n \text{ are linearly independent} over \mathbb{C} \text{ at each point of } U\}.$$
(8.24)

**Definition 8.10.** If  $x_0 \in \Lambda$ , we let  $A_{x_0}(\Lambda, n, x_0)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $A(\Lambda, n, x_0)$  under the equivalence relation

$$\Gamma_1 = (U, f_1, ..., f_n) \sim \Gamma_2 = (V, g_1, ..., g_n), \ \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in A(\Lambda, n, x_0),$$

if there exists an open set  $W \subset U \bigcap V$  of  $x_0$  such that

$$g_j \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} f_k$$
 in the space  $C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(W)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., n$ ,

where  $a_{j,k} \in C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(W)$ , j, k = 1, ..., n, and  $(a_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^{n}$  is invertible.

If  $(U, f_1, ..., f_n) \in A(\Lambda, n, x_0)$ , we write  $(U, f_1, ..., f_n)_{x_0}$  for the equivalence class of  $(U, f_1, ..., f_n)$  in  $A_{x_0}(\Lambda, n, x_0)$ , which is called the germ of  $(U, f_1, ..., f_n)$  at  $x_0$ .

**Definition 8.11.** Let *M* be a real paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold and let  $\Lambda$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  closed submanifold of *M*. A formal manifold  $\Omega$  of codimensin *k* at  $\Lambda$  associated to *M* is given by:

For each point of  $x \in \Lambda$ , we assign a germ  $\Gamma_x \in A_x(\Lambda, k, x)$  in such a way that for every point  $x_0 \in \Lambda$  has an open neighborhood U such that there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C^{\infty}_{\Lambda}(U), f_j|_{\Lambda \cap U} = 0, j = 1, \ldots, k, df_1, \ldots, df_k$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  at each point of U, having the following property: whatever  $x \in U$ , the germ  $(U, f_1, \ldots, f_k)_x$  is equal to  $\Gamma_x$ .

Formally, we write

$$\Omega = \{ \Gamma_x ; x \in \Lambda \}.$$

If the codimension of  $\Omega$  is 1, we call  $\Omega$  a formal hypersurface at  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\Omega = \{\Gamma_x; x \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\Omega_1 = \{\widetilde{\Gamma}_x; x \in \Lambda\}$  be two formal manifolds at  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Gamma_x = \widetilde{\Gamma}_x$ , for all  $x \in \Lambda$ , we write

$$\Omega = \Omega_1$$
 at  $\Lambda$ .

**Definition 8.12.** Let  $\Omega = \{\Gamma_x; x \in \Lambda\}$  be a formal manifold of codimensin k at  $\Lambda$  associated to M, where  $\Lambda$  and M are as above. The tangent space of  $\Omega$  at  $x_0 \in \Lambda$  is given by:

the tangent space of  $\Omega$  at  $x_0 = \{ u \in \mathbb{C} T_{x_0}(M); \langle df_j(x_0), u \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, k \},\$ 

where  $\mathbb{C}T_{x_0}(M)$  is the complexified tangent space of M at  $x_0$  and  $(U, f_1, ..., f_k)$  is a representative of  $\Gamma_{x_0}$ . We write  $T_{x_0}(\Omega)$  to denote the tangent space of  $\Omega$  at  $x_0$ .

Let (x, y) be some coordinates of  $X \times X$ . From now on, we use the notations  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  for the dual variables of x and y respectively.

*Remark* 8.13. For each point  $(x_0, \eta_0, x_0, \eta_0) \in \text{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ , we assign a germ

$$\Gamma_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)} = (T^*(X) \times T^*(X), \xi - \psi'_x(\infty,x,\eta), y - \psi'_\eta(\infty,x,\eta))_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)}.$$
 (8.25)

Let  $C_{\infty}$  be the formal manifold at diag( $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ ):

$$C_{\infty} = \left\{ \Gamma_{(x,\eta,x,\eta)}; (x,\eta,x,\eta) \in \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \right\}.$$

 $C_{\infty}$  is strictly positive in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{i}\sigma(v,\overline{v}) > 0$$

for all  $v \in T_{\rho}(C_{\infty}) \setminus \mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\operatorname{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma))$ , where  $\rho \in \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ . Here  $\sigma$  is the symplectic two form on  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}^{*}(X) \times \mathbb{C}T_{\rho}^{*}(X)$ .

We have the following

**Proposition 8.14.** There exists a formal manifold  $J_+ = \{J_{(x,\eta)}; (x,\eta) \in \Sigma\}$  at  $\Sigma$  associated to  $T^*(X)$  such that

$$\operatorname{codim} J_+ = n - 1 \tag{8.26}$$

and for all  $(x_0, \eta_0) \in \Sigma$ , if  $(U, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$  is a representative of  $J_{(x_0, \eta_0)}$ , then

$$\{f_j, f_k\} \equiv 0 \text{ in the space } C_{\Sigma}^{\infty}(U), j, k = 1, ..., n-1,$$
 (8.27)

$$p_0 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j f_j \quad in \ the \ space \ C_{\Sigma}^{\infty}(U), \tag{8.28}$$

where  $g_j \in C^{\infty}_{\Sigma}(U)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, and

$$\frac{1}{i}\sigma(H_{f_j}, H_{\bar{f}_j}) > 0 \ at \ (x_0, \eta_0) \in \Sigma, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$
(8.29)

We also write  $f_i$  to denote an almost analytic extension of  $f_i$ . Then,

$$f_j(x, \psi'_x(\infty, x, \eta))$$
 vanishes to infinite order on  $\Sigma$ ,  $j = 1, ..., n-1$ . (8.30)

Moreover, we have

$$T_{\rho}(C_{\infty}) = \{ (\nu + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j H_{f_j}(x_0, \eta_0), \nu + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j H_{\overline{f}_j}(x_0, \eta_0)); \\ \nu \in T_{(x_0, \eta_0)}(\Sigma), \ t_j, s_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1 \},$$
(8.31)

where  $\rho = (x_0, \eta_0, x_0, \eta_0) \in \text{diag}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)$  and  $C_{\infty}$  is as in Remark 8.13.

Proof. See [20].

We return to our problem. We need the following

Lemma 8.15. We have

$$\psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))\omega_0(p) = 0$$
 (8.32)

and

$$\operatorname{Rank}\left(\psi_{\eta\eta}'(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))\right) = 2n - 2, \tag{8.33}$$

for all  $p \in X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\psi'_{\eta}(\infty, x, \eta)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 0, it follows that

 $\psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty,p,\omega_0(p))\omega_0(p)=0.$ 

Thus,

$$\operatorname{Rank}\left(\psi_{\eta\eta}''(\infty,p,\omega_0(p))\right) \leq 2n-2.$$

From

Im 
$$\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \approx \left|\eta\right| \operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}); \Sigma)^2$$

it follows that

Im 
$$\psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))V \neq 0$$
, if  $V \notin \{\lambda \omega_0(p); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .

Thus, for all  $V \notin \{\lambda \omega_0(p); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , we have

$$\left\langle \psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))V, \overline{V} \right\rangle = \left\langle \operatorname{Re} \psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))V, \overline{V} \right\rangle$$
$$+ i \left\langle \operatorname{Im} \psi_{\eta\eta}^{\prime\prime}(\infty, p, \omega_0(p))V, \overline{V} \right\rangle \neq 0.$$

We get (8.33).

Until further notice, we assume that  $q = n_+$ . For  $p \in X$ , we take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$$

defined on some neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $p \in X$  such that

$$\omega_0(p) = dx_{2n-1}, \ x(p) = 0 \tag{8.34}$$

and

$$\Lambda^{0,1}T_p(X)\oplus\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X) = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}; a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j=1,\ldots,2n-2\right\}.$$

We take  $\Omega$  so that if  $x_0 \in \Omega$  then  $\eta_{0,2n-1} > 0$  where  $\omega_0(x_0) = (\eta_{0,1}, \dots, \eta_{0,2n-1})$ .

Until further notice, we work in  $\Omega$  and we work with the local coordinates x. Choose  $\chi(x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(X))$  so that  $\chi(x,\eta) = 1$  in a conic neighborhood of  $(p, \omega_0(p)), \chi(x,\eta) = 0$  outside  $T^*(\Omega), \chi(x,\eta) = 0$  in a conic neighborhood of  $\Sigma^-$  and  $\chi(x,\lambda\eta) = \chi(x,\eta)$  when  $\lambda > 0$ . We introduce the cut-off functions  $\chi(x,\eta)$  and  $(1 - \chi(x,\eta))$  in the integral (8.21):

$$K_{\pi}(x,y) \equiv K_{\pi^{+}}(x,y) + K_{\pi^{-}}(x,y),$$

$$K_{\pi^{+}}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} \chi(x,\eta) a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta,$$

$$K_{\pi^{-}}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (1 - \chi(x,\eta)) a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta.$$
(8.35)

Now, we study  $K_{\pi^+}$ . We write *t* to denote  $\eta_{2n-1}$ . Put  $\eta' = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n-2})$ . We have

$$K_{\pi^{+}}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,(\eta',t)) - \langle y,(\eta',t) \rangle)} \chi(x,(\eta',t)) a(\infty,x,(\eta',t)) d\eta' dt$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\int e^{it(\psi(\infty,x,(w,1)) - \langle y,(w,1) \rangle)} t^{2n-2} \chi(x,(tw,t)) a(\infty,x,(tw,t)) dw) dt$$
  
(8.36)

where  $\eta' = t w$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ . The stationary phase method of Melin and Sjöstrand (see Proposition B.15) then permits us to carry out the *w* integration in (8.36), to get

$$K_{\pi^{+}}(x,y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it\phi_{+}(x,y)} s_{+}(x,y,t) dt$$
(8.37)

with

$$s_{+}(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x,y) t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Omega \times \Omega \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$  (8.38)

where  $s^{j}_{+}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}_{x}(X))), j = 0, 1, ..., \text{ and } \phi_{+}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$  is the corresponding critical value. (See Proposition B.14 for a review.) For  $x \in \Omega$ , let  $\sigma(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$  be the vector:

$$(x,(\sigma(x),1)) \in \Sigma^+. \tag{8.39}$$

Since

$$d_w(\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) - \langle y, (w, 1) \rangle) = 0$$
 at  $x = y, w = \sigma(x),$ 

it follows that when x = y, the corresponding critical point is  $w = \sigma(x)$  and consequently

$$\phi_+(x,x) = 0, \tag{8.40}$$

$$(\phi_{+})'_{x}(x,x) = \psi'_{x}(\infty, x, (\sigma(x), 1)) = (\sigma(x), 1), \ (\phi_{+})'_{y}(x, x) = -(\sigma(x), 1).$$
(8.41)

The following is well-known (see Proposition B.14)

**Proposition 8.16.** In some open neighborhood Q of p in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi_{+}(x, y) \geq c \inf_{w \in W} \left( \operatorname{Im} \psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) + \left| d_{w}(\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) - \langle y, (w, 1) \rangle) \right|^{2} \right),$$
  
(x, y) \in Q \times Q, (8.42)

where c is a positive constant and W is some open set of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ .

We have the following

**Proposition 8.17.** In some open neighborhood Q of p in  $\Omega$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

Im 
$$\phi_+(x,y) \ge c |x'-y'|^2$$
,  $(x,y) \in Q \times Q$ , (8.43)

where  $x' = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-2}), y' = (y_1, ..., y_{2n-2})$  and

$$|x'-y'|^2 = (x_1-y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_{2n-2}-y_{2n-2})^2.$$

Proof. From

$$\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) - \langle y, (w, 1) \rangle = \langle x - y, (w, 1) \rangle + O(|w - \sigma(x)|^2)$$

we can check that

$$d_w(\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) - \langle y, (w, 1) \rangle) = \langle x' - y', dw \rangle + O(|w - \sigma(x)|),$$

where  $\sigma(x)$  is as in (8.39) and  $x' = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-2}), y' = (y_1, ..., y_{2n-2})$ . Thus, there are constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$|d_w(\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) - \langle y, (w, 1) \rangle)|^2 \ge c_1 |x' - y'|^2 - c_2 |w - \sigma(x)|^2$$

for (x, w) in some compact set of  $\Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-2}$ . If  $\frac{c_1}{2} |(x'-y')|^2 \ge c_2 |w-\sigma(x)|^2$ , then

$$\left| d_{\omega}(\psi(\infty, x, \omega) - \langle y, \omega \rangle) \right|^2 \ge \frac{c_1}{2} \left| (x' - y') \right|^2.$$
(8.44)

Now, we assume that  $|(x'-y')|^2 \le \frac{2c_2}{c_1}|w-\sigma(x)|^2$ . We have

Im 
$$\psi(\infty, x, (w, 1)) \ge c_3 |w - \sigma(x)|^2 \ge \frac{c_1 c_3}{2c_2} |(x' - y')|^2$$
, (8.45)

for (x, w) in some compact set of  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ , where  $c_3$  is a positive constant. From (8.44), (8.45) and Proposition 8.16, we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi_{+}(x, y) \geq c \left| (x' - y') \right|^{2}$$

for *x*, *y* in some neighborhood of *p*, where *c* is a positive constant. We get the proposition.  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 8.18. For each point  $(x_0, x_0) \in \text{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , we assign a germ

$$H_{+,(x_0,x_0)} = (\Omega \times \Omega, \phi_+(x,y))_{(x_0,x_0)}.$$

Let  $H_+$  be the formal hypersurface at diag( $\Omega \times \Omega$ ):

$$H_{+} = \{H_{+,(x,x)}; (x,x) \in \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega)\}.$$
(8.46)

The formal conic conormal bundle  $\Lambda_{\phi_+ t}$  of  $H_+$  is given by: For each point

$$(x_0, \eta_0, x_0, \eta_0) \in \operatorname{diag}((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega))),$$

we assign a germ

$$\Lambda_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)} = (T^*(U) \times T^*(U), \xi_j - (\phi_+)'_{x_j}t, \ j = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$
  
$$\eta_j - (\phi_+)'_{y_j}t, \ j = 1, \dots, 2n-2, \ \phi_+(x,y))_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)},$$

where  $t = \frac{\eta_{2n-1}}{(\phi_+)_{y_{2n-1}}}$  and  $U \subset \Omega$  is an open set of  $x_0$  such that

$$(\phi_+)'_{y_{2n-1}} \neq 0$$
 on  $U \times U_{2n-1}$ 

Then,

$$\Lambda_{\phi+t} = \left\{\Lambda_{(x,\eta,x,\eta)}; (x,\eta,x,\eta) \in \operatorname{diag}((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)))\right\}.$$
(8.47)

 $\Lambda_{\phi_+t}$  is a formal manifold at diag $((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)))$ . In fact,  $\Lambda_{\phi_+t}$  is the positive Lagrangean manifold associated to  $\phi_+t$  in the sense of Melin and Sjöstrand. (See [18] and Appendix B.)

Let  $(W, f_1(x, \xi, y, \eta), ..., f_{4n-2}(x, \xi, y, \eta))$  be a representative of  $\Gamma_{(x_0, \eta_0, x_0, \eta_0)}$ , where  $\Gamma_{(x_0, \eta_0, x_0, \eta_0)}$  is as in (8.25). Put

$$\Gamma'_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)} = (W, f_1(x,\xi,y,-\eta), \dots, f_{4n-2}(x,\xi,y,-\eta))_{(x_0,\eta_0,x_0,\eta_0)}.$$

Let  $C'_{\infty}$  be the formal manifold at diag $(\Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+)$ :

$$C'_{\infty} = \left\{ \Gamma'_{(x,\eta,x,\eta)}; (x,\eta,x,\eta) \in \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+) \right\}.$$
(8.48)

We notice that  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle$  and  $\phi_+(x, y)t$  are equivalent at each point of diag( $(\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega))$ ) in the sense of Definition B.20. From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Proposition B.21), we get

$$\Lambda_{\phi_+ t} = C'_{\infty} \text{ at diag}((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega))).$$
(8.49)

See Proposition B.7 and Proposition B.21, for the details. Formally,

$$C_{\infty} = \left\{ (x, \xi, y, \eta); (x, \xi, y, -\eta) \in \Lambda_{\phi_{+}t} \right\}.$$

Put

$$\hat{\phi}_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y,x).$$

We claim that

$$\Lambda_{\phi_{+}t} = \Lambda_{\hat{\phi}_{+}t} \text{ at diag}((\Sigma^{+} \bigcap T^{*}(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^{+} \bigcap T^{*}(\Omega))), \qquad (8.50)$$

where  $\Lambda_{\hat{\phi}_+ t}$  is defined as in (8.47). From Proposition 4.5, it follows that  $\phi_+(x,y)t$ and  $-\overline{\phi}_+(y,x)t$  are equivalent at each point of diag $((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(\Omega)))$ in the sense of Definition B.20. Again from the global theory of Fourier integral operators we get (8.50).

From (8.50), we get the following

**Proposition 8.19.** There is a function  $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ ,  $f(x, x) \neq 0$ , such that

$$\phi_{+}(x,y) + f(x,y)\overline{\phi}_{+}(y,x) \tag{8.51}$$

vanishes to infinite order on x = y.

From (8.51), we can replace  $\phi_+(x, y)$  by

$$\frac{1}{2}(\phi_+(x,y)-\overline{\phi}_+(y,x))$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y,x). \tag{8.52}$$

From (8.41), we see that

$$(x, d_x\phi_+(x, x)) \in \Sigma^+, d_y\phi_+(x, x) = -d_x\phi_+(x, x).$$

We can replace  $\phi_+(x, y)$  by

$$\frac{2\phi_{+}(x,y)}{\left\|d_{x}\phi_{+}(x,x)\right\| + \left\|d_{x}\phi_{+}(y,y)\right\|}$$

Thus,

$$d_x\phi_+(x,x) = \omega_0(x), \ d_y\phi_+(x,x) = -\omega_0(x).$$
(8.53)

Similarly,

$$K_{\pi^-}(x,y)\equiv\int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t}s_-(x,y,t)dt,$$

where  $K_{\pi^-}(x, y)$  is as in (8.35). From (8.22), it follows that when  $q = n_- = n_+$ , we can take  $\phi_-(x, y)$  so that

$$\phi_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_-(x,y).$$

Our method above only works locally. From above, we know that there exist open sets  $X_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k,  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^k X_j$ , such that

$$K_{\pi^+}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_{+,j}(x,y)t} s_{+,j}(x,y,t) dt$$

on  $X_j \times X_j$ , where  $\phi_{+,j}$  satisfies (8.40), (8.42), (8.43), (8.49), (8.52), (8.53) and  $s_{+,j}(x, y, t)$ , j = 0, 1, ..., are as in (8.38). From the global theory of Fourier integral operators, we have

$$\Lambda_{\phi_{+,j}t} = C'_{\infty} = \Lambda_{\phi_{+,k}t} \text{ at diag}((\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(X_j \bigcap X_k)) \times (\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(X_j \bigcap X_k))),$$

for all j, k, where  $\Lambda_{\phi_{+,j}t}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\phi_{+,k}t}$  are defined as in (8.47) and  $C'_{\infty}$  is as in (8.48). Thus, there is a function  $f_{j,k} \in C^{\infty}((X_j \bigcap X_k) \times (X_j \bigcap X_k))$ , such that

$$\phi_{+,j}(x,y) - f_{j,k}(x,y)\phi_{+,k}(x,y) \tag{8.54}$$

vanishes to infinite order on x = y, for all j, k. Let  $\chi_j(x, y)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  partition of unity subordinate to  $\{X_j \times X_j\}$  with

$$\chi_j(x,y) = \chi_j(y,x)$$

and set

$$\phi_+(x,y) = \sum \chi_j(x,y)\phi_{+,j}(x,y).$$

From (8.54) and the global theory of Fourier integral operators, it follows that  $\phi_{+,j}(x,y)t$  and  $\phi_{+}(x,y)t$  are equivalent at each point of

diag((
$$\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(X_j)$$
) × ( $\Sigma^+ \bigcap T^*(X_j)$ ))

in the sense of Definition B.20, for all *j*. Again, from the global theory of Fourier integral operators, we get the main result of this work

**Theorem 8.20.** Let  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  be a compact orientable connected CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1,  $n \ge 2$ . We assume that the Levi form has signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ . Let  $q = n_-$  or  $n_+$ . Suppose  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. Then, we have

$$K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^+}$$
 if  $n_+ = q \neq n_-$ ,  
 $K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^-}$  if  $n_- = q \neq n_+$ ,  
 $K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^+} + K_{\pi^-}$  if  $n_+ = q = n_-$ 

where  $K_{\pi^+}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$K_{\pi^+}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_+(x,y)t} s_+(x,y,t) dt \mod C^\infty$$

with

$$s_+(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))),$$

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x, y) t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$  (8.55)

where

$$s^{j}_{+}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{x}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$\phi_{+}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X),$$

$$\phi_{+}(x, x) = 0,$$

$$\phi_{+}(x, y) \neq 0 \quad if \ x \neq y,$$

$$\mathrm{Im} \ \phi_{+}(x, y) \geq 0,$$

$$d_{x} \phi_{+} \neq 0, \ d_{y} \phi_{+} \neq 0 \quad where \ \mathrm{Im} \ \phi_{+} = 0,$$

$$d_{x} \phi_{+}(x, y)|_{x=y} = \omega_{0}(x), \qquad (8.56)$$

$$d_{y} \phi_{+}(x, y)|_{x=y} = -\omega_{0}(x), \qquad (8.57)$$

$$\phi_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y,x)$$

*Moreover,*  $\phi_+(x, y)$  *satisfies (8.43) and (8.49). Similarly,* 

$$K_{\pi^-}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t} s_-(x,y,t) dt \mod C^\infty$$

with

$$s_{-}(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$$

$$\begin{split} s_{-}(x,y,t) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{-}^{j}(x,y) t^{n-1-j} \\ in \ the \ symbol \ space \ S_{1,0}^{n-1}(X \times X \times ]0, \infty[; \ \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \end{split}$$

where

$$s^{j}_{-}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{x}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

*and when*  $q = n_{-} = n_{+}$ *,* 

$$\phi_{-}(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_{+}(x,y).$$

## 9 The leading term of the Szegö Projection

To compute the leading term of the Szegö projection, we have to know the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at each point of diag( $X \times X$ ) (see (9.1)), where  $\phi_+(x, y)$ is as in Theorem 8.20. We work with local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset X$ . The tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at  $(p, p) \in \text{diag}(X \times X)$ is the bilinear map:

$$T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \times T_{(p,p)}H_{+} \to \mathbb{C},$$

$$(u,v) \to \left\langle (\phi_{+}^{\prime\prime})(p,p)u,v \right\rangle, \quad u,v \in T_{(p,p)}H_{+},$$
(9.1)

where  $H_+$  is as in (8.46) and

$$(\phi_{+})'' = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} (\phi_{+})''_{xx} & (\phi_{+})''_{xy} \\ (\phi_{+})''_{yx} & (\phi_{+})''_{yy} \end{array} \right].$$

From (8.56) and (8.57), we have

$$\langle (d_x \phi_+(p,p), d_y \phi_+(p,p)), (u,v) \rangle = 0, \ u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X)$$

and

$$\langle (d_x\phi_+(p,p),d_y\phi_+(p,p)),(Y(p),Y(p))\rangle = 0.$$

Thus,  $T_{(p,p)}H_+$  at (p, p) is spanned by

$$(u, v), (Y(p), Y(p)), u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X).$$
 (9.2)

Now, we compute the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at  $(p, p) \in \text{diag}(X \times X)$ . We need to understand the tangent space of the formal manifold

$$J_{+} = \left\{ J_{(x,\eta)}; (x,\eta) \in \Sigma \right\}$$

at  $\rho = (p, \lambda \omega_0(p)) \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $J_+$  is as in Proposition 8.14.

Let  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_p$ . We recall that  $2i |\lambda_j| |\sigma_{iY}(\rho)|$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 and  $-2i |\lambda_j| |\sigma_{iY}(\rho)|$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix  $F_\rho$ . (See (3.9).) Let  $\Lambda_\rho^+ \subset \mathbb{C}T_\rho(T^*(X))$  be the span of the eigenspaces of  $F_\rho$  corresponding to  $2i |\lambda_j| |\sigma_{iY}(\rho)|$ , j = 1, ..., n-1. It is well known (see [21], [20] and Boutet de Monvel-Guillemin [8]) that

$$T_{\rho}(J_{+}) = \mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(\Sigma) \oplus \Lambda_{\rho}^{+}, \ \Lambda_{\rho}^{+} = T_{\rho}(J_{+})^{\perp}$$

where  $T_{\rho}(J_{+})^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal to  $T_{\rho}(J_{+})$  in  $\mathbb{C}T_{\rho}(T^{*}(X))$  with respect to the sympletic two form  $\sigma$ . We need the following

**Lemma 9.1.** Let  $\rho = (p, \lambda \omega_0(p)) \in \Sigma^+, \lambda > 0$ . Let

 $\overline{Z}_1(x),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(x)$ 

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$  varying smoothly with x in a neighborhood of p, for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $q_j(x,\xi)$ , j = 1,...,n-1, be the principal symbols of  $Z_j(x)$ , j = 1,...,n-1. Then,  $\Lambda_{\rho}^+$  is spanned by

$$\begin{cases}
H_{q_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) > 0 \\
H_{\overline{q}_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) < 0
\end{cases}$$
(9.3)

We recall that (see (3.5))

$$\frac{1}{i}\left\{q_j, \overline{q}_j\right\}(\rho) = -2\lambda L_p(\overline{Z}_j, Z_j).$$

*Proof.* In view of (3.8), we see that  $H_{q_j}(\rho)$  and  $H_{\overline{q}_j}(\rho)$  are the eigenvectors of the fundamental matrix  $F_{\rho}$  corresponding to  $\{q_j, \overline{q}_j\}(\rho)$  and  $\{\overline{q}_j, q_j\}(\rho)$ , for all j. Since  $\Lambda_{\rho}^+$  is the span of the eigenspaces of the fundamental matrix  $F_{\rho}$  corresponding to  $2i\lambda |\lambda_j|, j = 1, ..., n-1$ , where  $\lambda_j, j = 1, ..., n-1$ , are the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_p$ . Thus,  $\Lambda_{\rho}^+$  is spanned by

$$\begin{cases} H_{q_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) > 0 \\ H_{\overline{q}_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) < 0 \end{cases} .$$

We assume that  $(U, f_1, ..., f_{n-1})$  is a representative of  $J_{\rho}$ . We also write  $f_j$  to denote an almost analytic extension of  $f_j$ , for all j. It is well known that (see [20] and (8.30)) there exist  $h_j(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$ , j = 1, ..., n-1, such that

$$f_j(x,(\phi_+)'_x) - h_j(x,y)\phi_+(x,y)$$
  
vanishes to infinite order on  $x = y, j = 1, ..., n-1.$  (9.4)

From Lemma 9.1, we may assume that

$$\begin{cases}
H_{f_j}(\rho) = H_{q_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) > 0 \\
H_{f_j}(\rho) = H_{\overline{q}_j}(\rho), \text{ if } \frac{1}{i} \left\{ q_j, \overline{q}_j \right\}(\rho) < 0
\end{cases}$$
(9.5)

Here  $q_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are as in Lemma 9.1.

We take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

defined on some neighborhood of p such that

$$\omega_0(p) = \sqrt{2} dx_{2n-1}, \quad x(p) = 0,$$
$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)) = 2\delta_{j,k}, \quad j,k = 1,\dots,2n-1$$

and

$$\overline{Z}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n-2} c_{j,s}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where  $\overline{Z}_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are as in Lemma 9.1,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

 $a_j \in C^{\infty}, a_j(0) = 0, j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$c_{j,s}(x) \in C^{\infty}, \ c_{j,s}(0) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1, \ s = 1, \dots, 2n-2.$$
 (9.6)

Since  $\langle [\overline{Z}_j, \overline{Z}_k](p), \omega_0(p) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle [\overline{Z}_j, Z_k](p), \omega_0(p) \rangle = 2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,k}$ , we can check that

$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_k}(0) = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_j}(0), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$
  
$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \overline{z}_k}(0) - \frac{\partial \overline{a}_k}{\partial z_j}(0) = 2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,k}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$
(9.7)

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_{2n-1})$  denote the dual variables of x. We have

$$q_j(x,\xi) = \frac{i}{2}(\xi_{2j-1} + i\xi_{2j}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{a}_j(x)\xi_{2n-1} + i\sum_{s=1}^{2n-2}\overline{c}_{j,s}(x)\xi_s, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where  $q_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are as in Lemma 9.1. We may assume that

 $\lambda_j > 0, \ j = 1, \dots, q, \ \lambda_j < 0, \ j = q + 1, \dots, n - 1.$ 

From (9.5), we can check that

$$f_{j}(x,\xi) = -\frac{i}{2}(\xi_{2j-1} - i\xi_{2j}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a_{j}(x)\xi_{2n-1} - i\sum_{s=1}^{2n-2}c_{j,s}(x)\xi_{s} + O(|(x,\xi')|^{2}),$$
  

$$j = 1, \dots, q, \quad \xi' = (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{2n-2})$$
  

$$f_{j}(x,\xi) = \frac{i}{2}(\xi_{2j-1} + i\xi_{2j}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{a}_{j}(x)\xi_{2n-1} + i\sum_{s=1}^{2n-2}\overline{c}_{j,s}(x)\xi_{s} + O(|(x,\xi')|^{2}),$$
  

$$j = q+1, \dots, n-1, \quad \xi' = (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{2n-2}).$$
(9.8)

We write

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}), \quad w_j = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2j}} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2j-1}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

From (9.4) and (9.8), we have

$$-i\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j}} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a_{j}\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial x_{2n-1}} = h_{j}(x,y)\phi_{+}(x,y) + O(|(x,y)|^{2}), \quad j = 1,...,q,$$
$$i\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{a}_{j}\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial x_{2n-1}} = h_{j}(x,y)\phi_{+}(x,y) + O(|(x,y)|^{2}), \quad j = q+1,...,n-1. \quad (9.9)$$

From (9.9), it is straight forward to see that

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j} \partial z_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \quad 1 \leq j \leq q, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial z_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \quad q+1 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j} \partial w_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{k}}(0,0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial w_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{k}}(0,0) = 0, \quad q+1 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial x_{2n-1}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial y_{2n-1}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0), \quad 1 \leq j \leq q,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial x_{2n-1}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial y_{2n-1}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0), \quad q+1 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (9.10)$$

Since  $d_x \phi_+|_{x=y} = \omega_0(x)$ , we have

$$\overline{f}_{j}(x,(\phi_{+})'_{x}(x,x))=0, \ j=1,\ldots,n-1.$$

Thus,

$$i\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}}(x,x) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{a}_{j}(x)\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(x,x) = O(|x|^{2}), \quad j = 1,...,q,$$
  
$$-i\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j}}(x,x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}a_{j}(x)\frac{\partial\phi_{+}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(x,x) = O(|x|^{2}), \quad j = q+1,...,n-1.$$
(9.11)

From (9.11), it is straight forward to see that

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial z_{k}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial w_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0), 1 \leq j \leq q, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \ 1 \leq j \leq q, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j} \partial z_{k}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial z_{j} \partial w_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \ q+1 \leq j \leq n-1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \ q+1 \leq j \leq n-1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{k}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0), \ q+1 \leq j \leq n-1, \ 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{2n-1}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{y}_{2n-1}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{2n-1}}(0), \ 1 \leq j \leq q,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{z}_{2n-1}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{+}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial \overline{y}_{2n-1}}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{2n-1}}(0), \ q+1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$
(9.12)

Since  $\phi_+(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_+(y,x)$ , from (9.10), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial w_k}(0,0) = -\frac{\partial^2 \overline{\phi}_+}{\partial \overline{w}_j \partial z_k}(0,0)$$
$$= -\frac{\overline{\partial^2 \phi_+}}{\partial w_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(0,0) = 0, \quad q+1 \le k \le n-1.$$

Combining this with (9.12), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial z_k}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_j}{\partial z_k}(0), \ 1 \le j \le q, \ q+1 \le k \le n-1.$$
(9.13)

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_j}{\partial \overline{z}_k}(0), \quad 1 \le j \le q, \quad 1 \le k \le q,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial z_j \partial z_k}(0,0) = \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_k}(0), \quad q+1 \le j \le n-1, \quad q+1 \le k \le n-1.$$
(9.14)

From (9.10) and (9.12), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial w_k}(0,0) = \frac{\partial \overline{a}_j}{\partial z_k}(0) - \frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial z_k}(0,0)$$
$$= \frac{\partial \overline{a}_j}{\partial z_k}(0) - \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0) = -2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,k}, \ 1 \le j,k \le q.$$
(9.15)

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{w}_k}(0,0) = 2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,k}, \quad q+1 \le j, k \le n-1.$$
(9.16)

Since  $\phi_+(x, x) = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial x_{2n-1} \partial x_{2n-1}}(0,0) + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial x_{2n-1} \partial y_{2n-1}}(0,0) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi_+}{\partial y_{2n-1} \partial y_{2n-1}}(0,0) = 0.$$
(9.17)

Combining (9.10), (9.12), (9.13), (9.14), (9.15), (9.16) and (9.17), we completely determine the tangential Hessian of  $\phi_+(x, y)$  at (p, p).

**Theorem 9.2.** For  $p \in X$ , let

$$\overline{Z}_1(x),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_x(X)$  varying smoothly with x in a neighborhood of p, for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. We take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

defined on some neighborhood of p such that

$$\omega_0(p) = \sqrt{2} d x_{2n-1}, \quad x(p) = 0,$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = 2\delta_{j,k}, \quad j,k = 1,\dots,2n-1$$

and

$$\overline{Z}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n-2} c_{j,s}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$a_j \in C^{\infty}, a_j(0) = 0, \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial z_k}(0) = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial z_j}(0), j, k = 1, ..., n-1 \text{ and}$$
  
 $c_{j,s}(x) \in C^{\infty}, c_{j,s}(0) = 0, j = 1, ..., n-1, s = 1, ..., 2n-2.$ 

We also write

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}), \ w_j = y_{2j-1} + iy_{2j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1}) + i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \lambda_{j} \right| \left| z_{j} - w_{j} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(z_{j}z_{k} - w_{j}w_{k}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial \overline{z}_{k}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}z_{k} - \overline{w}_{j}w_{k}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( i \lambda_{j}(z_{j}\overline{w}_{j} - \overline{z}_{j}w_{j}) + \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(z_{j}x_{2n-1} - w_{j}y_{2n-1}) + \frac{\partial \overline{a}_{j}}{\partial x_{2n-1}}(0)(\overline{z}_{j}x_{2n-1} - \overline{w}_{j}y_{2n-1}) \right) + \sqrt{2}(x_{2n-1} - y_{2n-1})f(x,y) + O(\left| (x,y) \right|^{3}), \\ f \in C^{\infty}, \ f(0,0) = 0, \ f(x,y) = \overline{f}(y,x), \end{split}$$

$$(9.18)$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ .

We have the classical formulas

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-tx} t^{m} dt = \begin{cases} m! x^{-m-1}, & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge 0\\ \frac{(-1)^{m}}{(-m-1)!} x^{-m-1} (\log x + c - \sum_{1}^{-m-1} \frac{1}{j}), & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}, m < 0 \end{cases}$$
(9.19)

Here  $x \neq 0$ , Re  $x \ge 0$  and *c* is the Euler constant, i.e.

$$c = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(\sum_{1}^{m} \frac{1}{j} - \log m\right).$$

Note that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\phi_{+}(x,y)t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x,y) t^{n-1-j} dt$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(-i\phi_{+}(x,y)t+\varepsilon)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x,y) t^{n-1-j} dt.$$

We have the following corollary of Theorem 8.20

Corollary 9.3. There exist smooth functions

$$F_{+}, G_{+}, F_{-}, G_{-} \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X)))$$

such that

$$K_{\pi^+} = F_+(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_+\log(-i(\phi_+(x,y)+i0)),$$
  
$$K_{\pi^-} = F_-(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0))^{-n} + G_-\log(-i(\phi_-(x,y)+i0)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$F_{+} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{+}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k} + f_{+}(x,y)(\phi_{+}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$F_{-} = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! s_{-}^{k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k} + f_{-}(x,y)(\phi_{-}(x,y))^{n},$$

$$G_{+} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{+}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{+}(x,y))^{k},$$

$$G_{-} \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} s_{-}^{n+k}(x,y) (-i\phi_{-}(x,y))^{k},$$
(9.20)

where  $s_{+}^{k}$ , k = 0, 1, ..., are as in (8.55) and

$$f_+(x,y), f_-(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_y(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_x(X))).$$

In the rest of this section, we assume that  $q = n_+$ . We will compute the leading term of  $K_{\pi^+}$ . For a given point  $p \in X$ , we can take local coordinates

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1})$$

defined on some neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $p \in X$  such that

$$w_0(p) = dx_{2n-1}, x(p) = 0,$$

$$\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T_p(X) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}; a_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = \delta_{j,k} \cdot j, k = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

In the local coordinates *x*, we represent the Hermitian inner product ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(X)$  by

$$(u \mid v) = \langle Hu, \overline{v} \rangle,$$

where  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}T(X)$ , *H* is a positive definite Hermitian matrix. Let h(x) denote the determinant of *H*. The induced volume form on *X* is given by  $\sqrt{h(x)}dx$ . We have

$$h(p) = 1.$$

Now,

$$(K_{\pi^{+}} \circ K_{\pi^{+}})(x, y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \int e^{it\phi_{+}(x, w) + is\phi_{+}(w, y)} s_{+}(x, w, t) s_{+}(w, y, s) \sqrt{h(w)} dw \right) dt ds.$$

Let  $s = t\sigma$ , we get

$$(K_{\pi^+}\circ K_{\pi^+})(x,y)\equiv\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \left(\int e^{it\phi(x,y,w,\sigma)}s_+(x,w,t)s_+(w,y,t\sigma)t\sqrt{h(w)}dw\right)d\sigma dt,$$

where

$$\phi(x, y, w, \sigma) = \phi_+(x, w) + \sigma \phi_+(w, y).$$

It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{Im} \phi(x, y, w, \sigma) \ge 0$ ,

$$d_w\phi(x, y, w, \sigma)|_{x=y=w} = (\sigma - 1)\omega_0(x).$$

Thus,

$$x = y = w$$
,  $\sigma = 1$ , x is real,

are real critical points.

Now, we will compute the Hessian of  $\phi$  at x = y = w = p, p is real,  $\sigma = 1$ . We write  $H_{\phi}(p)$  to denote the Hessian of  $\phi$  at x = y = w = p, p is real,  $\sigma = 1$ .  $H_{\phi}(p)$  has the following form

$$H_{\phi}(p) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & {}^{t}(\phi_{+})'_{x} \\ (\phi_{+})'_{x} & (\phi_{+})''_{xx} + (\phi_{+})''_{yy} \end{array} \right].$$

Since

$$(\phi_{+})'_{x}(p) = \omega_{0}(p) = dx_{2n-1}$$

we have

$$H_{\phi}(p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, & 0, \dots, 0, & 1 \\ \vdots & A, & * \\ 1 & * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

where A is the linear map

$$A: \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X) \to \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X),$$
  
$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \left\langle ((\phi_+)''_{xx} + (\phi_+)''_{yy})u, v \right\rangle, \ \forall \ u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(X).$$

From (9.18), it follows that *A* has the eigenvalues:

$$2i |\lambda_{1}(p)|, 2i |\lambda_{1}(p)|, \dots, 2i |\lambda_{n-1}(p)|, 2i |\lambda_{n-1}(p)|, \qquad (9.21)$$

on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}T_p(X)$  with respect to ( | ), where

$$\lambda_j(p), j = 1, \cdots, (n-1)$$

are the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_p$ . Since

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = \delta_{j,k}. \ j, k = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

we have,

$$\det(\frac{H_{\phi}(p)}{i}) = 2^{2n-2} \left| \lambda_1(p) \right|^2 \cdots \left| \lambda_{n-1}(p) \right|^2.$$
(9.22)

From the stationary phase formula (see Proposition B.15), we get

$$(K_{\pi^+} \circ K_{\pi^+})(x, y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{it\phi_1(x, y)} a(x, y, t) dt,$$

where

$$a(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x,y) t^{n-1-j}$$

in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Omega \times \Omega \times [0,\infty[;\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T_y^*(X),\Lambda^{0,q}T_x^*(X))),$ 

$$a_j(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $\phi_1(x, y)$  is the corresponding critical value. Moreover, we have

$$a_{0}(p,p) = \left(\det\frac{H_{\phi}(p)}{2\pi i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s_{+}^{0}(p,p) \circ s_{+}^{0}(p,p) \sqrt{h(p)}$$
$$= 2 \left|\lambda_{1}(p)\right|^{-1} \cdots \left|\lambda_{n-1}(p)\right|^{-1} \pi^{n} s_{+}^{0}(p,p) \circ s_{+}^{0}(p,p), \qquad (9.23)$$

where  $s^0_+$  is as in (8.55). We notice that

$$\phi_1(x,x) = 0, \ (\phi_1)'_x(x,x) = (\phi_+)'_x(x,x), \ (\phi_1)'_y(x,x) = (\phi_+)'_y(x,x).$$
(9.24)

From (9.19), it follows that

$$(K_{\pi^{+}} \circ K_{\pi^{+}})(x, y) \equiv F_{1}(-i(\phi_{1}(x, y) + i0))^{-n} + G_{1}\log(-i(\phi_{1}(x, y) + i0))$$
  
$$\equiv F_{+}(-i(\phi_{+}(x, y) + i0))^{-n} + G_{+}\log(-i(\phi_{+}(x, y) + i0)), \quad (9.25)$$

where

$$F_1 = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (n-1-k)! a_j (-i\phi_1)^k + f_1 \phi_1^n, \ f_1 \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))),$$

 $G_1 \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(X))), F_+ \text{ and } G_+ \text{ are as in Corollary 9.3. From } (9.24) \text{ and } (9.25), \text{ we see that}$ 

$$s^0_+(x,x) = a_0(x,x).$$

From this and (9.23), we get

$$2 \left| \lambda_1(p) \right|^{-1} \cdots \left| \lambda_{n-1}(p) \right|^{-1} \pi^n s^0_+(p,p) \circ s^0_+(p,p) = s^0_+(p,p).$$
(9.26)

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{x}(p_{0}^{s}+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F) = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X); (p_{0}^{s}+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F)(x,\omega_{0}(x))u = 0 \right\},\$$

where  $p_0^s$  is the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and *F* is the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$ . From the asymptotic expansion of  $\Box_b^{(q)}(e^{i\phi_+}s_+)$ , we see that

$$s^0_+(p,p)u \in \mathcal{N}_p(p^s_0 + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F)$$

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_p^*(X)$ . (See section 5.) Let

$$I_1 = (\frac{1}{2} |\lambda_1| \cdots |\lambda_{n-1}|)^{-1} \pi^n s^0_+(p, p).$$

From (9.26), we see that

$$I_1^2 = I_1. (9.27)$$

Since

$$K_{(\pi^+)^*} \equiv K_{\pi^+}$$

and

$$\phi_+(x,y) = -\phi_+(y,x),$$

we have

$$(s^0_+)^*(p,p) = s^0_+(p,p)$$

and hence

$$I_1^* = I_1, (9.28)$$

where  $(\pi^+)^*$  is the adjoint of  $\pi^+$ ,  $s_0^*(p, p)$  and  $I_1^*$  are the adjoints of  $s_0(p, p)$  and  $I_1$  in the space

$$\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_p^*(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_p^*(X)))$$

with respect to ( | ) respectively. Note that

$$\dim \mathcal{N}_p(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}} F) = 1.$$

(See section 3.) Combining this with (9.27), (9.28) and  $s^0_+(p, p) \neq 0$ , it follows that

$$I_1: \Lambda^{0,q} T_p^*(X) \to \Lambda^{0,q} T_p^*(X)$$

is the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{N}_p(p_0^s + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F)$ . For a given point  $p \in X$ , let

$$\overline{Z}_1(x),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1} T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $Z_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that

$$\lambda_j(p) > 0$$
 if  $1 \le j \le n_+$ .

Then

$$I_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{j=n_+} e_j(p)^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*}(p) \text{ at } p.$$

(See section 3.) Summing up, we have proved

**Proposition 9.4.** For a given point  $x_0 \in X$ , Let

$$\overline{Z}_1(x),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(X)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at  $x_0$ . Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(X)$ , which is dual to  $Z_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that  $q = n_+$  and that

$$\lambda_{j}(x_{0}) > 0$$
 if  $1 \le j \le n_{+}$ .

Then

$$F_{+}(x_{0},x_{0}) = (n-1)!\frac{1}{2} |\lambda_{1}(x_{0})| \cdots |\lambda_{n-1}(x_{0})| \pi^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{j=n_{+}} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge} e_{j}(x_{0})^{\wedge,*}$$

## 10 The Szegö projection on non-orientable CR manifolds

In this section,  $(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T(X))$  is a compact connected not necessarily orientable CR manifold of dimension 2n-1,  $n \ge 2$ . We will use the same notations as before. The definition of the Levi form (see 2.6) depends on the choices of  $\omega_0$ . However, the number of non-zero eigenvalues is independent of the choices of  $\omega_0$ . Thus, it makes sense to say that the Levi-form is non-degenerate. As before, we assume that the Levi form *L* is non-degenerate at each point of *X*. We have the following

**Lemma 10.1.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi-form L. (The signature of the Levi-form L depends on the choices of  $\omega_0$ .) If  $n_- \neq n_+$  at a point of X, then X is orientable.

*Proof.* Since *X* is connected,  $n_- \neq n_+$  at a point of *X* implies  $n_- \neq n_+$  at each point of *X*. Let  $X = \bigcup U_j$ , where  $U_j$  is a local coordinate patch of *X*. On  $U_j$ , we can choose an orthonormal frame

$$\omega_{1,j}(x),\ldots,\omega_{n-1,j}(x)$$

for  $\Lambda^{1,0} T^*_{\kappa}(U_j)$ , then

$$\overline{\omega}_{1,j}(x),\ldots,\overline{\omega}_{n-1,j}(x)$$

is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_{\mathbf{x}}^*(U_j)$ . The (2n-2)-form

$$\omega_j = i^{n-1} \omega_{1,j} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{1,j} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-1,j} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{n-1,j}$$

is real and is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame. There is a real 1-form  $\omega_{0,j}(x)$  of length one which is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_x^*(U_j) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_x^*(U_j)$ . We take  $\omega_{0,j}$  so that  $n_- < n_+$  on  $U_j$ . Since  $\omega_{0,j}$  is unique up to sign and  $n_- < n_+$  on  $U_j$ , for all j, we have

$$\omega_{0,j}(x) = \omega_{0,k}(x)$$
 on  $U_j \bigcap U_k$ 

so  $\omega_0$  is globally defined. The lemma follows.

We only need to consider the case  $n_- = n_+$ . We recall that if  $n_- = n_+$  then  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  has closed range. In view of the proof of Theorem 8.20, we have the following

**Theorem 10.2.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$  be the signature of the Levi form. We assume that  $q = n_- = n_+$ . Put

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*(X) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_0(x), \lambda \neq 0\},\$$

where  $\omega_0$  is the locally unique real 1 form determined up to sign by

 $\|\omega_0\| = 1, \ \omega_0 \perp (\Lambda^{0,1} T^*(X) \oplus \Lambda^{1,0} T^*(X)).$ 

Then  $\pi$  is a well defined operator

$$\pi: H^s_{\operatorname{loc}}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)) \to H^s_{\operatorname{loc}}(X; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(X)),$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

WF'(
$$K_{\pi}$$
) = diag( $\hat{\Sigma} \times \hat{\Sigma}$ ),

where

$$WF'(K_{\pi}) = \{(x, \xi, y, \eta) \in T^*(X) \times T^*(X); (x, \xi, y, -\eta) \in WF(K_{\pi})\}.$$

Here WF( $K_{\pi}$ ) is the wave front set of  $K_{\pi}$  in the sense of Hörmander [14]. For every local coordinate patch U, we fix a  $\omega_0$  on U. We define

$$\Sigma^{+} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(U) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda > 0\},$$
  
$$\Sigma^{-} = \{(x,\xi) \in T^{*}(U) \setminus 0; \xi = \lambda \omega_{0}(x), \lambda < 0\}.$$

We have

$$K_{\pi} = K_{\pi^+} + K_{\pi^-} \quad on \quad U \times U,$$

where  $K_{\pi^+}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$K_{\pi^+}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_+(x,y)t} s_+(x,y,t) dt \quad on \ U \times U$$

with

$$s_{+}(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(U \times U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$$

$$s_{+}(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{+}^{j}(x,y)t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(U \times U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$ 

where

$$\begin{split} s^{j}_{+}(x,y) &\in C^{\infty}(U \times U; \,\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{y}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}_{x}(X))), \, j = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \phi_{+}(x,y) &\in C^{\infty}(U \times U), \\ \phi_{+}(x,x) &= 0, \\ \phi_{+}(x,y) &\neq 0 \quad if \ x \neq y, \\ &\operatorname{Im} \phi_{+}(x,y) \geq 0, \\ d_{x}\phi_{+} &\neq 0, \ d_{y}\phi_{+} \neq 0 \quad where \ \operatorname{Im} \phi_{+} &= 0, \\ d_{x}\phi_{+}(x,y)|_{x=y} &= \omega_{0}(x), \\ d_{y}\phi_{+}(x,y)|_{x=y} &= -\omega_{0}(x), \\ \phi_{+}(x,y) &= -\overline{\phi}_{+}(y,x). \end{split}$$

Moreover,  $\phi_+(x, y)$  satisfies (9.18). Similarly,

$$K_{\pi^-}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t} s_-(x,y,t) dt \mod C^\infty$$

with

$$s_{-}(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(U \times U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))),$$

$$s_{-}(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{-}^{j}(x,y)t^{n-1-j}$$
  
in the symbol space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(U \times U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q}T_{x}^{*}(X))),$ 

where

$$s_{-}^{j}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(U \times U; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(X), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{x}^{*}(X))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$
$$\phi_{-}(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_{+}(x,y).$$

## A Appendix: Microlocal analysis, a review

We will give a brief discussion of microlocal analysis in a setting appropriate for our purpose. For more details on the subject, see Hörmander [15], Grigis-Sjöstrand [12] and Melin-Sjöstrand [18]. Our presentation is essentially taken from Grigis-Sjöstrand [12] and Hörmander [15].

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. From now on, we write  $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\partial_x^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $D_x^{\alpha} = D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $D_{x_j} = -i\partial_{x_j}$ . We have the following

**Definition A.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is the space of all  $a \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that for all compact sets  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c(1+|\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}, (x,\xi) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

 $S^m$  is called the space of symbols of order *m*. We write  $S^{-\infty} = \bigcap S^m$ ,  $S^{\infty} = \bigcup S^m$ .

We next study asymptotic sums of symbols.

**Proposition A.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $a_j \in S^{m_j}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ...with  $m_j \searrow -\infty$ ,  $j \to \infty$ . Then there exists  $a \in S^{m_0}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  unique modulo (i.e. up to some element in)  $S^{-\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , such that  $a - \sum_{0 \le j < k} a_j \in S^{m_k}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. See Grigis-Sjöstrand [12] or Hörmander [14].

If a and  $a_i$  have the properties of Proposition A.2, we write

$$a \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{j}$$

and we call *a* the asymptotic sum of  $a_i$ .

**Definition A.3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. The set  $S^m_{cl}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  of all  $a \in S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_j(x,\xi),$$

where  $a_j \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is positively homogeneous of degree m - j when  $|\xi| \ge 1$ , will be called the space of classical symbols of order m.

The positively homogeneity in the definition means that

$$a_j(x,\lambda\xi) = \lambda^{m-j}a_j(x,\xi), |\xi| \ge 1, \lambda \ge 1.$$

**Definition A.4.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. A function  $\varphi(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called a phase function if for all  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ :

- (a)  $\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x,\xi) \ge 0$ ,
- (b)  $\varphi(x,\lambda\xi) = \lambda\varphi(x,\xi)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,
- (c)  $d\varphi \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$  be the space of Schwartz distributions on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$\mathscr{E}'(\Omega) = \{ u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega); \text{ supp } u \text{ is compact} \}.$$

Let  $\varphi(x,\xi)$  be a phase function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $a(x,\xi) \in S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Choose a cut-off function  $\chi(\xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  so that  $\chi(\xi) = 1$  when  $|\xi| < 1$  and  $\chi(\xi) = 0$  when  $|\xi| > 2$ . For all  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , set

$$I(a,\varphi)u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int e^{i\varphi(x,\xi)} a(x,\xi)u(x)\chi(\varepsilon\xi)dxd\xi.$$
(A.1)

Then  $I(a, \varphi) \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ . More precisely, we have the following

**Proposition A.5.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $\varphi(x,\xi)$  be a phase function on  $\Omega \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^N$ . Then there is a unique way of defining  $I(a, \varphi) \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$  for  $a \in S^\infty$  such that  $I(a, \varphi)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{I}(a,\varphi) = \int e^{i\varphi(x,\xi)} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

when  $a \in S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , m < -N and such that for every  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , the map

$$S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \ni a \to \mathrm{I}(a,\varphi)$$

is continuous.

Proof. See Grigis-Sjöstrand [12] or Hörmander [14].

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ ,  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$  be open sets. We recall that the Schwartz kernel theorem(see Hörmander [17]) states that there is a bijection between the set of distributions  $K \in \mathcal{D}'(Y \times Z)$  and the set of continuous linear operators

$$A: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y).$$

The correspondence is given by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_Y = \langle K, v \otimes u \rangle_{Y \times Z}, u \in C_0^{\infty}(Z), v \in C_0^{\infty}(Y),$$

where  $\langle , \rangle_Y$  and  $\langle , \rangle_{Y \times Z}$  denote the duality brackes for  $\mathscr{D}'(Y) \times C_0^{\infty}(Y)$  and  $\mathscr{D}'(Y \times Z) \times C_0^{\infty}(Y \times Z)$  respectively and  $(v \otimes u)(y, z) = v(y)u(z)$ . We call *K* the distribution kernel of *A*, and write  $K = K_A$ . Moreover, the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $K_A \in C^{\infty}(Y \times Z)$ ,

(ii) A is continuous  $\mathscr{E}'(Z) \to C^{\infty}(Y)$ .

If *A* satisfies (i) or (ii), we say that *A* is smoothing. Let *B* be a continuous linear operator

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y).$$

We write  $A \equiv B$  if A - B is a smoothing operator.

In order to simplify the discussion of composition of some operators, it is convenient to introduce the notion of properly supported operators. Let *C* be a closed subset of  $Y \times Z$ . We say that *C* is proper if the two projections

$$\Pi_{y}: (y, z) \in C \to y \in Y$$
$$\Pi_{z}: (y, z) \in C \to z \in Z$$

are proper, that is the inverse image of every compact subset of *Y* and *Z* respectively is compact.

A continuous linear operator

$$A: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y)$$

is said to be properly supported if supp  $K_A \subset Y \times Z$  is proper. If *A* is properly supported, then *A* is continuous

$$C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{E}'(Y)$$

and A has a unique continuous extension

$$C^{\infty}(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y).$$

**Definition A.6.** Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ ,  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$  be open sets. Let  $\varphi$  be a phase function on  $Y \times Z \times \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $a \in S^m(Y \times Z \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then a Fourier integral operator of order m is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y)$$

such that  $K_A = I(a, \varphi)$ . Formally we write

$$Au(y) = \int \int e^{i\varphi(y,z,\xi)} a(y,z,\xi) u(z) dz d\xi, \ u \in C_0^{\infty}(Z).$$

**Definition A.7.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . A pseudodifferential operator of order *m* is a continuous linear map:

$$A: C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$$

such that

$$K_A = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \mathrm{I}(a,\varphi)$$

with  $a \in S^m(\Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\varphi(x, y, \xi) = (x - y)\xi$ . Formally,

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \iint e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi, \ u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

We shall write  $L^m(\Omega)$  to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order m.

We collect some facts about pseudodifferential operators. For the proofs, see Grigis-Sjöstrand [12] or Hörmander [15].

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \iint e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi$$
(A.2)

be a pseudodifferential operator of order *m*, where  $a \in S^m(\Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then we have the following properties:

(a) *A* is continuous

$$C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$$

and has unique continuous extension

$$\mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega).$$

(b) If  $a \in S^{-\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $K_A \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$  and A is continuous  $\mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , conversely if  $K_A \in C^{\infty}$ , then there is  $a \in S^{-\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that (A.2) holds.

We write  $L^{-\infty}(\Omega)$  to denote the space of operators with  $a \in S^{-\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

(c) We recall that the singular support of  $K_A$  is the smallest closed subset L of  $\Omega \times \Omega$  such that  $K_A \in C^{\infty}((\Omega \times \Omega) \setminus L)$ . We write sing supp  $K_A$  to denote the singular support of  $K_A$ . Then

sing supp 
$$K_A \subset \text{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega) = \{(x, x) \in \Omega \times \Omega\}.$$

- (d) *A* has decomposition A = A' + A'', where  $A' \in L^m(\Omega)$  is properly supported and  $A'' \in L^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ .
- (e) Let A = A' + A'' be the decomposition in (d). Set

$$A'u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} \hat{a}(x,y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi, \ u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

Then

$$a(x,\xi) := e^{-ix\xi} A'(e^{ix\xi}) \in S^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and has the asymptotic expansion

$$a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{y}^{\alpha} \hat{a}(x,y,\xi)) \Big|_{x=y}.$$
 (A.3)

We call  $a(x,\xi)$  the symbol of *A*.  $a(x,\xi)$  is up to some element in  $S^{-\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We write  $a(x,\xi) = \sigma_A(x,\xi)$ . Moreover,

$$Au(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int e^{ix\xi} a(x,\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\xi \rangle} a(x,\xi) u(y) dy d\xi,$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  is the Fourier transform of  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

(f) Let

$$(u \mid v) = \int u(y)\overline{v(y)}dy$$

be the inner product on  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We define the adjoint

$$A^*: C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$$

by  $(Au \mid v) = (u \mid A^*v)$ ,  $u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . The distribution kernel of  $A^*$  is

 $K_{A^*}(x,y) = \overline{K_A(y,x)}$ 

and  $A^* \in L^m(\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_{A^*} \in S^m$  and  $\sigma_{A^*}$  has the following asymptotic formula

$$\sigma_{A^*}(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\alpha} \overline{\sigma_A(x,\xi)}.$$
 (A.4)

(g) Let  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ ,  $B \in L^{m'}(\Omega)$ , with at least one of A, B properly supported. Then  $A \circ B \in L^{m+m'}(\Omega)$  and

$$\sigma_{A\circ B}(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma_A(x,\xi) D_x^{\alpha} \sigma_B(x,\xi)}{\alpha!}.$$
 (A.5)

(h) We write  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  to denote the set of all  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\sup_{x} \left| x^{\beta} \partial^{\alpha} \phi(x) \right| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Let  $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the dual space of  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , i.e.  $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is the space of all continuous linear forms on  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We recall that the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the space of all  $u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  is locally square integrable and

$$||u||_{s}^{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N}} \int \left| \hat{u}(\xi)^{2} \right| (1+|\xi|^{2})^{s} d\xi < \infty,$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  is the Fourier transform of *u*. Define the Fréchet space

$$H^{s}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega); \, \varphi \, a \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \, \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}$$

and

$$H^s_{\rm comp}(\Omega) = H^s_{\rm loc}(\Omega) \bigcap \mathscr{E}'(\Omega).$$

If  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ , then A is continuous

$$H^s_{\operatorname{comp}}(\Omega) \to H^{s-m}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega).$$

If  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$  is properly supported, then A is continuous

$$H^s_{\rm loc}(\Omega) \to H^{s-m}_{\rm loc}(\Omega).$$

Our next aim is to define pseudodifferential operators on a manifold. First we must discuss changes of variables and the notions of principal symbol and subprincipal sympol. Let  $\kappa : \Omega \to \Omega_{\kappa}$  be a diffeomorphism map, where  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  are open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ , we want to study

$$\widetilde{A} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A \circ \kappa^* : C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}),$$
$$u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}) \to A(u \circ \kappa) \circ \kappa^{-1} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}).$$

We have the following

**Proposition A.8.** Let  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_{\kappa} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open sets. Let

$$\kappa:\Omega\to\Omega_{\kappa}$$

be a diffeomorphism. If  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\widetilde{A} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A \circ \kappa^* \in L^m(\Omega_\kappa).$$

Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$\sigma_{\widetilde{A}}(\kappa(x),\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x, {}^t \kappa'(x)\xi) D_{y}^{\alpha} e^{i \langle \rho_x(y),\xi \rangle}}{\alpha!} \bigg|_{y=x},$$
(A.6)

where  $\rho_x(y) = \kappa(y) - \kappa(x) - \kappa'(x)(y-x)$  vanishes of second order at x. The terms in the series are in  $S^{m-|\frac{\alpha}{2}|}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Proof. See Hörmander [14].

**Definition A.9.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ . We define the principal

symbol of *A* as the image of  $\sigma_A$  in

$$(S^m/S^{m-1})(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N).$$

We then have a surjective map

$$L^m \to S^m / S^{m-1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$$

which gives rise to a bijection

$$L^m/L^{m-1} \to S^m/S^{m-1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let  $L_{cl}^m(\Omega) \subset L^m(\Omega)$  be the space of pseudodifferential operators A with  $\sigma_A \in S_{cl}^m$ . For such an operator we can identify the principal symbol in  $S_{cl}^m/S_{cl}^{m-1}$  with the positively homogenous function  $a_m(x,\xi)$ in the asymptotic expansion

$$\sigma_A \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{m-j}(x,\xi), \tag{A.7}$$

where  $a_{m-j}(x,\xi)$  is positively homogenous function of degree m-j.

Returning to the changes of variables for  $\widetilde{A} \in L^m(\Omega_\kappa)$ , we see from (A.6) that

$$\sigma_{\widetilde{A}}(\kappa(x),\xi) - \sigma_A(x,{}^t\kappa'(x)\xi) \in S^{m-1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N).$$
(A.8)

If *a*,  $\tilde{a}$  denote the principal symbols of *A*,  $\tilde{A}$ , we get the relation

$$\widetilde{a}(\kappa(x),\xi) = a(x, {}^{t}\kappa'(x)\xi). \tag{A.9}$$

**Definition A.10.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  as in (A.7). The subprincipal symbol of *A* is defined by

$$a^{s}(x,\xi) = a_{m-1}(x,\xi) + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} a_{m}(x,\xi)}{\partial x_{j} \partial \xi_{j}}.$$
 (A.10)

**Definition A.11.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  as in (A.7). We say that  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is a doubly characteristic point of A if

- (a)  $a_m(x_0,\xi_0)=0$ ,
- (b)  $\left(\frac{\partial a_m}{\partial \xi_i}\right)(x_0,\xi_0) = 0, \ j = 1,...,N,$
- (c)  $\left(\frac{\partial a_m}{\partial x_i}\right)(x_0,\xi_0)=0, j=1,\ldots,N.$

We need the following (see Sjöstrand [21])

**Lemma A.12.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open set. Suppose that  $P = AQ_1Q_2 + B$ , where  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$ ,  $Q_1, Q_2 \in L^0_{cl}(\Omega)$ ,  $B \in L^{m-1}_{cl}(\Omega)$  are properly supported classical pseudodifferential operators with principal symbols  $a, q_1, q_2$ , b respectively. Let  $\rho \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  be a point where  $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ . If we write the symbol of P as

$$p(x,\xi) = p_m(x,\xi) + p_{m-1}(x,\xi) \mod S^{m-2}$$

where  $p_m$  and  $p_{m-1}$  are positively homogeneous of degree m and m-1, then the subprincipal symbol of P at  $\rho$  is given by the formula

$$p^{s}(\rho) = b(\rho) + a(\rho)(2i)^{-1} \{q_{1}, q_{2}\}(\rho).$$

In particular, if  $E \in L^k_{cl}(\Omega)$  is an elliptic operator with principal symbol  $e(x, \xi)$ , that is  $e(x, \xi) \neq 0$ , for all  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega$ , then the subprincipal symbols of  $E \circ P$  and  $P \circ E$  at  $\rho$  are  $e(\rho)p^s(\rho)$ .

Let  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let

 $\kappa: \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega_{\kappa}$ 

be a diffeomorphism. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x,\xi)$  as in (A.7). Let

$$\widetilde{A} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A \circ \kappa^* \in L^m_{\rm cl}(\Omega_{\kappa})$$

with symbol

$$\sigma_{\widetilde{A}} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_{m-j},$$

where  $\tilde{a}_{m-j}$  is positively homogeneous of degree m - j. Let  $\rho = (x_0, {}^t\kappa'(x_0)\xi_0)$  be a doubly characteristic point of *A*. From Taylor's formula, we have

$$a_m(x,\xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2N} a_{j,k}(x,\xi) q_j(x,\xi) q_k(x,\xi)$$
 near  $\rho$ ,

where  $a_{j,k}$ , j, k = 1,...,2N, are positively homogeneous  $C^{\infty}$  functions of degree m and  $q_j$ , j = 1,...,2N, are positively homogeneous  $C^{\infty}$  functions of degree 0 with  $q_j(\rho) = 0$ , j = 1,...,2N. If  $Q_j \in L^0_{cl}(\Omega)$  and  $A_{j,k} \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  are properly supported classical pseudodifferential operators with principal symbols  $q_j$  and  $a_{j,k}$  respectively, then

$$A = \sum_{j,k} A_{j,k} Q_j Q_k + B$$

near  $\rho$ , where  $B \in L_{cl}^{m-1}(\Omega)$ . We denote the principal symbol of *B* by *b*. We have

$$\widetilde{A} = \sum_{j,k} \widetilde{A}_{j,k} \widetilde{Q}_j \widetilde{Q}_k + \widetilde{B}$$

near  $\widetilde{\rho} = (\kappa(x_0), \xi_0)$ , where  $\widetilde{A}_{j,k} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A_{j,k} \circ \kappa^* \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega_{\kappa})$ ,  $\widetilde{Q}_j = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ Q_j \circ \kappa^* \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega_{\kappa})$ ,  $\widetilde{B} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ B \circ \kappa^* \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega_{\kappa})$ . We denote the principal symbols by  $\widetilde{a}_{j,k}, \widetilde{q}_j$  and  $\widetilde{b}$ . From Lemma A.12, we have

$$a^{s}(\rho) = b(\rho) + (2i)^{-1} \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}(\rho) \{q_{j}, q_{k}\}(\rho)$$

and

$$\widetilde{a}^{s}(\widetilde{\rho}) = \widetilde{b}(\widetilde{\rho}) + (2i)^{-1} \sum_{j,k} \widetilde{a}_{j,k}(\widetilde{\rho}) \{ \widetilde{q}_{j}, \widetilde{q}_{k} \}(\rho),$$

where  $a^s$  and  $\tilde{a}^s$  are the subprincipal symbols of *A* and  $\tilde{A}$  respectively. In view of (A.9), we have  $a^s(\rho) = \tilde{a}^s(\tilde{\rho})$ . Summing up, we have proved

**Proposition A.13.** Let  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ . Let

$$\kappa:\Omega\to\Omega_{\kappa}$$

be a diffeomorphism. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  as in (A.7). Then

$$\widetilde{A} = (\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A \circ \kappa^* \in L^m_{\rm cl}(\Omega_\kappa)$$

with symbol

$$\sigma_{\widetilde{A}} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_{m-j},$$

where  $\tilde{a}_{m-j}$  is positively homogeneous of degree m - j. We have

$$\widetilde{a}_m(\kappa(x),\xi) = a_m(x, {}^t\kappa'(x)\xi).$$

Moreover, if  $(x_0, {}^t\kappa'(x_0)\xi_0)$  is a doubly characteristic point of A, then  $(\kappa(x_0), \xi_0)$  is also a doubly characteristic point of  $\tilde{A}$  and

$$\widetilde{a}^{s}(\kappa(x_0),\xi_0) = a^{s}(x_0,{}^{t}\kappa'(x_0)\xi_0),$$

where  $a^s$ ,  $\tilde{a}^s$  denote the subprincipal symbols of A and  $\tilde{A}$  respectively.

**Definition A.14.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . A pseudodifferential operator of order m on a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$  is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$$

such that for every local coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa} \subset \Omega$  with coordinates

$$\Omega_{\kappa} \ni x \to \kappa(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa} \subset \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we have

$$(\kappa^{-1})^* \circ A \circ \kappa^* \in L^m(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}).$$

We shall write  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$  and extend A to a map

 $\mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega).$ 

**Definition A.15.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension N. Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $S^m(T^*(\Omega))$  is the set of all  $a \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega))$  such that pullback to  $T^*(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}^N$  is in  $S^m(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  for every coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  with coordinates  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ .

**Definition A.16.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension N.  $S_{cl}^m(T^*(\Omega))$  is the set of all  $a \in S^m(T^*(\Omega))$  such that pullback to  $T^*(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}^N$  is in  $S_{cl}^m(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  for every coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  with coordinates  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ . We call  $S_{cl}^m(T^*(\Omega))$  the space of classical symbols of order m.

**Lemma A.17.** Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and let

$$\phi:\Omega_1\to\Omega_2$$

and

 $\Phi: \Omega_1 \to \operatorname{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$  (the group of invertible  $N \times N$  matrices)

be  $C^{\infty}$  maps. Then

$$a_1(x,\xi) = a_2(\phi(x), \Phi(x)\xi)$$

is in  $S^m(\Omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $a_2 \in S^m(\Omega_2 \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Proof. See Hörmander [14].

From Lemma A.17, we see that to check that  $a \in S^m(T^*(\Omega))$  it is enough to check the requirement of Definition A.15 for an atlas and the definition agrees with our earlier one if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Definition A.18.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension N. If  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$  then the restriction of A to  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  identified with  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$  defines a symbol in

$$S^m/S^{-\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_\kappa \times \mathbb{R}^N),$$

where  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  is a local coordinate patch with coordinates  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ . If

$$a_{\kappa} \in S^m(T^*(\Omega_{\kappa}))$$

is the pullback of a representative then

$$a_{\kappa}-a_{\kappa'}\in S^{m-1}(T^*(\Omega_{\kappa}\bigcap\Omega_{\kappa'}))$$

by Proposition A.8 for every pair of coordinate patches. With a locally finite partition of unity  $\{\psi_j\}$  subordinate to a covering by coordinate patches  $\Omega_{\kappa_j}$  we set

$$a = \sum \psi_j a_{\kappa_j} \in S^m(T^*(\Omega))$$

and obtain

$$a-a_{\kappa}\in S^{m-1}(T^*(\Omega_{\kappa}))$$

for every  $\kappa$ . This determines *a* modulo  $S^{m-1}(T^*(\Omega))$  so we define the principal symbol of *A* as the image of *a* in

$$S^m/S^{m-1}(T^*(\Omega)).$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x,\xi)$  as in (A.7). We identify the principal symbol of A with  $a_m$ .

**Definition A.19.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. Let  $A \in L^m(\Omega)$ . If  $a \in S^m(T^*(\Omega))$  is the principal symbol of A then A is said to be non-characteristic at  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  if

$$ab-1 \in S^{-1}(T^*(\Omega))$$

in a conic neighborhood of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  for some  $b \in S^{-m}(T^*(\Omega))$ . We say that  $(x, \xi) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  is a characteristic point of *A* if  $(x, \xi)$  is not a non-characteristic point of *A*. Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of characteristic points of *A*. We call  $\Sigma$  the characteristic set of *A*.  $\Sigma$  is a closed conic subset of  $T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  with symbol  $\sigma_A(x,\xi)$  as in (A.7). The condition of the definition A.19 is equivalent to  $a_m(x_0,\xi_0) \neq 0$  and

$$\Sigma = \{(x,\xi) \in T^*(\Omega); a_m(x,\xi) = 0\}.$$

**Definition A.20.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. Let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$  and let  $\Sigma$  be the characteristic set of A. We say that  $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$  is a doubly characteristic point of A if for every local coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa}$ ,  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ , with local coordinates  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ ,  $(x, \xi)$  is a doubly characteristic point of  $\widetilde{A}$ , where  $\widetilde{A}$  is the pull back of A to  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ . If every  $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$  is a doubly characteristic point, we call  $\Sigma$  doubly characteristic set.

**Definition A.21.** Let  $\Omega$  be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold and let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\rho = (x_0, \xi_0)$  be a doubly characteristic point of A. Let  $\Omega_{\kappa}$ ,  $\rho \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ , be a local coordinate patch with local coordinates  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$  and let  $\widetilde{A}$  be the pull back of A to  $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ . The subprincipal symbol of A at  $\rho$  is the value  $\widetilde{a}^s(\rho)$ , where  $\widetilde{a}^s$  is the subprincipal symbol of  $\widetilde{A}$ .

In view of Proposition A.13, we see that the definition above makes sense. We must review some facts about pseudodifferential operators between sections of vector bundles. This will be important in this work.

**Definition A.22.** Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then a pseudodifferential operator of order *m* from sections of *E* to sections of *F* is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; E) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega; F)$$

such that for every open set  $Y \subset \Omega$  where *E* and *F* are trivialized by

$$\phi_E: E_Y \to Y \times \mathbb{C}^e, \ \phi_F: F_Y \to Y \times \mathbb{C}^f,$$

there is a  $f \times e$  matrix of pseudodifferential operators  $A_{j,k} \in L^m(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\phi_F(Au)|_Y)_j = \sum_k A_{j,k}(\phi_E u)_k, \ u \in C_0^\infty(Y; E).$$

We shall then write  $A \in L^m(\Omega; E, F)$ .

For every coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa} \subset \Omega$ , let

$$v_{\kappa}^1, v_{\kappa}^2, \ldots, v_{\kappa}^e$$

and

$$w_{\kappa}^1, w_{\kappa}^2, \ldots, w_{\kappa}^f$$

be local frames of *E* and *F* respectively. Then, for every  $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}; E)$  and  $a \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}; F)$ , we have

$$\alpha = \sum_{j} \alpha_{\kappa}^{j}(x) v_{\kappa}^{j}(x), \quad a = \sum_{j} a_{\kappa}^{j}(x) w_{\kappa}^{j}(x),$$

where  $\alpha_{\kappa}^{j}(x)$ ,  $a_{\kappa}^{j}(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa})$ . If  $\Omega_{\kappa'} \bigcap \Omega_{\kappa} \neq \phi$ , we write

$$\alpha = \sum_{j} \alpha_{\kappa}^{j} v_{\kappa}^{j} = \sum_{j} \alpha_{\kappa'}^{j} v_{\kappa'}^{j},$$
$$a = \sum_{j} a_{\kappa}^{j} w_{\kappa}^{j} = \sum_{j} a_{\kappa'}^{j} w_{\kappa'}^{j}.$$

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\kappa'}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{\kappa'}^{e}(x) \end{pmatrix} = e_{\kappa',\kappa}(x) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\kappa}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{\kappa}^{e}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{\kappa'}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_{\kappa'}^{f}(x) \end{pmatrix} = f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x) \begin{pmatrix} a_{\kappa}^{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_{\kappa}^{f}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $e_{\kappa',\kappa}(x)$ ,  $f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x)$  are the transition matrices of *E* and *F* respectively.

Let  $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$  be the vector bundle over  $T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  with fiber at  $(x, \xi)$  consisting of the linear maps from  $E_x$  to  $F_x$ . Every  $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(E, F))$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x,\xi) &= \left(a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi)\right),\\ \alpha(x,\xi) &: E_{x} \to F_{x},\\ \sum_{j} s_{j} \nu_{\kappa}^{j}(x) \to \sum_{j} t_{j} w_{\kappa}^{j}(x), \ t_{j} = \sum_{k} a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi) s_{k}, \ x \in \Omega_{\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$
(A.11)

If  $\Omega_{\kappa} \bigcap \Omega_{\kappa'} \neq \phi$ , we have

$$\left(a_{\nu_{\kappa'},w_{\kappa'}}^{j,k}(x,\xi)\right) = f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x) \circ \left(a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi)\right) \circ e_{\kappa,\kappa'}(x).$$
(A.12)

We have the following

**Definition A.23.** Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $S^m(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(E, F))$  is the set of all

$$\alpha \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(E, F))$$

such that if we write  $\alpha = (a_{\nu_{\kappa} w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi))$  as in (A.11), then

$$a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi) \in S^{m}(T^{*}(\Omega_{\kappa}))$$
 for every  $j,k$ .

**Definition A.24.** Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$  and let  $a(x,\xi) \in S^m(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(E,F))$ . We say that  $a(x,\xi)$  is a classical symbol, if we write  $a(x,\xi) = \left(a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}(x,\xi)\right)$  as in (A.11), then  $a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}$  is a classical symbol, for every *j*, *k*. We shall write  $S_{cl}^m(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(E,F))$  to denote the space of all classical symbols.

Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$  and let  $A \in L^m(\Omega; E, F)$ . For every coordinate patch  $\Omega_{\kappa}$ , we write

$$A = (A_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}), \quad A_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k} \in L^{m}(\Omega),$$
  

$$A : C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}; E) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa}; F),$$
  

$$\sum_{j} s_{j}(x)\nu_{\kappa}^{j}(x) \mapsto \sum_{j} t_{j}(x)w_{\kappa}^{j}(x), \ t_{j} = \sum_{k} A_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k} s_{k}.$$
(A.13)

We define the symbol of *A* as

$$\sigma_A(x,\xi) = \left(\sigma_{A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},\omega_{\kappa}}}(x,\xi)\right).$$

If  $\Omega_{\kappa'} \bigcap \Omega_{\kappa} \neq \phi$ , we have

$$\left(A_{\nu_{\kappa'}, w_{\kappa'}}^{j,k}\right) = f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x) \circ \left(A_{\nu_{\kappa}, w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}\right) \circ e_{\kappa,\kappa'}(x).$$
(A.14)

From (A.14), we have

$$\left(\sigma_{A_{\nu_{\kappa'},w_{\kappa'}}^{j,k}}(x,\xi)\right) - f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x) \left(\sigma_{A_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{j,k}}(x,\xi)\right) e_{\kappa,\kappa'}(x) \in S^{m-1}(T^*(\Omega_{\kappa'}\bigcap\Omega_{\kappa});\mathscr{L}(E,F)).$$
(A.15)

We define the principal symbol of *A* at  $(x, \xi) \in T^*(\Omega_{\kappa})$  as the image of  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  in

$$(S^m/S^{m-1})(T^*(\Omega_\kappa); \mathscr{L}(E,F))$$

From (A.15), we see that the principal symbol of A is well-defined as an element in

$$(S^m/S^{m-1})(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(E, F)).$$

We write  $L_{cl}^m(\Omega; E, F)$  to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order *m* from sections of *E* to sections of *F* with  $\sigma_A \in S_{cl}^m(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ .

**Definition A.25.** Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$  and let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega; E, F)$ . For every coordinate  $\Omega_{\kappa}$ , we write  $A = (A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}), A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}} \in L^m(\Omega_{\kappa})$ , as in (A.13). We say that  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*(\Omega_{\kappa}) \setminus 0$  is a doubly characteristic point of *A* if  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is a doubly characteristic point of  $A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}$  for every *j*, *k*.

**Definition A.26.** Let *E* and *F* be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold  $\Omega$  and let  $A \in L^m_{cl}(\Omega; E, F)$ . Let  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  be a doubly characteristic point of *A*. For every coordinate  $\Omega_{\kappa}$ ,  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*(\Omega_{\kappa}) \setminus 0$ , we write  $A = (A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}), A^{j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}} \in L^m(\Omega_{\kappa})$ , as in (A.13). Let  $a^{s,j,k}_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}(x_0,\xi_0)$  be the subprincipal of  $A_{j,k}$  at  $(x_0,\xi_0)$ , for every *j*, *k*. From (A.14) and Lemma A.12, we have

$$\left(a_{\nu_{\kappa'},w_{\kappa'}}^{s,j,k}(x_0,\xi_0)\right) = f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x_0) \left(a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{s,j,k}(x_0,\xi_0)\right) e_{\kappa,\kappa'}(x_0)$$
(A.16)

on  $T^*(\Omega_{\kappa} \bigcap \Omega_{\kappa'})$ , where  $e_{\kappa',\kappa}(x)$ ,  $f_{\kappa',\kappa}(x)$  are the transition matrices of *E* and *F* respectively. We define the subprincipal symbol of *A* at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  as

$$\left(a_{\nu_{\kappa},w_{\kappa}}^{s,j,k}(x_0,\xi_0)\right) \in \mathscr{L}(E_{x_0},F_{x_0}).$$

From (A.16), we see that the subprincipal of *A* is invariantly defined at every doubly characteristic point of *A*.

We must make some comments on symplectic geometry. This will also be important in this work. Our presentation is essentially taken from Duistermaat [10], Hörmander [15] and Sjöstrand [21].

First, we review some facts about symplectic vector spaces.

**Definition A.27.** An antisymmetric nondegenerate bilinear form on a finite dimensional vector space *E* is called a symplectic form on *E*. A symplectic vector space is a pair  $(E, \sigma)$  consisting of a finite dimensional vector space *E* and a symplectic form  $\sigma$  on *E*.

 $\sigma$  is nondegenerate means that

$$\sigma(v, v') = 0, \forall v' \in E \Rightarrow v = 0.$$

**Definition A.28.** If  $(E_1, \sigma_1)$ ,  $(E_2, \sigma_2)$  are symplectic vector spaces and

$$T: E_1 \to E_2$$

is a linear bijection with  $T^*\sigma_2 = \sigma_1$ , that is

$$\sigma_1(v,w) = \sigma_2(Tv,Tw), v, w \in E_1,$$

then *T* is called a symplectic isomorphism.

In the vector space  $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{(x, \xi); x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  the symplectic form

$$\sigma = \sum d\xi_j \wedge dx_j$$

is the bilinear form

$$\sigma((x,\xi),(x',\xi')) = \langle x',\xi \rangle - \langle x,\xi' \rangle$$

If  $e_j$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  are the unit vectors along the  $x_j$  and  $\xi_j$  axes respectively, then we have for j, k = 1, ..., n

$$\sigma(e_j, e_k) = \sigma(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k) = 0,$$
  
$$\sigma(\varepsilon_j, e_k) = -\sigma(e_k, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{jk}$$

where  $\delta_{jk} = 1$  when j = k and  $\delta_{jk} = 0$  when  $j \neq k$ .

Let  $(E, \sigma)$  be a symplectic vector space. Note that the linear bijection mapping

$$A: E \to T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

sending the vectors  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  into the standard basis of  $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a symplectic isomorphism if and only if for all  $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$ 

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(e_j, e_k) = 0, \\ \sigma(f_j, f_k) = 0, \\ \sigma(f_j, e_k) = \delta_{j,k}. \end{cases}$$
(A.17)

 $(e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  is called symplectic coordinates of  $(E, \sigma)$ 

**Lemma A.29.** Every symplectic vector space  $(E, \sigma)$  admits a linear symplectic isomorphism

$$T: E \to T^*(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. See Duistermaat [10] or Hörmander [15].

If *L* is a linear subspace of *E* then we define its orthocomplement  $L^{\sigma}$  in *E* with respect to  $\sigma$  by

$$L^{\sigma} = \{e \in E; \sigma(e, l) = 0 \text{ for all } l \in L\}.$$

We have the following rules

$$L \subset M \Rightarrow M^{\sigma} \subset L^{\sigma},$$
  

$$(L^{\sigma})^{\sigma} = L,$$
  

$$(L \bigcap M)^{\sigma} = L^{\sigma} + M^{\sigma}, (L+M)^{\sigma} = L^{\sigma} \bigcap M^{\sigma},$$
  

$$\dim L^{\sigma} = \dim E - \dim L.$$

**Definition A.30.** A linear subspace *L* of *E* is called isotropic, Lagrangian resp. involutive, if  $L \subset L^{\sigma}$ ,  $L = L^{\sigma}$  and  $L \supset L^{\sigma}$  respectively.

**Definition A.31.** A symplectic form on a manifold  $\Omega$  is a 2-form  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$d\sigma = 0$$

and  $\sigma_{\rho}$  is a symplectic form on  $T_{\rho}(\Omega)$ , for each  $\rho \in \Omega$ . A symplectic manifold is a pair  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  consisting of a manifold  $\Omega$  and a symplectic form  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$ .

**Definition A.32.** A submanifold *V* of a symplectic manifold is called symplectic, isotropic, Lagrangian, involutive respectively, if at every point of *V* the tangent space of *V* has this property.

Suppose  $(M, \sigma)$ ,  $(N, \tau)$  are symplectic manifolds. Let

 $\Phi: M \to N$ 

be a  $C^{\infty}$ -map. Let  $D\Phi$  be the differential map, that is,

$$D\Phi_{\rho}: T_{\rho}(M) \to T_{\rho}(N)$$
$$v \to \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi \circ \alpha(t)) \Big|_{t=0}$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is a  $C^1$ -curve with  $\alpha(0) = \rho$  and  $\alpha'(0) = v$ .

**Definition A.33.** If  $(M, \sigma)$ ,  $(N, \tau)$  are symplectic manifolds and

 $\Phi: M \to N$ 

is a diffeomorphism with  $\Phi^*\tau = \sigma$ , that is,  $D\Phi_\rho$  is a symplectic isomorphism from  $(T_\rho(M), \sigma_\rho)$  to  $(T_{\Phi(\rho)}(N), \tau_{\Phi(\rho)})$  for all  $\rho \in M$ , then  $\Phi$  is called a canonical transformation.

**Definition A.34.** Let  $(M, \sigma)$  be a symplectic manifold. For any  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  the Hamilton field  $H_f$  is the unique  $C^{\infty}$  vector field on M such that  $H_f \sqcup \sigma = -df$ . We notice that  $H_f \sqcup \sigma$  is a 1-form defined by

$$(H_f \lrcorner \sigma)_{\rho}(e_{\rho}) = \sigma_{\rho}(H_f(\rho), e_{\rho}),$$

where  $e_{\rho} \in T_{\rho}(M)$ .

**Definition A.35.** Let  $(M, \sigma)$  be a symplectic manifold. If  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$  then the Poisson brackets  $\{f, g\} \in C^{\infty}(M)$  are defined by

$$\{f,g\}=H_fg=\sigma(H_f,H_g).$$

*Remark* A.36. In symplectic coordinates  $(x, \xi)$ , we have

$$H_f = \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}\right).$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. Let

$$\pi: T^*(\Omega) \to \Omega$$

be the natural projection map.

**Definition A.37.** The canonical 1-form on  $T^*(\Omega)$  is the 1-form  $\alpha$  given by

$$\alpha(x,\xi) = \xi \circ D\pi_{(x,\xi)} \text{ for all } (x,\xi) \in T^*(\Omega).$$

**Definition A.38.**  $\sigma = d\sigma$  is called the canonical 2-form on  $T^*(\Omega)$ .

*Remark* A.39. (a) In canonical coordinates  $(x, \xi)$  we have

$$\alpha = \sum \xi_j dx_j$$

and hence

$$\sigma = \sum d\xi_j \wedge dx_j.$$

(b)  $T^*(\Omega)$  with canonical 2-form  $\sigma$  is a symplectic manifold.

Let  $(M, \sigma)$  be a symplectic manifold. If

$$\Phi: M \to T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a canonical transformation, then  $\Phi$  is called a canonical coordinatization. It is well-known that the functions  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$  form local canonical coordinates of  $(M, \sigma)$  if and only if for all  $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$ :

$$\{x_j, x_k\} = 0, \{\xi_j, \xi_k\} = 0, \{\xi_j, x_k\} = \delta_{jk}.$$

We call  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$  the symplectic coordinates of  $(M, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition A.40.** Suppose  $(M, \sigma)$  is a symplectic manifold. Then dim M is even, say 2n, and for each  $m_0 \in M$  there is a canonical coordinates of a neighborhood U of  $m_0$ .

Proof. See Duistermaat [10] or Hörmander [15].

Let  $(S, \sigma)$  be a symplectic vector space. Let  $Q(x, \xi)$  be a real positive semidefinite quadratic form in *S*. Let

$$Q(X,Y) = \langle X, Q''Y \rangle$$

be the corresponding symmetric form. Here Q'' is the Hessian of  $Q(x, \xi)$ . We have the following definition

**Definition A.41.** The linear map *F* in *S* is defined by

$$\sigma(Y, FX) = Q(Y, X), X, Y \in S$$

will be called the fundamental matrix of *Q*.

The symmetry of *Q* means that

$$\sigma(FX,Y) = -\sigma(X,FY),$$

that is, *F* is skew symmetric with respect to  $\sigma$ . We notice that the preceding definition is still applicable if *Q* is complex valued provied we replace *S* by its complexification

$$S_{\mathbb{C}} = \{X + iY; X, Y \in S\}$$

with the obvious complex symplectic structure.

**Proposition A.42.** Let  $Q(x, \xi)$  be a real positive semi-definite quadratic form in *S*. Let

$$Q(X,Y) = \langle X, Q''Y \rangle$$

be the corresponding symmetric form. Here Q'' is the Hessian of  $Q(x, \xi)$ . Then one can choose symplectic coordinates  $x, \xi$  such that

$$Q(x,\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \mu_j (x_j^2 + \xi_j^2) + \sum_{k=1}^{k+l} x_j^2$$

where  $\mu_j > 0$ .

Proof. See Hörmander [15].

From Proposition A.42, we see that  $\pm i\mu_j$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix *F* of *Q*. We write  $\tilde{\text{tr}} F = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\mu_j|$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension n. Let  $p \in \Omega$  and let  $f \in C^2(\Omega)$  with df(p) = 0. In local coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , we define

$$f_p'' = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(p)\right)_{j,k=1}^n$$

Since df(p) = 0,  $f_p''$  is well-defined as a linear map

$$f_p'': T_p(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C},$$
  
$$t, s \to \left\langle t, f_p''s \right\rangle,$$

where  $t, s \in T_p(\Omega)$ .

If  $(S, \sigma)$  is a symplectic manifold and  $f \in C^2(S)$  is real valued, df = 0 at  $\rho \in S$ . Let  $F_{\rho}$  be the fundamental matrix of  $f''_{\rho}$ . We also call  $F_{\rho}$  the fundamental matrix of f. In symplectic coordinates  $(x, \xi)$  the matrix F becomes

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial \xi} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi \partial \xi} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} & -\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi \partial x} \end{array}\right).$$

From this we see that the fundamental matrix F is the linearization of  $H_f$ .

*Remark* A.43. The symplectic reduction of quadratic forms is an old topic in mechanics. It is also important for the study of hypoelliptic operators. See Boutet de Monvel [7] and Sjöstrand [21].

# B Appendix: Almost analytic manifolds, functions and vector fields

We will give a brief discussion of almost analytic manifolds, functions and vector fields in a setting appropriate for our purpose. For more details on the subject, see Melin-Sjöstrand [18].

Let  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open set and let  $f \in C^{\infty}(W)$ . In this section, we will use the following notations:

$$\overline{\partial} f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} d\overline{z}_{j}$$

and

$$\partial f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j$$

**Definition B.1.** Let  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open set and let  $\phi(z)$  be a positive continuous function on W. If  $f \in C^{\infty}(W)$ , we say that f is almost analytic with respect to the weight function  $\phi$  if, given any compact subset K of W and any integer  $N \ge 0$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\overline{\partial}f(z)\right| \leq c\phi(z)^N, \,\forall z \in K.$$

When  $\phi(z) = |\text{Im } z|$  we simply say that *f* is almost analytic.

**Definition B.2.** Let  $f_1, f_2 \in C^{\infty}(W)$  with  $W, \phi$  as above. We say that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are equivalent with respect to the weight function  $\phi$  if, given any compact subset K of W and any integer N > 0, there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$|(f_1-f_2)(z)| \leq c \phi(z)^N, \forall z \in K.$$

When  $\phi(z) = |\text{Im } z|$  we simply say that they are equivalent and we write

$$f_1 \sim f_2.$$

The following proposition is due to Hörmander. For a proof, see [18].

**Proposition B.3.** Let  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open set and let  $W_{\mathbb{R}} = W \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $f \in C^{\infty}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$  then f has an almost analytic extension, uniquely determined up to equivalence.

**Definition B.4.** Let *U* be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  submanifold of codimension 2k of *U*. We say that  $\Lambda$  is an almost analytic manifold if for every point  $z_0$  of  $\Lambda \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n$  there exist an open neighborhood *V* of  $z_0$  in *U* and *k* complex  $C^{\infty}$  almost analytic functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$  defined on *V* such that

 $\Lambda \bigcap V$  is defined by the equations  $f_1(z) = \cdots = f_k(z) = 0$ ,

 $\partial f_1, \ldots, \partial f_k$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  at every point of *V*.

**Definition B.5.** Let  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  be two  $C^{\infty}$  closed submanifolds of an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . We say that  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are equivalent (and we write  $\Lambda_1 \sim \Lambda_2$ ) if they have the same intersection with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the same dimension and if for every open set  $V \subset \subset U$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(z,\Lambda_2) \leq c_{N,V} |\operatorname{Im} z|^N$$
,  $z \in V \bigcap \Lambda_1$ ,  $c_{N,V} > 0$ .

It is trivial that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation and that  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are tangential to infinite order in the real points when  $\Lambda_1 \sim \Lambda_2$ . We recall the Malgrange preparation theorem (see Theorem 7.57 in Hörmander [17])

**Theorem B.6.** Let  $f_j(t, x)$ , j = 1, ..., n, be complex valued  $C^{\infty}$  functions in a neighborhood of (0,0) in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  with  $f_j(0,0) = 0$ , j = 1, ..., n, and

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial f_j(0,0)}{\partial t_k}\right)_{j,k=1}^n \neq 0.$$

If  $g \in C^{\infty}$  in a neighborhood of (0,0) we can then find  $q_j(t,x) \in C^{\infty}$  in a neighborhood of (0,0), j = 1, ..., n, and  $r(x) \in C^{\infty}$  in a neighborhood of 0 so that

$$g(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j(t,x) f_j(t,x) + r(x)$$

in a neighborhood of (0,0).

In section 8, we need the following

**Proposition B.7.** Let *W* be an open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+m}$ . Let  $f_j(z, w), g_j(z, w), j = 1, ..., n$ , be almost analytic functions on *W* with  $f_j(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $g_j(0, 0) = 0, j = 1, ..., n$ ,

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial f_j(0,0)}{\partial z_k}\right)_{j,k=1}^n \neq 0$$

and  $\partial g_1, \ldots, \partial g_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  at the origin. Let

$$\Lambda_1 = \{(z, w) \in W; f_1(z, w) = \dots = f_n(z, w) = 0\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_2 = \{(z, w) \in W; g_1(z, w) = \ldots = g_n(z, w) = 0\}.$$

If  $\Lambda_1$  coincides to infinite order with  $\Lambda_2$  at (0,0) we can then find  $a_{j,k}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}$  in a neighborhood of (0,0), j, k = 1, ..., n, with det  $(a_{j,k}(0,0))_{i,k=1}^n \neq 0$  so that

$$g_j(z,w) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k}(z,w) f_k(z,w)$$

vanishes to infinite order at (0,0), for all j.

*Proof.* We write z = x + iy, w = u + iv, where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . From Theorem B.6, it follows that

$$g_j(x,u) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k}(x,u) f_k(x,u) + r(u), \ j = 1,...,n,$$

in a real neighborhood of (0,0), where  $a_{j,k}(x, u) \in C^{\infty}$  in a real neighborhood of (0,0), j, k = 1, ..., n. Since  $\Lambda_1$  coincides to infinite order with  $\Lambda_2$  at (0,0), it follows that r(u) vanishes to infinite order at 0. Since  $f_k(0,0) = 0, k = 1, ..., n$ , we have

$$dg_j(0,0) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k}(0,0) df_k(0,0), \ j = 1,...,n.$$

Hence

$$\det\left(a_{j,k}(0,0)\right)_{j,k=1}^{n}\neq 0.$$

Let  $a_{j,k}(z, w)$  be an almost analytic extension of  $a_{j,k}(x, u)$  to a complex neighborhood of (0,0), where j, k = 1, ..., n. Then

$$g_j(z,w) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k}(z,w) f_k(z,w)$$

also vanishes to infinite order at (0,0), for all *j*.

We need the following

**Lemma B.8.** Let  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$\kappa:\Omega\to\Omega_{\kappa}$$

be a diffeomorphism. Let  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa}$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with

$$\Omega^{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega, \ \Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa} \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega_{\kappa}.$$

$$\widetilde{\kappa}: \Omega^{\mathbb{C}} \to \Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa}$$

be an almost analytic extension of  $\kappa$ . We take  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa}$  so that  $\tilde{\kappa}$  is a diffeomorphism. If  $\Lambda$  is an almost analytic manifold of  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $\tilde{\kappa}(\Lambda)$  is an almost analytic manifold of  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa}$ . Moreover, if

$$\hat{\kappa}: \Omega^{\mathbb{C}} \to \Omega^{\mathbb{C}}_{\kappa}$$

is another almost analytic extension of  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{\kappa}$  is a diffeomorphism, then

$$\hat{\kappa}(\Lambda) \sim \tilde{\kappa}(\Lambda).$$

*Furthermore, if*  $\Lambda_1 \sim \Lambda_2$ *, then* 

 $\widetilde{\kappa}(\Lambda_1) \sim \widetilde{\kappa}(\Lambda_2),$ 

where  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are almost analytic manifolds of  $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We shall now generalize the notion of almost analytic manifolds. We have the following

**Definition B.9.** Let *X* be a *n* dimensional real paracompact  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. An almost analytic manifold  $\Lambda$  associated to *X* is given by

- (a) A locally closed set  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ . (Locally closed means that every point of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  has an neighborhood  $\omega$  in *X* such that  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \bigcap \omega$  is closed in  $\omega$ .)
- (b) A covering of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  by open coordinate patches

$$\kappa_{\alpha}: X \supset X_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \ \alpha \in J$$

and almost analytic manifolds  $\Lambda_{\alpha} \subset \Omega_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}$  with

$$\Lambda_{\alpha} \bigcap \mathbb{R}^{n} := \Lambda_{\alpha \mathbb{R}} = \kappa_{\alpha}(X_{\alpha} \bigcap \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Here  $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is some open set with  $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega_{\alpha}$  and the  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  shall satisfy the following compatibility conditions: If

$$\kappa_{\beta\alpha} = \kappa_{\beta} \circ \kappa_{\alpha}^{-1} : \kappa_{\alpha}(X_{\alpha} \bigcap X_{\beta}) \to \kappa_{\beta}(X_{\alpha} \bigcap X_{\beta})$$

and if  $\tilde{\kappa}_{\beta\alpha}$  is an almost analytic extension of  $\kappa_{\beta\alpha}$ , then  $\tilde{\kappa}_{\beta\alpha}(\Lambda_{\alpha})$  and  $\Lambda_{\beta}$  are equivalent near all points of  $\kappa_{\beta}(X_{\alpha} \bigcap X_{\alpha} \bigcap \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

The  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  are called local representatives of  $\Lambda$  and we shall say that two almost analytic manifolds  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda'$  associated to X are equivalent (and we write  $\Lambda \sim \Lambda'$ ) if  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda'_{\mathbb{R}}$  and if the corresponding local representatives are equivalent as in (*b*). Similarly we extend the notion of almost analytic functions and equivalence of almost analytic functions.

**Definition B.10.** Let *W* be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let *V* be a complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector field on *W*. We say that *V* is almost analytic if V(f) is almost analytic and  $V(\overline{f}) \sim 0$  for all almost analytic functions *f* on *W*.

We identify  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We shall denote the real coordinates by  $x_j$ ,  $y_j$ , j = 1, ..., n, and the complex coordinates by  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ , j = 1, ..., n.

**Definition B.11.** Let *W* be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let

$$U = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j},$$
$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j},$$

be complex  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields on *W*, where

$$a_j(z), b_j(z), c_j(z), d_j(z) \in C^{\infty}(W), \ j = 1, ..., n.$$

We say that *U* and *V* are equivalent if

$$a_j(z) - c_j(z) \sim 0, \ b_j(z) - d_j(z) \sim 0$$

for all *j*. If *U* and *V* are equivalent, we write

$$U \sim V.$$

Clearly U is almost analytic if and only if

$$U \sim \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},$$

where  $a_j$ , j = 1, ..., n, are almost analytic. We have the following easy lemma

**Lemma B.12.** Let W be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let V be an almost analytic vector field on W. Then

$$V(f) \sim (V+V)(f)$$
 for all almost analytic functions f. (B.1)

If U is a real vector field on W and

$$U(f) \sim V(f)$$
 for all almost analytic functions f,

then

$$U \sim V + \overline{V}$$

We have the following

**Proposition B.13.** Let W be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\Sigma$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  closed submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let V be an almost analytic vector field on W. We assume that

$$V = 0 \ on \Sigma.$$

Let  $\Phi(t, \rho)$  be the  $V + \overline{V}$  flow. Let U be a real vector field on W such that

$$U \sim V + \overline{V}$$

Let  $\hat{\Phi}(t,\rho)$  be the U flow. Then, for every compact set  $K \subset W$ ,  $N \ge 0$ , there is a  $c_{N,K}(t) > 0$ , such that

$$\left|\Phi(t,\rho)-\hat{\Phi}(t,\rho)\right| \leq c_{N,K}(t)\operatorname{dist}(\rho,\Sigma)^{N}, \ \rho \in K.$$

*Proof.* We have the following well-known fact: If *Z* is a smooth vector field on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $Z(x_0) = 0$  and  $\Psi(t, x) = \exp(tZ)(x)$ , then  $\Psi(t, x_0) = x_0$  and  $\partial_x^{\alpha} \Psi(t, x)|_{x=x_0}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , only depend on  $(\partial_x^{\beta} Z)(x_0)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . In our situation, we therefore have that  $\Phi(t, \rho)$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}(t, \rho)$  have the same Taylor expansion at every point of  $\Sigma$ .

The following proposition is useful (see section 2 of Melin-Sjöstrand [18])

**Proposition B.14.** Assume that f(x, w) is a  $C^{\infty}$  complex function in a neighborhood of (0,0) in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and that

Im 
$$f \ge 0$$
, Im  $f(0,0) = 0$ ,  $f'_{x}(0,0) = 0$ , det  $f''_{xx}(0,0) \ne 0$ . (B.2)

Let  $\tilde{f}(z, w)$ , z = x + iy,  $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , denote an almost analytic extension of f to a complex neighborhood of (0,0) and let z(w) denote the solution of

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z}(z(w),w) = 0$$

in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\tilde{f}(z(w), w)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\tilde{f}(z, w)|_{z=z(w)}, w \text{ is real}$$
vanishes to infinite order at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . (B.3)

Moreover, there is a constant c > 0 such that near the origin we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{f}(z(w), w) \ge c \left| \operatorname{Im} z(w) \right|^2, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
(B.4)

and

$$\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{f}(z(w),w) \ge c \inf_{x \in \Omega} \left( \operatorname{Im} f(x,w) + \left| d_x f(x,w) \right|^2 \right), \ w \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tag{B.5}$$

where  $\Omega$  is some open set of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We call  $\tilde{f}(z(w), w)$  the corresponding critical value.

*Proof.* For a proof of (B.4), see [18]. We only prove (B.5). In view of the proof of (B.4) (see p.147 of [18]), we see that

$$\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{f}(z(w),w) \ge c \left( \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n, |t| \le 1} \operatorname{Im} f(\operatorname{Re} z(w) - t |\operatorname{Im} z(w)|, w) + |\operatorname{Im} z(w)|^2 \right)$$
(B.6)

for w small, where w is real and c is a positive constant. Using the almost analyticity, we get by Taylor's formula:

$$f'_{x}(x,w) = f''_{zz}(z(w),w)(x-z(w)) + O(|x-z(w)|^{2} + |\operatorname{Im} z(w)|^{2})$$
(B.7)

for *x*, *w* small, where *w* is real. Since  $f_{zz}''$  is invertible near the origin, we have that when  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is close to the origin,

$$|\text{Im} z(w)|^2 \ge c \left| f'_x(\text{Re} z(w) - t |\text{Im} z(w)|, w) \right|^2$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|t| \le 1$ , where *c* is a positive constant. From this and (B.6), we get (B.5).

In the following, we let z = z(w) be the point defined as above. We recall the stationary phase formula of Melin and Sjöstrand

**Proposition B.15.** Let f(x, w) be as in Proposition B.14. Then there are neighborhoods U and V of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  respectively and differential operators  $C_{f,j}$  in x of order  $\leq 2j$  which are  $C^{\infty}$  functions of  $w \in V$  such that

$$\left| \int e^{itf(x,w)} u(x,w) dx - \left( \det\left(\frac{t \, \widetilde{f}_{zz}''(z(w),w)}{2\pi i}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{it \, \widetilde{f}(z(w),w)} \sum_{0}^{N-1} (C_{f,j} \, \widetilde{u})(z(w),w) t^{-j} dx \right) \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_N t^{-N-\frac{n}{2}}, \ t \ge 1,$$
(B.8)

where  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(U \times V)$ . Here  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{u}$  are almost analytic extensions of f and u respectively. The function

$$\left(\det\left(\frac{t\,\widetilde{f}_{zz}''(z(w),w)}{2\pi i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is the branch of the square root of

$$\left(\det\left(\frac{t\,\widetilde{f}_{zz}^{\prime\prime}(z(w),w)}{2\pi i}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

which is continuously deformed into 1 under the homotopy

$$s \in [0,1] \rightarrow i^{-1}(1-s)\widetilde{f}''_{zz}(z(w),w) + sI \in \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}).$$

We need the following asymptotic formula (see Melin-Sjöstrand [18])

**Proposition B.16.** Let  $P \in L^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $\varphi(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \ge 0$  and  $d \varphi \ne 0$  where  $\operatorname{Im} \varphi = 0$ . Let  $u(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $p(x, \xi)$  is the full symbol of P, then

$$P(e^{it\varphi(x)}u(x)) \sim e^{it\varphi(x)} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \widetilde{p}^{(\alpha)}(x, t\varphi'_{x}(x)) \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{y}^{\alpha}(u(y)e^{it\rho(x,y)})|_{y=x}$$
(B.9)

with asymptotic convergence in  $S_{0,1}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ , where  $\tilde{p}$  is an almost analytic extension of p and

$$\rho(x,y) = \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) - \left\langle y - x, \varphi'_x(x) \right\rangle.$$

**Definition B.17.** The  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\varphi(x, \theta)$  defined in an open conic set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0$  is called a non-degenerate complex phase function if

- (a)  $d\varphi \neq 0$ .
- (b)  $\varphi(x, \theta)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1.
- (c) Put

$$C = \left\{ (x, \theta) \in V; \varphi_{\theta}'(x, \theta) = 0 \right\}.$$

The differentials  $d(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_j})$ , j = 1, ..., N, are linearly independent over the complex numbers on *C*.

(d) Im  $\varphi \ge 0$ .

Let  $\phi(x, \theta)$  be a non-degenerate complex phase function in a conic open subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^N$ . Let

$$C_{\phi} = \left\{ (x, \theta) \in \Gamma; \phi_{\theta}'(x, \theta) = 0 \right\}.$$

By Euler's homogeneity relation, we have  $\phi(x,\theta) = \theta \cdot \phi'_{\theta}(x,\theta) = 0$  on  $C_{\phi}$  and therefore Im  $\phi$  vanishes on  $C_{\phi}$ . So does  $d(\operatorname{Im} \phi)$ , for otherwise there would be a change of sign of Im  $\phi$ .

Let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be an almost analytic extension of  $\phi$  in a conic open set  $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{C}}^N$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma} \bigcap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^N) = \Gamma$ . We can choose  $\tilde{\phi}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is homogeneous of degree 1. Set

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\widetilde{\theta}}' = (\partial_{\widetilde{\theta}_1} \widetilde{\phi}, \dots, \partial_{\widetilde{\theta}_N} \widetilde{\phi})$$

and

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{\widetilde{x}}' = (\partial_{\widetilde{x}_1} \widetilde{\phi}, \ldots, \partial_{\widetilde{x}_n} \widetilde{\phi}).$$

Let

$$C_{\widetilde{\phi}} = \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\theta}) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}; \, \widetilde{\phi}_{\widetilde{\theta}}'(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\theta}) = 0 \right\}, \tag{B.10}$$

$$\Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}} = \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\phi}'_{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\theta})); (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\theta}) \in C_{\widetilde{\phi}} \right\}.$$
(B.11)

 $\Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}}$  is an almost analytic manifold and

$$(\Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}})_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}} \bigcap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^n) = \left\{ (x, \phi'_x(x, \theta)); (x, \theta) \in C_{\phi} \right\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\phi}_1$  be another almost analytic extension of  $\phi$  in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . We have

$$\Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}} \sim \Lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}_1}.$$

(See [18].) Moreover, we have the following

**Proposition B.18.** For every point  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and after suitable change of local *x* coordinates,  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}}$  is equivalent to a manifold

$$-\widetilde{x} = \frac{\partial h(\widetilde{\xi})}{\partial \widetilde{\xi}}, \ \widetilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{C}^N$$

in some open neighborhood of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , where h is an almost analytic function and Im  $h \ge 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with equality at  $\xi_0$ .

**Definition B.19.** An almost analytic manifold satisfying the conditions of Proposition B.18 at every real point for some real symplectic coordinate  $(x, \xi)$  is called a positive Lagrangean manifold.

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be non-degenerate phase functions defined in small conic neighborhoods of  $(x_0, \theta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^N$  and  $(x_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^M$  respectively. We assume that  $\varphi'_{\theta}(x_0, \theta_0) = 0$ ,  $\psi'_w(x_0, w_0) = 0$  and that

$$\varphi'_{x}(x_{0},\theta_{0}) = \psi'_{x}(x_{0},w_{0}) = \xi_{0},$$

where the last equation is a definition. Put  $\lambda_0 = (x_0, \xi_0)$ . We have the following definition

**Definition B.20.** We say that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are equivalent at  $\lambda_0$  for classical symbols if there is a conic neighborhood  $\Lambda$  of  $(x_0, \theta_0)$  such that for every distribution

$$A = \int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta,$$

where  $a(x, \theta) \in S^m_{cl}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  with support in  $\Lambda$ , there exists

$$b(x,w) \in S_{\rm cl}^{m+\frac{N-M}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^M)$$

with support in a conic neighborhood of  $(x_0, \omega_0)$  such that

$$A - B \in C^{\infty}$$

where  $B = \int e^{i\psi(x,w)}b(x,w)dw$  and vise versa.

The global theory of Fourier integral operators with complex phase is the following

**Proposition B.21.** Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be non-degenerate phase functions defined in small conic neighborhoods of  $(x_0, \theta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^N$  and  $(x_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^M$  respectively. We assume that  $\varphi'_{\theta}(x_0, \theta_0) = 0$ ,  $\psi'_w(x_0, w_0) = 0$  and that

$$\varphi'_{x}(x_{0}, \theta_{0}) = \psi'_{x}(x_{0}, w_{0}) = \xi_{0}.$$

Then  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are equivalent at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  for classical symbols if and only if  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  and  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\psi}}$  are equivalent in some neighborhood of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are almost analytic extensions of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  respectively and  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\psi}}$  are as in (B.11).

# References

- [1] R. Beals and P. Greiner, *Calculus on Heisenberg manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 119, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- [2] R. Berman, *Bergman kernel asymptotics and holomorphic Morse inequalities*, Ph.D. thesis, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden, April 2006.
- [3] R. Berman and J. Sjöstrand, *Asymptotics for Bergman-Hodge kernels for high powers of complex line bundles*, arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0511158.
- [4] B. Berndtsson, R. Berman, and J. Sjöstrand, *Asymptotics of Bergman kernels*, arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/050636.
- [5] A. Boggess, *CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, 1991.
- [6] S.-C. Chen and M.-C Shaw, Partial differential equations in several complex variables, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
- [7] L. Boutet de Monvel, Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 585–639.
- [8] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin, *The spectral theory of toeplitz operators*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 99, Princeton University Press, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981.

- [9] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque **34-35** (1976), 123–164.
- [10] J. J. Duistermaat, *Fourier integral operators*, Progress in Mathmatics, vol. 130, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [11] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972.
- [12] A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal analysis for differential operators. An introduction*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 196, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [13] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, Semiclassical analysis for Harper's equation. III. Cantor structure of the spectrum, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1989), no. 39, 1–124.
- [14] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators, I, Acta Math. 127 (1971), 79–183.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, The analysis of linear partial differential operators III pseudodifferential operators, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 274, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_, The analysis of linear partial differential operators IV Fourier integral operators, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 275, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [18] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions, Springer Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 459, pp. 120–223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [19] \_\_\_\_\_, Fourier integral operators with complex phase and parametrix for an interior boundary value problem, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns. 1(4) (1976), 313–400.
- [20] A. Menikoff and J. Sjöstrand, On the eigenvalues of a class of hypoelliptic operators, Math. Ann. 235 (1978), 55–85.

- [21] J. Sjöstrand, *Parametrices for pseudodifferential operators with multiple characteristics*, Ark. Mat. **12** (1974), 85–130.
- [22] F. Treves, *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators II Fourier integral operators*, Plenum Press, New York, NY, 1980.

# On the singularities of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms

### Chin-Yu Hsiao

#### Abstract

We obtain the full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman projection for (0, q) forms when the Levi form is non-degenerate. This generalizes a result of Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand for (0, 0) forms. We introduce a new operator analogous to the Kohn Laplacian defined on the boundary of a domain and we apply the heat equation method of Menikoff and Sjöstrand to this operator. We obtain a description of a new Szegö projection up to smoothing operators. Finally, by using the Poisson operator, we get our main result.

#### Résumé

Nous obtenons un développement asymptotique de la singularité du noyau de Bergman pour les (0, q) formes quand la forme de Levi est non-dégénérée. Cela généralise un résultat de Boutet de Monvel et Sjöstrand pour les (0,0) formes. Nous introduisons un nouvel opérateur analogue au laplacien de Kohn, défini sur le bord du domaine et nous y appliquons la méthode de Menikoff-Sjöstrand. Cela donne une description modulo des opéreateurs régularisants d'un nouvel projecteur de Szegö. Finalement, en utilisant l'opérateur de Poisson, nous obtenons notre résultat principal.

## Contents

1	Introduction and statement of the main results	2
2	Terminology and notations, a review	14
3	The $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, a review	22
4	The operator T	26

5	The principal symbols of $\gamma \overline{\partial} P$ and $\gamma \overline{\partial}_f^* P$	31
6	The operator $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$	35
7	The heat equation for $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$	40
8	The Bergman projection	53
9	Examples	66
	9.1 Complex projective space	68
	9.2 Spherical shell in $\mathbb{C}^n$	73
A	Appendix: The wave front set of a distribution, a review	74

# 1 Introduction and statement of the main results

In this paper, we assume that all manifolds are paracompact. (For the precise definition, see page 156 of Kelley [19].) Let M be a relatively compact open subset with  $C^{\infty}$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of a complex manifold M' of dimension n with a smooth Hermitian metric ( | ) on its holomorphic tangent bundle. (See (1.1).) The Hermitian metric induces a Hermitian metric on the bundle of (0, q) forms of M' (see the discussion after (1.1) and section 2) and a positive density (dM')(see (1.3)). Let  $\Box$  be the  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann Laplacian on M (see Folland and Kohn [9] or (1.6)) and let  $\Box^{(q)}$  denote the restriction to (0,q) forms. For  $p \in \Gamma$ , let  $L_p$  be the Levi form of  $\Gamma$  at *p* (see (1.12) or Definition 2.2). Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ , the Levi form is said to satisfy condition Z(q) at  $p \in \Gamma$  if it has at least n - q positive or at least q + 1 negative eigenvalues. When condition Z(q) holds at each point of  $\Gamma$ , Kohn's  $L^2$  estimates give the hypoellipicity with loss of one dervative for the solutions of  $\Box^{(q)}u = f$ . (See [9] or Theorem 3.6.) The Bergman projection is the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box^{(q)}$  in the  $L^2$  space. When condition Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$ , one is interested in the Bergman projection on the level of (0, q) forms. When q = 0 and the Levi form is positive definite, the existence of the complete asymptotic expansion of the singularities of the Bergman projection was obtained by Fefferman [8] on the diagonal and subsequently by Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand (see [7]) in complete generality. If q = n - 1 and the Levi form is negative definite, Hörmander [17] obtained the corresponding asymptotics for the Bergman projection in the distribution sense. We have been influenced by these works.

We now start to formulate the main results. First, we introduce some notations. Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. We let  $T(\Omega)$  and  $T^*(\Omega)$  denote the tangent bundle of  $\Omega$  and the cotangent bundle of  $\Omega$  respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of  $\Omega$  and the complexified cotangent bundle of  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{C}T(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbb{C}T^*(\Omega)$  respectively. Let *E* be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over  $\Omega$ . The fiber of *E* at  $x \in \Omega$  will be denoted by  $E_x$ . Let  $Y \subset \Omega$  be an open set. The spaces of smooth sections of *E* over *Y* and distribution sections of *E* over *Y* will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y; E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(Y; E)$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{E}'(Y; E)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'(Y; E)$  of sections with compact support in *Y*. For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $H^s(Y; E)$  denote the Sobolev space of order *s* of sections of *E* over *Y*. Put

$$H^{s}_{\text{loc}}(Y; E) = \left\{ u \in \mathscr{D}'(Y; E); \varphi u \in H^{s}(Y; E), \forall \varphi \in C^{\infty}_{0}(Y) \right\}$$

and

$$H^{s}_{\text{comp}}(Y; E) = H^{s}_{\text{loc}}(Y; E) \bigcap \mathscr{E}'(Y; E).$$

Let *F* be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over *M'*. Let  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; F)$ ,  $\mathscr{D}'(\overline{M}; F)$ ,  $H^{s}(\overline{M}; F)$  denote the spaces of restrictions to *M* of elements in  $C^{\infty}(M'; F)$ ,  $\mathscr{D}'(M'; F)$  and  $H^{s}(M'; F)$  respectively. Let  $C_{0}^{\infty}(M; F)$  be the subspace of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; F)$  of sections with compact support in *M*.

Let  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  and  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(M')$  be the holomorphic tangent bundle of M' and the anti-holomorphic tangent boundle of M' respectively. (See (2.4).) In local coordinates  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ , we represent the Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  by

$$(u \mid v) = g(u, \overline{v}), \quad u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0} T(M'),$$
$$g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k}(z) dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $g_{j,k}(z) = \overline{g}_{k,j}(z) \in C^{\infty}$ , j, k = 1, ..., n, and  $(g_{j,k}(z))_{j,k=1}^{n}$  is positive definite at each point. We extend the Hermitian metric (|) to  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  in a natural way by requiring  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  to be orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(M')$  and satisfy

$$\overline{(u \mid v)} = (\overline{u} \mid \overline{v}), \ u, v \in \Lambda^{0,1} T(M').$$

The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M)$  induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(M)$  that we shall also denote by ( | ). (See (2.9).) For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')$ be the bundle of (0, *q*) forms of *M'*. (See (2.6).) The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(M')$  induces a Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')$  also denoted by ( | ). (See (2.11).)

Let  $r \in C^{\infty}(M')$  be a defining function of  $\Gamma$  such that r is real, r = 0 on  $\Gamma$ , r < 0 on M and  $dr \neq 0$  near  $\Gamma$ . From now on, we take a defining function r so that

$$||dr|| = 1$$
 on  $\Gamma$ .

The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  induces a Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(\Gamma)$ . For  $z \in \Gamma$ , we identify  $\mathbb{C}T_z^*(\Gamma)$  with the space

$$\left\{ u \in \mathbb{C}T_{z}^{*}(M'); (u \mid dr) = 0 \right\}.$$
(1.2)

We associate to the Hermitian metric  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k}(z) dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  a real (1, 1) form (see page 144 of Kodaira [20])

$$\omega = i \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k.$$

Let

$$dM' = \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \tag{1.3}$$

be the volume element (see also (2.12)) and let  $(|)_M$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid h)_{M} = \int_{M} (f \mid h) (dM') = \int_{M} (f \mid h) \frac{\omega^{n}}{n!}, \ f, h \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')).$$
(1.4)

Similarly, we take  $(d\Gamma)$  as the induced volume form on  $\Gamma$  (see (2.18)) and let  $(|)_{\Gamma}$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g)_{\Gamma} = \int_{\Gamma} (f \mid g) d\Gamma, \quad f, g \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')). \tag{1.5}$$

Let  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  be the real Laplacian on  $\Gamma$  and denote by  $\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}$  the principal symbol of  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ . Let

$$\overline{\partial}: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M'))$$

be the part of the exterior differential operator which maps forms of type (0, q) to forms of type (0, q + 1) and we denote by

$$\overline{\partial_f}^*: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$$

the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$ . That is

$$(\overline{\partial} f \mid h)_{M'} = (f \mid \overline{\partial}_f^* h)_{M'}, \ f \in C_0^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ h \in C^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')),$$

where  $( | )_{M'}$  is defined by

$$(g \mid k)_{M'} = \int_{M'} (g \mid k) (dM'), \ g, k \in C_0^\infty(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We shall also use the notation  $\overline{\partial}$  for the closure in  $L^2$  of the  $\overline{\partial}$  operator, initially defined on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  and  $\overline{\partial}^*$  for the Hilbert space adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$ . The domain of  $\overline{\partial}^*$  consists of all  $f \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M'))$  such that for some constant c > 0,

$$\left|(f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M\right| \leq c \|g\|, \text{ for all } g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

For such an f,

$$g \to (f \mid \partial g)_M$$

extends to a bounded anti-linear functional on  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  so

$$(f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M = (\widetilde{f} \mid g)_M$$

for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We have  $\overline{\partial}^* f = \tilde{f}$ . The  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann Laplacian on (0,q) forms is then the self-adjoint operator in the space  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  (see chapter *I* of [9])

$$\Box^{(q)} = \overline{\partial} \ \overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^* \overline{\partial}. \tag{1.6}$$

We notice that

$$\operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)} = \{ u \in L^{2}(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')); u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^{*} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \\ \overline{\partial}^{*} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^{*} \}$$
(1.7)

and  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \bigcap \text{Dom} \square^{(q)}$  is dense in  $\text{Dom} \square^{(q)}$ . (See also page 14 of [9].) Let

$$\Box_f^{(q)} = \overline{\partial} \ \overline{\partial_f}^* + \overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial} : C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$
(1.8)

denote the complex Laplace-Beltrami operator on (0, q) forms and denote by  $\sigma_{\Box_f^{(q)}}$  the principal symbol of  $\Box_f^{(q)}$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the operator of restriction to the boundary  $\Gamma$ . Let us consider the map:

$$F^{(q)}: H^{2}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{0}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')),$$
$$u \to (\Box_{f}^{(q)} u, \gamma u).$$
(1.9)

Given *q*,  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ , we assume that

Assumption 1.1.  $F^{(k)}$  is injective,  $q - 1 \le k \le q + 1$ .

Thus, the Poisson operator for  $\Box_f^{(k)}$ ,  $q-1 \le k \le q+1$ , is well-defined. (See section 4.) If M' is Kähler, then  $F^{(q)}$  is injective for any q,  $0 \le q \le n$ . (See section 9 for the definition and details.)

We write  $\langle , \rangle$  to denote the duality between  $T_z(M')$  and  $T_z^*(M')$ . We extend  $\langle , \rangle$  bilinearly to  $\mathbb{C}T_z(M') \times \mathbb{C}T_z^*(M')$ . Let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  be the dual vector of dr. That is

$$(u \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) = \langle u, dr \rangle, \qquad (1.10)$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{C}T(M')$ . Put

$$\omega_0 = J^t(dr), \tag{1.11}$$

where  $J^t$  is the complex structure map for the cotangent bundle. (See (2.2).)

Let  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(\Gamma)$  and  $\Lambda^{0,1}T(\Gamma)$  be the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $\Gamma$  and the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of  $\Gamma$  respectively. (See (2.22).) The Levi form  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}), p \in \Gamma, Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$ , is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$  defined as follows:

For any 
$$Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$$
, pick  $\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{1,0} T(\Gamma))$  that satisfy  
 $\widetilde{Z}(p) = Z, \widetilde{W}(p) = W$ . Then  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle$ .
$$(1.12)$$

The eigenvalues of the Levi form at  $p \in \Gamma$  are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form  $L_p$  with respect to the inner product ( | ) on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$ . If the Levi form is non-degenerate at  $p \in \Gamma$ , let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature and notice that Z(q) holds at p if and only if  $q \neq n_-$ .

We recall the Hörmander symbol spaces

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let *U* be an open set in  $M' \times M'$ .

$$S_{1,0}^m(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_v(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_x(M')))$$

is the space of all  $a(x, y, t) \in C^{\infty}(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(M')))$  such that for all compact sets  $K \subset U$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}\partial_t^{\gamma}a(x,y,t)\right| \leq c(1+|t|)^{m-|\gamma|}, (x,y,t) \in K \times ]0, \infty[.$$

 $S_{1,0}^m$  is called the space of symbols of order *m* type (1,0). We write  $S_{1,0}^{-\infty} = \bigcap S_{1,0}^m$ .

Let  $S_{1,0}^m(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')))$  denote the space of restrictions to  $U \cap (M \times M) \times ]0, \infty[$  of elements in

$$S_{1,0}^{m}(U \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{w}^{*}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'))).$$

Let

$$a_j \in S_{1,0}^{m_j}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with  $m_j \searrow -\infty$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists

$$a \in S^{m_0}_{1,0}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

such that

$$a - \sum_{0 \le j < k} a_j \in S^{m_k}_{1,0}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))),$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . (See Proposition 1.8 of Grigis-Sjöstrand [11] or Hörmander [13].) If *a* and *a<sub>i</sub>* have the properties above, we write

$$a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \text{ in the space } S_{1,0}^{m_0}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times [0,\infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

Let

$$\Pi^{(q)}: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box^{(q)}$$

be the Bergman projection, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of  $\Box^{(q)}$ . Let

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

be the distribution kernel of  $\Pi^{(q)}$ . Formally,

$$(\Pi^{(q)}u)(z) = \int_M K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w)u(w)dM'(w), \ u(w) \in C_0^{\infty}(M;\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')).$$

Let *X* and *Y* be  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over *M'*. Let

$$C, D: C_0^{\infty}(M; X) \to \mathscr{D}'(M; Y)$$

with distribution kernels

$$K_C(z, w), K_D(z, w) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times M; \mathscr{L}(X_w, Y_z)).$$

We write

$$C \equiv D \mod C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}))$$

if

$$K_C(z,w) = K_D(z,w) + F(z,w),$$

where  $F(z, w) \in C^{\infty}(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(X_w, Y_z))$  and *U* is an open set in  $M' \times M'$ . Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . Put

$$\Gamma_q = \{ z \in \Gamma; Z(q) \text{ fails at } z \}.$$
(1.13)

If the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma_q$  is a union of connected components of  $\Gamma$ .

The main result of this work is the following

**Theorem 1.3.** Let M be a relatively compact open subset with  $C^{\infty}$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of a complex analytic manifold M' of dimension n. We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $q, 0 \le q \le n - 1$ . Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

Moreover, in a neighborhood U of diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ),  $K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z, w)$  satisfies

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt \mod C^\infty(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}))$$
(1.14)

(for the precise meaning of the oscillatory integral  $\int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t}b(z,w,t)dt$ , see Remark 1.4 below) with

$$b(z, w, t) \in S_{1,0}^n(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))),$$
$$b(z, w, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(z, w) t^{n-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))),$ 

$$b_0(z,z) \neq 0, z \in \Gamma_q,$$

where

$$b_j(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\phi(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M})), \tag{1.15}$$

$$\phi(z,z) = 0, \ z \in \Gamma_q, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\phi(z, w) \neq 0 \quad if(z, w) \notin \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q), \tag{1.17}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\phi \ge 0,\tag{1.18}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi(z, w) > 0 \quad if(z, w) \notin \Gamma \times \Gamma, \tag{1.19}$$

$$\phi(z,w) = -\overline{\phi}(w,z). \tag{1.20}$$

For  $p \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\Box_{f}^{(q)}}(z, d_{z}\phi(z, w)) \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } z = p,$$
  
(z, w) is in some neighborhood of (p, p) in M'. (1.21)

For z = w,  $z \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$d_z \phi = -\omega_0 - i dr,$$
$$d_w \phi = \omega_0 - i dr.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\phi(z, w) = \phi_{-}(z, w)$$
 if  $z, w \in \Gamma_{q_2}$ 

where  $\phi_{-}(z, w) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q)$  is the phase appearing in the description of the Szegö projection in [18]. See Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 7.15 below.

*Remark* 1.4. Let  $\phi(z, w)$  and b(z, w, t) be as in Theorem 1.3. Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1})$  be local coordinates on  $\Gamma$  and extend  $y_1, \dots, y_{2n-1}$  to real smooth functions in some neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . We work with local local coordinates

$$w = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n-1}, r)$$

defined on some small neighborhood *U* of  $p \in \Gamma_q$ . Let  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . Choose a cut-off function  $\chi(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  so that  $\chi(t) = 1$  when |t| < 1 and  $\chi(t) = 0$  when |t| > 2. Set

$$(B_{\varepsilon}u)(z) = \int \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t)\chi(\varepsilon t)u(w)dtdw.$$

Since  $d_y \phi \neq 0$  where Im  $\phi = 0$  (see (7.31)), we can integrate by parts in *y* and *t* and obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} (B_{\varepsilon} u)(z) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

This means that

$$B = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} B_{\varepsilon} : C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M'))$$

is continuous. We write B(z, w) to denote the distribution kernel of *B*. Formally,

$$B(z,w) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt.$$

From (1.21) and Remark 1.5 of [18] it follows that

**Theorem 1.5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, let  $p \in \Gamma_q$ . We choose local complex analytic coordinates

$$z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n), \ z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \ j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

vanishing at p such that the metric on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T(M')$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_j \text{ at } p$$

and

$$r(z) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} z_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \left| z_j \right|^2 + O(|z|^3),$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . (This is always possible. See Lemma 3.2 of [17].) We also write

$$w = (w_1, ..., w_n), w_j = y_{2j-1} + i y_{2j}, j = 1, ..., n.$$

*Then, we can take*  $\phi(z, w)$  *so that* 

$$\phi(z,w) = -\sqrt{2}x_{2n-1} + \sqrt{2}y_{2n-1} - ir(z)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} a_j x_j + \frac{1}{2}a_{2n}x_{2n}\right) - ir(w)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \overline{a}_j y_j + \frac{1}{2}\overline{a}_{2n}y_{2n}\right) + i\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left|\lambda_j\right| \left|z_j - w_j\right|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} i\lambda_j(\overline{z}_j w_j - z_j \overline{w}_j) + O\left(|(z,w)|^3\right)$$
(1.22)

in some neighborhood of (p, p) in  $M' \times M'$ , where

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\square_f^{(q)}}}{\partial x_j} (p, -\omega_0(p) - i dr(p)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

We have the following corollary of Theorem 1.3

**Corollary 1.6.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 and let U be a small neighborhood of diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ). Then there exist smooth functions

$$F, G \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M})); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

such that

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}} = F(-i(\phi(z,w)+i0))^{-n-1} + G\log(-i(\phi(z,w)+i0)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (n-j)! b_j(z,w) (-i\phi(z,w))^j + f(z,w) (\phi(z,w))^{n+1},$$
  

$$G \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!} b_{n+j+1}(z,w) (-i\phi(z,w))^j \mod C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}))$$
(1.23)

where

$$f(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U\bigcap(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))).$$

If  $w \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(M')$ , let

$$w^{\wedge,*}: \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(M') \to \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')$$
(1.24)

be the adjoint of left exterior multiplication

$$w^{\wedge}: \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M') \to \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(M').$$

That is,

$$(w^{\wedge}u \mid v) = (u \mid w^{\wedge,*}v),$$
 (1.25)

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,q+1} T_z^*(M')$ . Notice that  $w^{\wedge,*}$  depends anti-linearly on w.

Let  $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*(\Gamma)$  be the bundle of boundary (0, 1) forms. (See (2.23) and (2.29).)

**Proposition 1.7.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, let  $p \in \Gamma_q$ ,  $q = n_-$ . Let

$$\overline{Z}_1(z),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(z)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $e_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , which is dual to  $Z_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_z$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ . We assume that

$$\lambda_j(p) < 0$$
 if  $1 \leq j \leq n_{-j}$ 

Then

$$F(p,p) = n! \left| \lambda_1(p) \right| \cdots \left| \lambda_{n-1}(p) \right| \pi^{-n} 2 \left( \prod_{j=1}^{j=n-1} e_j(p)^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*}(p) \right) \circ (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge},$$
(1.26)

where F is as in Corollary 1.6.

In the rest of this section, we outline the proof of Theorem 1.3. We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ . We pause and recall a general fact of distribution theory. (See Hörmander [16].) Let *E*, *F* be  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over  $C^{\infty}$  manifolds *G* and *H* respectively. We take smooth densities of integration on *G* and *H* respectively. Let

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(G; E) \to \mathscr{D}'(H; F)$$

with distribution kernel

$$K_A(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(H \times G; \mathscr{L}(E_y, F_x)).$$

Then the following two statements are equivalent

- (a) *A* is continuous:  $\mathscr{E}'(G; E) \to C^{\infty}(H; F)$ ,
- (b)  $K_A \in C^{\infty}(H \times G; \mathscr{L}(E_y, F_x)).$

If A satisfies (a) or (b), we say that A is smoothing. Let

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(G; E) \to \mathscr{D}'(H; F).$$

From now on, we write  $K_B(x, y)$  or B(x, y) to denote the distribution kernel of *B* and we write

$$A \equiv B$$

if A - B is a smoothing operator.

Let

$$P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$
(1.27)

be the Poisson operator for  $\Box_f^{(q)}$  which is well-defined since we assumed (1.9) to be injective. It is well-known that *P* extends continuously

$$P: H^{s}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(See page 29 of Boutet de Monvel [5].) Let

$$P^*: \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

be the operator defined by

$$(P^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Pv)_M, \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

It is well-known (see page 30 of [5]) that  $P^*$  is continuous:

$$P^*: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

and

$$P^*: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We use the inner product [ | ] on  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined as follows:

$$[u \mid v] = (Pu \mid Pv)_M,$$

where  $u, v \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We consider  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  as an operator

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q-1} T^*(M')).$$

Note that  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  is the pointwise adjoint of  $\overline{\partial} r$  with respect to (|). Let

$$T: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$$
(1.28)

be the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  with respect to  $[\ |\ ]$ . That is, if  $u \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , then

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}Tu = 0$$

and

$$[(I-T)u \mid g] = 0, \ \forall \ g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}.$$

In section 4, we will show that *T* is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}.$$

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  be the bundle of boundary (0,q) forms. (See (2.29).) If  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , then  $u \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  if and only if  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . Put

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = T\gamma \overline{\partial} P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$
(1.29)

 $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0,q) forms to boundary (0,q+1) forms. It is easy to see that

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = \overline{\partial_b} + \text{lower order terms}, \qquad (1.30)$$

where  $\overline{\partial_b}$  is the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. (See [9] or section 6.) In section 6, we will show that

$$(\overline{\partial_{\beta}})^2 = 0$$

Let

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0, q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Gamma)),$$

be the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  with respect to  $[\,|\,]$ .  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0, q + 1) forms to boundary (0, q) forms. In section 6, we will show that

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} = \gamma \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P.$$

Put

$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} = \overline{\partial_{\beta}} \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} \overline{\partial_{\beta}} : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$

For simplicity, we assume that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_q$ ,  $\Gamma_q \neq \Gamma_{n-1-q}$ . We can repeat the method of [18] (see section 7) to construct

$$A \in L^{-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)), B \in L^{0}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

such that

$$A\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} + B \equiv B + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)}A \equiv I,$$
  
$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}B \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}B \equiv 0,$$
  
$$B \equiv B^{\dagger} \equiv B^{2},$$

where  $L_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{m}$  is the space of pseudodifferential operators of order *m* type  $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  (see Definition 7.11) and  $B^{\dagger}$  is the formal adjoint of *B* with respect to [|]. Moreover,  $K_B(x,y)$  satisfies

$$K_B(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t} b(x,y,t) dt,$$

where  $\phi_{-}(x, y)$  and b(x, y, t) are as in Theorem 7.15. In section 8, we will show that

$$\Pi^{(q)} \equiv PBT(P^*P)^{-1}P^* \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M})$$

and

$$PBT(P^*P)^{-1}P^*(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t)dt \mod C^\infty(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}),$$

where  $\phi(z, w)$  and b(z, w, t) are as in Theorem 1.3.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank his advisor Johannes Sjöstrand for his patience, guidance and inspiration.

#### 2 Terminology and notations, a review

In this section, we will review some standard terminology in complex geometry. For more details on the subject, see Kodaira [20].

Let *E* be a finite dimensional vector space with a complex structure *J*. By definition, a complex structure *J* is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$J: E \to E$$

that satisfies

 $J^2 = -I.$ 

Let  $\mathbb{C}E$  be the complexification of E. That is,

$$\mathbb{C}E = \{u + iv; u, v \in E\}.$$

Any vector in  $\mathbb{C}E$  can be written

$$f = u + iv$$

where  $u, v \in E$  and any  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map between real vector spaces can be extended to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map between the complexifications, simply by putting

$$Tf = Tu + iTv$$
.

In particular, we can extend J to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$J:\mathbb{C}E\to\mathbb{C}E.$$

Clearly, it still holds that  $J^2 = -I$ . This implies that we have a decomposition as a direct sum

$$\mathbb{C}E = \Lambda^{1,0}E \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}E$$

where

$$Ju = iu$$
 if  $u \in \Lambda^{1,0}E$ 

and

$$Ju = -iu$$
 if  $u \in \Lambda^{0,1}E$ .

Let us now return to our original situation where  $E = T_p(M')$ ,  $p \in M'$ . Given holomorphic coordinates

$$z_j = x_j + iy_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

we get a basis for  $T_p(M')$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}$$

The complex structure *J* on  $T_p(M')$  is defined by

$$\begin{cases} J(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \\ J(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

J does not depend on the choice of holomorphic coordinates.

The complex structure map

$$J^t: T_p^*(M') \to T_p^*(M'),$$

for the cotangent space is defined as the adjoint of J, that is  $\langle Ju, v \rangle = \langle u, J^t v \rangle$ ,  $u \in T_p(M'), v \in T_p^*(M')$ . We have

$$\begin{cases} J^{t}(dx_{j}) = -dy_{j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \\ J^{t}(dy_{j}) = dx_{j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

We can now apply our previous discussion of complex structures on real vector spaces to  $T_p(M')$  and  $T_p^*(M')$ . We then get decompositions

$$\mathbb{C} T_p(M') = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(M') \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(M'),$$
  

$$\mathbb{C} T_p^*(M') = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p^*(M') \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p^*(M').$$
(2.3)

For  $u \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(M')$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_p^*(M')$ ,

$$-i\langle u,v\rangle = \langle u,J^tv\rangle = \langle Ju,v\rangle = i\langle u,v\rangle.$$

Thus,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = 0.$$

In terms of local coordinates  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n), z_j = x_j + iy_j, j = 1, ..., n$ , we have

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T_p(M') = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}; a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$
  
$$\Lambda^{0,1} T_p(M') = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j}; a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$
(2.4)

and

$$\Lambda^{1,0} T_p^*(M') = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j dz_j; a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$
$$\Lambda^{0,1} T_p^*(M') = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j d\overline{z}_j; a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$
(2.5)

Here

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$dz_j = dx_j + idy_j, \ d\overline{z}_j = dx_j - idy_j, \ j = 1, \dots, n.$$

For  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , the bundle of (p, q) forms of M' is given by

$$\Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M') = \Lambda^p(\Lambda^{1,0} T^*(M')) \wedge \Lambda^q(\Lambda^{0,1} T^*(M')).$$
(2.6)

That is, the fiber of  $\Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')$  at  $z \in M'$  is the vector space  $\Lambda^p(\Lambda^{1,0} T^*_z(M')) \wedge \Lambda^q(\Lambda^{0,1} T^*_z(M'))$  of all finite sums of

$$W_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge W_p \wedge V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_q$$
,

where

$$W_k \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(M'), \ k = 1, \dots, p, \ V_j \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(M'), \ j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Here  $\wedge$  denotes the wedge product.

We recall that if  $(g_{j,k})_{1 \le j,k \le n}$  is a positive definite Hermitian matrix then the (1, 1) tensor form  $g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  can be viewed as a Hermitian metric (|) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  in the following way:

$$\frac{1}{2}(g(u,\overline{v}) + \overline{g(v,\overline{u})}) = (u \mid v) = g(u,\overline{v}), \quad u,v \in \Lambda^{1,0}T(M'),$$
  
$$(u \mid w) = 0, \quad u \in \Lambda^{1,0}T(M'), \quad w \in \Lambda^{0,1}T(M'),$$
  
$$\overline{(u \mid v)} = (\overline{u} \mid \overline{v}), \quad u,v \in \Lambda^{0,1}T(M').$$

We can check that

$$(Ju \mid Jv) = (u \mid v), u, v \in \mathbb{C}T(M').$$

For  $t, s \in T(M')$ , we write

$$t = \frac{1}{2}(u + \overline{u}), \ s = \frac{1}{2}(v + \overline{v}), \ u, v \in \Lambda^{1,0}T(M').$$

Then,

$$(t \mid s) = \frac{1}{4}(u \mid v) + \frac{1}{4}\overline{(u \mid v)} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}(u \mid v)$$

is real. Thus, the Hermitian metric *g* induces a *J*-invariant Riemannian metric (|) on T(M').

The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M)$  induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}T^*(M)$  that we shall also denote by ( | ) in the following way. For a given point  $z \in M'$ , let *A* be the anti-linear map

$$A: \mathbb{C}T_z(M') \to \mathbb{C}T_z^*(M')$$

defined by

$$(u \mid v) = \langle u, Av \rangle, \ u, v \in \mathbb{C}T_z(M').$$

$$(2.7)$$

Since ( | ) and  $\langle,\rangle$  are real, A maps  $T_z(M')$  to  $T_z^*(M')$ . A simple computation shows that

$$J^t A J = A, J A^{-1} J^t = A^{-1}.$$

In particular (since *A* is anti-linear),

$$A\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(M') = \Lambda^{1,0}T_z^*(M'), \ A\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(M') = \Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(M').$$
(2.8)

For  $\omega$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}T_z^*(M')$ , we put

$$(\omega \mid \mu) = (A^{-1}\mu \mid A^{-1}\omega).$$
(2.9)

We have

$$(\omega \mid \mu) = 0 \text{ if } \omega \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_z^*(M'), \ \mu \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(M').$$
(2.10)

The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')$  is defined by

$$(w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_p \wedge u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_q \mid t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_p \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q)$$
  
= det  $((w_j \mid t_k))_{1 \leq j,k \leq p}$  det  $((u_j \mid v_k))_{1 \leq j,k \leq q}$ ,  
 $u_j, v_k \in \Lambda^{0,1} T^*(M'), j, k = 1, \dots, q, w_j, t_k \in \Lambda^{1,0} T^*(M'), j, k = 1, \dots, p,$  (2.11)

and we extend the definition to arbitrary (p,q) forms by sesqui-linearity.

We associate to the Hermitian metric  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k}(z) dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  a (1, 1) form (see page 144 of Kodaira [20])

$$\omega = i \sum_{j,k=1}^n g_{j,k} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k.$$

ω is a real (1, 1) form. Put  $G(z) = \det \left(g_{j,k}(z)\right)_{j,k=1}^n$ . Then by an elementary calculation (see page 146 of Kodaira [20]),

$$\omega^n = i^n n! G(z) dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n.$$
(2.12)

Since  $(g_{j,k}(z))_{j,k=1}^n$  is positive definite, G(z) > 0. Therefore using

$$dM' = \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \tag{2.13}$$

as the volume element, we define the integral of a continuous function f(z) on  $\overline{M}$  by

$$\int_{M} f(z)(dM') = \int_{M} f(z) \frac{\omega^{n}}{n!} = \int_{M} f(z) i^{n} G(z) dz_{1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{n} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n}. \quad (2.14)$$

We work with local coordinates

$$z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Put

$$F_{j,k}(z) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right), \ j, k = 1, \dots, 2n$$

and

$$F(z) = \det \left( F_{j,k}(z) \right)_{1 \le j,k \le 2n}$$

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$\sqrt{F(z)}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2n} = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$
(2.15)

*Proof.* For a given point  $p \in M'$ , we may assume that

$$\omega(p)=i\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_j.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(p) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(p)\right) = 2\delta_{j,k}, \ j,k = 1,\dots,2n,$$

and

$$\sqrt{F(p)}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n} = 2^n dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n}.$$

By an elementary calculation,

$$\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(p) = i^n dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n$$
$$= 2^n dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2n}.$$

The lemma follows.

Let  $(|)_M$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid h)_{M} = \int_{M} (f \mid h)(dM'), \ f, h \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{p,q} T^{*}(M')).$$
(2.16)

The Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(M')$  induces a Hermitian metric ( | ) on  $\mathbb{C}T(\Gamma)$ . For  $p \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$T_p(\Gamma) = \left\{ u \in T_p(M'); \langle u, dr \rangle = (u \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = A^{-1} dr.$$

Here *A* is as in (2.7). We take  $(d\Gamma)$  as the induced volume form on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$  be a system of local coordinates on  $\Gamma$ . Put

$$h_{j,k}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right), \ j, k = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Put

$$H(x) = \det \left( h_{j,k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{2n-1}.$$
(2.17)

Then,

$$d\Gamma = \sqrt{H(x)} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2n-1}.$$
 (2.18)

We identify  $\mathbb{C}\,T_p^*(\Gamma)$  with the space

$$\left\{ u \in \mathbb{C}T_p^*(M'); \left\langle u, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right\rangle = (u \mid dr) = 0 \right\}.$$
 (2.19)

Put

$$\mathscr{C}_p = T_p(\Gamma) \bigcap J T_p(\Gamma),$$
$$\mathscr{C}_p^* = T_p^*(\Gamma) \bigcap J^t T_p^*(\Gamma)$$

and

$$\omega_0 = J^t(dr), \qquad (2.20)$$
$$Y = J(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}). \qquad (2.21)$$

We have

$$\mathscr{C}_p = \left\{ u \in T_p(\Gamma); \langle u, \omega_0(p) \rangle = 0 \right\}, \quad \mathscr{C}_p^* = \left\{ u \in T_p^*(\Gamma); \langle u, Y(p) \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that

 $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathscr{C}_p = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathscr{C}_p^* = 2n-2.$ 

As before, we have

$$\mathbb{C}\mathscr{C}_p = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(\Gamma)$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}\mathscr{C}_p^* = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p^*(\Gamma) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p^*(\Gamma),$$

where

$$Ju = iu \text{ if } u \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma),$$
  

$$Ju = -iu \text{ if } u \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(\Gamma)$$
(2.22)

and

$$J^{t} \mu = i\mu \text{ if } \mu \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_{p}^{*}(\Gamma),$$
  

$$J^{t} \mu = -i\mu \text{ if } \mu \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_{p}^{*}(\Gamma).$$
(2.23)

We have the orthogonal decompositions with respect to (  $\mid$  )

$$\mathbb{C} T_p(\Gamma) = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p(\Gamma) \oplus \{\lambda Y(p); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\},\$$
$$\mathbb{C} T_p^*(\Gamma) = \Lambda^{1,0} T_p^*(\Gamma) \oplus \Lambda^{0,1} T_p^*(\Gamma) \oplus \{\lambda \omega_0(p); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

We notice that

$$J(iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) = J\left(iJ(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = -i(iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}).$$

Thus,  $iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \in \Lambda^{0,1}T(M')$ . Near Γ, put

$$T_{z}^{*,0,1} = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_{z}^{*}(M'); (u \mid \overline{\partial} r(z)) = 0 \right\}$$
(2.24)

and

$$T_{z}^{0,1} = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,1} T_{z}(M'); (u \mid (iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r})(z)) = 0 \right\}.$$
 (2.25)

We have the orthogonal decompositions with respect to (|)

$$\Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(M') = T_z^{*,0,1} \oplus \left\{ \lambda(\overline{\partial} r)(z); \, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$
(2.26)

$$\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(M') = T_z^{0,1} \oplus \left\{ \lambda(iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r})(z); \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$
(2.27)

Note that

$$T_z^{*,0,1} = \Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(\Gamma), \ T_z^{0,1} = \Lambda^{0,1} T_z(\Gamma), \ z \in \Gamma.$$

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , the bundle of boundary (0, q) forms is given by

$$\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma) = \Lambda^q (\Lambda^{0,1} T^*(\Gamma)).$$
(2.28)

Note that

$$\Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(\Gamma) = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'); (u \mid \overline{\partial} r(z) \wedge g) = 0, \ \forall g \in \Lambda^{0,q-1} T_z^*(M') \right\}.$$
(2.29)

Let  $( | )_{\Gamma}$  be the inner product on  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined by

$$(f \mid g)_{\Gamma} = \int_{\Gamma} (f \mid g) d\Gamma, \quad f, g \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \tag{2.30}$$

where  $d\Gamma$  is as in (2.18).

We recall the following

**Definition 2.2.** For  $p \in \Gamma$ , the Levi form  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}), Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$ , is the Hermitian quadratic form on  $\Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$  defined as follows:

For any 
$$Z, W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$$
, pick  $\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{1,0} T(\Gamma))$  that satisfy  
 $\widetilde{Z}(p) = Z, \widetilde{W}(p) = W$ . Then  $L_p(Z, \overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle$ .
$$(2.31)$$

Here

$$[\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}] = \widetilde{Z}\overline{\widetilde{W}} - \overline{\widetilde{W}}\widetilde{Z}$$

denotes the commutator of  $\widetilde{Z}$  and  $\overline{\widetilde{W}}$ .

It is easy to see that the definition of the Levi form  $L_p$  is independent of the choices of  $\widetilde{Z}$  and  $\widetilde{W}$ . We give it in detail for the convenience of the reader

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\widetilde{Z}$ ,  $\widetilde{W} \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{1,0}T(\Gamma))$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle [\widetilde{Z}, \overline{\widetilde{W}}](p), \omega_0(p) \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2i}\left\langle \widetilde{Z}(p) \wedge \overline{\widetilde{W}}(p), d\,\omega_0(p) \right\rangle. \tag{2.32}$$

Proof. See Lemma 2.7 of [18].

**Definition 2.4.** The eigenvalues of the Levi form at  $p \in \Gamma$  are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form  $L_p$  with respect to the inner product (|) on  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_p(\Gamma)$ .

Now, we work with local coordinates  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$  defined on some neighborhood  $U \subset M'$  of  $p \in \Gamma$ . We have

$$dr = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j} dz_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \overline{z}_j} d\overline{z}_j$$

and

$$\omega_0 = J^t(dr) = i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j} dz_j - i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \overline{z}_j} d\overline{z}_j.$$

Then by an elementary calculation,

$$d\omega_0 = -2i\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z_k \partial \overline{z}_j} dz_k \wedge d\overline{z}_j.$$

From this and Lemma 2.3, we get the following

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $U = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ ,  $V = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$ . Then,  $L_p(U, \overline{V}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z_k \partial \overline{z_j}}(p) u_k \overline{v}_j.$ (2.33)

## 3 The $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, a review

The  $\partial$ -Neumann problem is a generalization to several complex variables of the Laplace operator of one complex variable and the Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\partial/\partial \overline{z}$ . In this section, we will give a brief discussion of the  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann problem in a setting appropriate for our purpose. General references for this section are the books by Hörmander [15], Folland-Kohn [9] and Chen-Shaw [3].

As in section 1, let *M* be a relatively compact open subset with  $C^{\infty}$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of a complex analytic manifold *M'* of dimension *n* with a Hermitian metric

 $g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  on its holomorphic tangent bundle. We will use the same notations as before. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1})$  be a system of local coordinates on  $\Gamma$  and extend  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}$  to real smooth functions in some neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . We recall that (see (2.18)) the induced volume form on  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$d\Gamma = \sqrt{H(x)} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n-1},$$

where

$$H(x) = \det \left( h_{j,k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{2n-1}, \ h_{j,k}(x) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right), \ j,k = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

We assume that

$$dM' = |F(x,r)| dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n-1} \wedge dr,$$

where dM' is as in (2.13) and  $F(x, r) \in C^{\infty}$ . From (2.15), we see that

$$d\Gamma = |F(x,0)| \, dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2n-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

We have the following

Lemma 3.1. For all 
$$f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')),$$
  
 $(\overline{\partial} f \mid g)_M = (f \mid \overline{\partial_f}^* g)_M + (\gamma f \mid \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} g)_{\Gamma},$  (3.2)

where  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  is defined by (1.24). We recall that  $\overline{\partial_f}^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$  and  $\gamma$  is the operator of restriction to the boundary  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By using a partition of unity we may assume that f and g are supported in a coordinate patch  $U \subset M'$ . Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  be a system of local coordinates on  $\Gamma$ . We work with local coordinates  $z = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1}, r)$ . Put

$$dM' = |F(x,r)| dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n-1} dr.$$

Let H be the Heaviside function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\partial} f \mid g)_M &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} H(-r)(\overline{\partial} f \mid g) |F(x,r)| \, dx \, dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\overline{\partial} (H(-r)f) \mid g) |F(x,r)| \, dx \, dr \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \delta(-r)((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} f \mid g) |F(x,r)| \, dx \, dr \\ &= (f \mid \overline{\partial}_f^* g)_M + (\gamma f \mid \gamma (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} g)_{\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows.

As in section 1, We also use the notation  $\overline{\partial}$  for the closure in  $L^2$  of the  $\overline{\partial}$  operator, initially defined on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We notice that

$$Dom \overline{\partial} = \{ u \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')); \text{ there exist } u_j \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{and } v \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')), \text{ such that } u_j \to u \text{ in } L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \\ j \to \infty, \text{ and } \overline{\partial} u_j \to v \text{ in } L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')), \ j \to \infty \}.$$
(3.3)

We write  $\overline{\partial} u = v$ .

The Hilbert space adjoint  $\overline{\partial}^*$  of  $\overline{\partial}$  is defined on the domain of  $\overline{\partial}^*$  consisting of all  $f \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M'))$  such that for some constant c > 0,

$$\left| (f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M \right| \le c \left\| g \right\|, \text{ for all } g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

For such a f,

$$g \to (f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M$$

extends to a bounded anti-linear functional on  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  so

$$(f \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M = (\widetilde{f} \mid g)_M$$

for some  $\widetilde{f} \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We have  $\overline{\partial}^* f = \widetilde{f}$ .

From Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^{*}\bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M};\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^{*}(M')) = \left\{ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M};\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^{*}(M')); \gamma(\overline{\partial}r)^{\wedge,*}u = 0 \right\}$$
(3.4)

and

$$\overline{\partial}^* = \overline{\partial}_f^* \text{ on } \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0, q+1} T^*(M')).$$
(3.5)

The  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann Laplacian on (0, q) forms is then the operator in the space  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ 

$$\Box^{(q)} = \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^* \overline{\partial}.$$

We notice that  $\Box^{(q)}$  is self-adjoint. (See chapter *I* of Folland-Kohn [9].) We have

$$\operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)} = \{ u \in L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')); u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \\ \overline{\partial}^* u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \}.$$

Put

$$D^{(q)} = \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{(q)} \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

From (3.4), we have

$$D^{(q)} = \left\{ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0, q+1} T^*(M')); \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge, *} u = 0, \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge, *} \overline{\partial} u = 0 \right\}.$$
(3.6)

In view of (2.29), we see that  $u \in D^{(q)}$  if and only if

$$\gamma u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} \, u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0, q+1} \, T^*(\Gamma)). \tag{3.8}$$

We have the following

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $q \ge 1$ . For every  $u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M'))$ , we have  $\overline{\partial}^* u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*(M'))$ . For  $g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q-1}T^*(M'))$ , we have

$$0 = (\overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial}^* u \mid g)_M = (\overline{\partial}^* u \mid \overline{\partial} g)_M - (\gamma (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \overline{\partial}^* u \mid \gamma g)_{\Gamma}$$
$$= (u \mid \overline{\partial \partial} g)_M - (\gamma (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \overline{\partial}^* u \mid \gamma g)_{\Gamma}$$
$$= -(\gamma (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \overline{\partial}^* u \mid \gamma g)_{\Gamma}.$$

Here we used (3.2). Thus,

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial}^* u = 0.$$

The lemma follows.

Definition 3.3. The boundary conditions

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} u = 0, \ \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \overline{\partial} u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}, \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

are called  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann boundary conditions.

**Definition 3.4.** The  $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann problem in M is the problem of finding, given a form  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , another form  $u \in D^{(q)}$  verifying

$$\Box^{(q)} u = f.$$

**Definition 3.5.** Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . The Levi form is said to satisfy condition Z(q) at  $p \in \Gamma$  if it has at least n-q positive or at least q+1 negative eigenvalues. If the Levi form is non-degenerate at  $p \in \Gamma$ , let  $(n_-, n_+), n_- + n_+ = n-1$ , be the signature. Then Z(q) holds at p if and only if  $q \ne n_-$ .

We have the following classical results (see Folland-Kohn [9])

**Theorem 3.6.** (Kohn) We assume that Z(q) is satisfied at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\text{Ker} \square^{(q)}$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ ,  $\square^{(q)}$  has closed range and  $\Pi^{(q)}$  is a smoothing operator. That is, the distribution kernel

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_{w}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_{z}(M'))).$$

Moreover, there exists an operator

$$N^{(q)}: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \text{Dom} \square^{(q)}$$

such that

$$N^{(q)}\Box^{(q)} + \Pi^{(q)} = I \quad on \text{ Dom } \Box^{(q)},$$
$$\Box^{(q)}N^{(q)} + \Pi^{(q)} = I \quad on \ L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

Furthermore,  $N^{(q)}\left(C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))\right) \subset C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  and for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , there is a constant c > 0, such that

$$\left\|N^{(q)}f\right\|_{s+1} \le c \left\|f\right\|_{s}$$

where  $\| \|_s$  denotes any of the equivalent norms defining  $H^s(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** (Kohn) Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Then,

$$\Pi^{(q)}u = (I - \overline{\partial} N^{(q-1)}\overline{\partial}^* - \overline{\partial}^* N^{(q+1)}\overline{\partial})u, \ u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')),$$

where  $N^{(q+1)}$  and  $N^{(q-1)}$  are as in Theorem 3.6. In particular,

$$\Pi^{(q)}: \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to D^{(q)}.$$

#### 4 The operator T

By Assumption 1.1, the map

$$F^{(q)}: H^{2}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{0}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \oplus H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')),$$
$$u \to (\Box_{f}^{(q)} u, \gamma u),$$

is injective. The Poisson operator

$$P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

of  $\Box_f^{(q)}$  is well-defined. That is, if

$$u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')),$$

then

$$Pu \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ \Box_f^{(q)} Pu = 0$$

 $\gamma P u = u$ .

and

Moreover, if  $v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  and  $\Box_f^{(q)} v = 0$ , then

 $v = P\gamma v$ .

It is well-known (see page 29 of [5]) that P extends continuously

$$P: H^{s}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')), \ \forall \ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$P^*: \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

be the operator defined by

$$(P^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Pv)_M, \ u \in \mathscr{E}'(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

It is well-known (see page 30 of [5]) that  $P^*$  is continuous:

$$P^*: L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

and

$$P^*: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

Let *L* be a classical pseudodifferential operator on a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. From now on, we let  $\sigma_L$  denote the principal symbol of *L*. The operator

$$P^*P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is a classical elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order -1 and invertible (since P is injective). (See Boutet de Monvel [4].) Let  $\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}$  be the square root of  $-\Delta_{\Gamma}$ . It is well-known (see [4]) that

$$\sigma_{P^*P} = \sigma_{(2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}})^{-1}}.$$
(4.1)

Let

$$(P^*P)^{-1}: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

be the inverse of  $P^*P$ .  $(P^*P)^{-1}$  is a classical elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 1 with scalar principal symbol. We have

$$\sigma_{(P^*P)^{-1}} = \sigma_{2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}}.$$
(4.2)

**Definition 4.1.** The Neumann operator  $\mathcal{N}^{(q)}$  is the operator on  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}^{(q)}f = \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Pf, \ f \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

The following is well-known (see page 95 of [10])

Lemma 4.2.

$$\mathcal{N}^{(q)}: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is a classical elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 1 with scalar principal symbol and we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{N}^{(q)}} = \sigma_{\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}}.$$
(4.3)

We use the inner product [ | ] on  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  defined as follows:

$$[u | v] = (Pu | Pv)_M = (P^*Pu | v)_{\Gamma}, \qquad (4.4)$$

where  $u, v \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We consider  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  as an operator

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q-1} T^*(M')).$$

Let

$$T: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} = H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$
(4.5)

be the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  with respect to  $[\ |\ ]$ . That is, if  $u \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , then

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}Tu = 0$$

and

$$[(I-T)u \mid g] = 0, \ \forall \ g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\partial r)^{\wedge,*}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *T* is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}.$$

Moreover,

$$I - T = (P^*P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} R, \qquad (4.6)$$

where

$$R: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q-1} T^*(M'))$$

is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order -1.

Proof. Let

$$E = 2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} ((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger} + 2((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*},$$
  
$$E : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')),$$

where  $((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger}$  is the formal adjoint of  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  with respect to [|]. That is,

$$[(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} u \mid v] = [u \mid ((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger} v],$$
  
$$u \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')), v \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q-1} T^{*}(M'))$$

We can check that

$$\left((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\right)^{\dagger} = (P^*P)^{-1}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}(P^*P).$$
(4.7)

Thus, the principal symbol of *E* is

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}+2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$$

Since  $||dr|| = 1 = (||\bar{\partial}r||^2 + ||\partial r||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  on  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$\|\bar{\partial}r\|^2 = \|\partial r\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
 on  $\Gamma$ . (4.8)

From this, we can check that

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} + 2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} = I : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')),$$

where *I* is the identity map. *E* is a classical elliptic pseudodifferential operator with principal symbol *I*. Then dim Ker  $E < \infty$ . Let *G* be the orthogonal projection onto Ker *E* and *N* be the partial inverse. Then *G* is a smoothing operator and *N* is a classical elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol *I* (up to some smoothing operator). We have

$$EN + G = 2\left(\left(\overline{\partial}r\right)^{\wedge,*}\left(\left(\overline{\partial}r\right)^{\wedge,*}\right)^{\dagger} + 2\left(\left(\overline{\partial}r\right)^{\wedge,*}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\overline{\partial}r\right)^{\wedge,*}\right)N + G = I$$
(4.9)

on  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . Put

$$\widetilde{T} = 2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} ((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger} N + G.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{Ker} E = \left\{ u \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')); (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} u = 0, ((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger} u = 0 \right\}.$$

From this and  $(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \circ (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} = 0$ , we see that

$$\widetilde{T}g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}, \ g \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

From (4.9), we have

$$I - \widetilde{T} = 2\left((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\right)^{\dagger} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} N$$

and

$$[(I - \widetilde{T})g \mid u] = [2((\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*})^{\dagger}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}Ng \mid u]$$
  
=  $[2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}Ng \mid (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}u]$   
=  $0, \ u \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}, g \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')).$ 

Thus,

$$g = \widetilde{T}g + (I - \widetilde{T})g$$

is the orthogonal decomposition with respect to [|]. Hence,

$$\widetilde{T} = T.$$

The lemma follows.

Now, we assume that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . We recall that

$$\Pi^{(q)} u = (I - \overline{\partial} N^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}^* - \overline{\partial}^* N^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}) u, \ u \in \text{Dom} \,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

(See Theorem 3.7.)

**Proposition 4.4.** We assume that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Then,

$$\Pi^{(q)} u = \Pi^{(q)} P T(P^*P)^{-1} P^* u$$
  
=  $(I - \overline{\partial} N^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}^* - \overline{\partial}^* N^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial}) P T(P^*P)^{-1} P^* u,$   
 $u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')),$  (4.10)

where  $N^{(q+1)}$ ,  $N^{(q-1)}$  are as in Theorem 3.6 and T is as in (4.5). In particular,

$$\Pi^{(q)}: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to D^{(q)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . We claim that

$$u - \Pi^{(q)} P T(P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \in \left( \text{Ker} \,\Box^{(q)} \right)^{\perp}.$$
(4.11)

Let  $v \in \text{Dom }\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . From Theorem 3.7, we know that

$$\Pi^{(q)} v \in D^{(q)}.$$

Since  $\Pi^{(q)} v$  is harmonic,

$$\Pi^{(q)}v = P\gamma\Pi^{(q)}v.$$

Note that

$$P(P^*P)^{-1}P^*: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box_f^{(q)}$$

is the orthogonal projection with respect to  $( | )_M$ . That is,

$$(f - P(P^*P)^{-1}P^*f | P\gamma g)_M = 0, \ f, g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

We have

$$(\Pi^{(q)} P T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \mid \Pi^{(q)} v)_M$$
  
=  $(P T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \mid \Pi^{(q)} v)_M$   
=  $(P T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \mid P \gamma \Pi^{(q)} v)_M$   
=  $(P (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \mid P \gamma \Pi^{(q)} v)_M$   
=  $(u \mid \Pi^{(q)} v)_M.$  (4.12)

Thus,

$$(u - \Pi^{(q)} P T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u \mid \Pi^{(q)} v)_M = 0.$$
(4.13)

Since Dom  $\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  is dense in  $L^2(M; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , we get (4.11). Thus,

$$\Pi^{(q)} u = \Pi^{(q)} P T (P^* P)^{-1} P^* u.$$

Since  $PT(P^*P)^{-1}P^*u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}^* \bigcap C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$ , we get the last identity in (4.10). The proposition follows.

## 5 The principal symbols of $\gamma \overline{\partial} P$ and $\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P$

First, we compute the principal symbols of  $\overline{\partial}$  and  $\overline{\partial_f}^*$ . For each point  $z_0 \in \Gamma$ , we can choose an orthonormal frame

$$t_1(z), \dots, t_{n-1}(z)$$
 (5.1)

for  $T_z^{*,0,1}$  varying smoothly with z in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , where  $T_z^{*0,1}$  is defined by (2.24). Then (see (2.26))

$$t_1(z),\ldots,t_{n-1}(z),t_n(z):=rac{\overline{\partial}\,r(z)}{\left\|\overline{\partial}\,r(z)
ight\|}$$

is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(M')$ . Let

$$T_1(z), \dots, T_{n-1}(z), T_n(z)$$
 (5.2)

denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z(M')$  which is dual to

$$t_1(z),\ldots,t_n(z).$$

We have (see (2.27))

$$T_n = \frac{iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}}{\left\| iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right\|}.$$
(5.3)

Note that

$$T_1(z), \dots, T_{n-1}(z)$$
 is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1} T_z(\Gamma), z \in \Gamma$ , (5.4)

and

$$t_1(z), \dots, t_{n-1}(z)$$
 is an orthonormal frame for  $\Lambda^{0,1} T_z^*(\Gamma), z \in \Gamma.$  (5.5)

We have

$$\overline{\partial} f = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j^{\wedge} T_j\right) f, \ f \in C^{\infty}(M').$$

If  $f(z)t_{j_1}(z)\wedge\cdots\wedge t_{j_q}(z)\in C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M'))$  is a typical term in a general (0,q) form, we have

$$\overline{\partial} f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (T_j f) t_j^{\wedge} t_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{j_q} + \sum_{k=1}^{q} (-1)^{k-1} f(z) t_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge (\overline{\partial} t_{j_k}) \wedge \dots \wedge t_{j_q}.$$

So for the given orthonormal frame we have

$$\overline{\partial} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}^{\wedge} \circ T_{j} + \text{lower order terms}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{j}^{\wedge} \circ T_{j} + \frac{(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}}{\left\|\overline{\partial} r\right\|} \circ \frac{iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}}{\left\|iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\|} + \text{lower order terms}$$
(5.6)

and correspondingly

$$\overline{\partial_f}^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^{\wedge,*} \circ T_j^* + \frac{(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}}{\left\|\overline{\partial} r\right\|} \circ \frac{iY - \frac{\partial}{\partial r}}{\left\|iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\|} + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(5.7)

We consider

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M'))$$

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

 $\gamma \overline{\partial} P$  and  $\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P$  are classical pseudodifferential operators of order 1. From (4.8), we know that

$$\left\|\overline{\partial} r\right\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

We can check that

$$\left\|iY + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\| = \sqrt{2} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Combining this with (5.6), (5.7) and (4.3), we get

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^{\wedge} \circ T_j + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \circ (iY + \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) + \text{lower order terms}$$
(5.8)

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^{\wedge,*} \circ T_j^* + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \circ (i Y - \sqrt{-\Delta_\Gamma}) + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(5.9)

From Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\gamma \overline{\partial}_{f}^{*} P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$
(5.10)

Put

$$\Sigma^{+} = \{ (x, \lambda \omega_{0}(x)) \in T^{*}(\Gamma) \smallsetminus 0; \lambda > 0 \},$$
  

$$\Sigma^{-} = \{ (x, \lambda \omega_{0}(x)) \in T^{*}(\Gamma) \smallsetminus 0; \lambda < 0 \}.$$
(5.11)

We recall that  $\omega_0 = J^t(dr)$ . In section 8, we need the following

**Proposition 5.1.** *The map* 

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$$

is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0,q) forms to boundary (0,q) forms and we have

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P = \frac{1}{2}(iY + \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) + lower \ order \ terms.$$
(5.12)

In particular, it is elliptic outside  $\Sigma^-$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P = \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P + \gamma\overline{\partial} P(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$$
(5.13)

on the space  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . From (5.8), we have

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} t_j^{\wedge} \right) \circ T_j + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \right) \circ (iY + \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}})$$
  
+ lower order terms

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( t_j^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ T_j + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ (iY + \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}})$$

+ lower order terms.

Thus,

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P + \gamma \overline{\partial} P(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( t_j^{\wedge}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} t_j^{\wedge} \right) \circ T_j + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \right) \circ (iY + \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(5.14)

Note that

$$t_j^{\wedge}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} t_j^{\wedge} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$
(5.15)

and

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(5.16)

Combining this with (5.14) and (5.13), we get (5.12).

Note that

$$\sigma_{iY+\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}}(x,\xi) = -\langle Y,\xi\rangle + ||\xi|| = |\xi| + (\omega_0 \mid \xi) \ge 0$$

with equality precisely when  $\xi = -\lambda \omega_0$ ,  $\lambda \ge 0$ . The proposition follows.

For  $z \in \Gamma$ , put

$$I^{0,q}T_{z}^{*}(M') = \left\{ u \in \Lambda^{0,q}T_{z}^{*}(M'); u = (\overline{\partial}r)^{\wedge}g, g \in \Lambda^{0,q-1}T_{z}^{*}(M') \right\}.$$
 (5.17)

 $I^{0,q}\,T^*_z(M)$  is orthogonal to  $\Lambda^{0,q}\,T^*_z(\Gamma).$  In section 7, we need the following

**Proposition 5.2.** *The operator* 

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; I^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; I^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one,

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} = (iY - \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}})\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} + lower \ order \ terms.$$
(5.18)

It is elliptic outside  $\Sigma^+$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} = \gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} + \gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}$$
(5.19)

on the space  $C^{\infty}(\Gamma; I^{0,q}T^*(\Gamma))$ . From (5.9) and (4.2), we have

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial}_{f}^{*} P(P^{*}P)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} t_{j}^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ \left( T_{j}^{*} \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ \left( (iY - \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \text{lower order terms}$$
(5.20)

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( t_j^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \right) \circ \left( T_j^* \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \right) \circ \left( (iY - \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(5.21)

Thus,

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P(P^{*}P)^{-1} + \gamma \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P(P^{*}P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( t_{j}^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} t_{j}^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ \left( T_{j}^{*} \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \left( (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} + (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} \right) \circ \left( (iY - \sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}) \circ 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) + \text{lower order terms.}$$

$$(5.22)$$

Combining this with (5.19), (5.15) and (5.16), we get (5.18). The proposition follows.  $\hfill \Box$ 

# 6 The operator $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$

Put

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = T\gamma \overline{\partial} P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma)).$$
(6.1)

We recall that (see (4.5)) the orthogonal projection *T* onto  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}$  with respect to [|] is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol

$$2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}.$$

(See Lemma 4.3.)  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0, q) forms to boundary (0, q+1) forms.

Lemma 6.1. We have

 $(\overline{\partial_{\beta}})^2 = 0.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . We claim that

$$[T\gamma\overline{\partial}P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid v] = 0.$$
(6.2)

We have

$$[T\gamma\overline{\partial}P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid v] = [\gamma\overline{\partial}P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid v] \qquad (\text{since } v \in \text{Ker}(\overline{\partial}r)^{\wedge,*})$$
$$= (P\gamma\overline{\partial}P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid Pv)_{M}$$
$$= (\overline{\partial}P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid Pv)_{M} \quad (\overline{\partial}Pf \in \text{Ker}\Box_{f}^{(q)}, \forall f \in C^{\infty})$$
$$= (P(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*}Pv)_{M} \quad (\text{since } Pv \in \text{Dom}\overline{\partial}^{*})$$
$$= [(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid \gamma\overline{\partial_{f}}^{*}Pv].$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P v \in \operatorname{Ker}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}.$$

Thus,

$$[(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid \gamma\overline{\partial_f}^*Pv] = 0.$$

We get (6.2), and hence

$$T\gamma\overline{\partial}\,P\gamma\overline{\partial}\,Pu=T\gamma\overline{\partial}\,PT\gamma\overline{\partial}\,Pu,\ u\in C^\infty(\Gamma;\Lambda^{0,q}\,T^*(\Gamma))$$

Now,

$$(\overline{\partial_{\beta}})^2 = T\gamma\overline{\partial}PT\gamma\overline{\partial}P = T\gamma\overline{\partial}P\gamma\overline{\partial}P = T\gamma\overline{\partial}^2P = 0.$$

The lemma follows.

We pause and recall the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. For  $z \in \Gamma$ , let

$$\pi_z^{0,q}: \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M') \to \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(\Gamma)$$

be the orthogonal projection map (with respect to (|)). We can check that

$$\pi_z^{0,q} = 2(\overline{\partial} r(z))^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r(z))^{\wedge}$$

For an open set  $U \subset \Gamma$ , the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator:

$$\overline{\partial_b}: C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma))$$

is now defined as follows: for any  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ , let  $\widetilde{U}$  be an open set in M'with  $\widetilde{U} \cap \Gamma = U$  and pick  $\phi_1 \in C^{\infty}(\widetilde{U}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$  that satisfies  $\pi_z^{0,q}(\phi_1(z)) = \phi(z)$ , for all  $z \in U$ . Then  $\overline{\partial_b} \phi$  is defined to be a smooth section in  $C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma))$ :

$$z \to \pi_z^{0,q}(\gamma \overline{\partial} \phi_1(z)).$$

It is not difficult to check that the definition of  $\overline{\partial_b}$  is independent of the choice of  $\phi_1$ . Since  $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$ , we have  $\overline{\partial_b}^2 = 0$  and we have the following boundary complex

$$\overline{\partial_b}: \cdots \to C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma)) \to \cdots$$

Let  $\overline{\partial_b}^*$  be the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial_b}$  with respect to  $( | )_{\Gamma}$ , that is

$$(\overline{\partial}_b f \mid h)_{\Gamma} = (f \mid \overline{\partial_b}^* h)_{\Gamma}, \ f \in C_0^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)), h \in C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma)).$$

 $\overline{\partial_b}^*$  is a differential operator of order one from boundary (0, q+1) forms to boundary (0, q) forms and

$$\overline{\partial_b}^* : \dots \leftarrow C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \leftarrow C^{\infty}(U; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma)) \leftarrow \dots$$

is a complex.

From the definition of  $\overline{\partial_b}$ , we know that

$$\overline{\partial_b} = 2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \gamma \overline{\partial} P$$

Since the principal symbol of *T* is  $2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge}$ , it follows that

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} = \overline{\partial_{b}} + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(6.3)

Let

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1}T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(\Gamma)),$$
(6.4)

be the formal adjoint of  $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}$  with respect to [ | ], that is

$$[\overline{\partial_{\beta}}f \mid h] = [f \mid \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}h], \ f \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)), h \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$

 $\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one from boundary (0, q+1) forms to boundary (0, q) forms.

Lemma 6.2. We have

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} = \gamma \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ ,  $v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q+1} T^*(\Gamma))$ . We have

$$[\overline{\partial_{\beta}}u \mid v] = [T\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid v] = [\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid v]$$
$$= (P\gamma\overline{\partial}Pu \mid Pv)_{M} = (\overline{\partial}Pu \mid Pv)_{M}$$
$$= (Pu \mid \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*}Pv)_{M} = [u \mid \gamma\overline{\partial_{f}}^{*}Pv],$$

and the lemma follows.

*Remark* 6.3. We can check that on boundary (0, q) forms, we have

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} = \gamma \overline{\partial_{f}}^{*} P = \overline{\partial_{b}}^{*} + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(6.5)

Set

$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} = \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} \overline{\partial_{\beta}} + \overline{\partial_{\beta}} \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} : \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)).$$
(6.6)

 $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order two from boundary (0,q) forms to boundary (0,q) forms. We recall that the Kohn Laplacian on  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$\Box_b^{(q)} = \overline{\partial_b \partial_b}^* + \overline{\partial_b}^* \overline{\partial_b} : \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$$

From (6.3) and (6.5), we see that

$$\sigma_{\Box_b^{(q)}} = \sigma_{\Box_\beta^{(q)}}$$

and the characteristic manifold of  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  is

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \bigcup \Sigma^-,$$

where  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $\Sigma^-$  are given in (5.11). (See section 3 of [18].) Moreover,  $\sigma_{\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}}$  vanishes to second order on  $\Sigma$  and we have

$$\square_{\beta}^{(q)} = \square_{b}^{(q)} + L_{1}, \tag{6.7}$$

where  $L_1$  is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order one with

$$\sigma_{L_1} = 0$$
 at each point of  $\Sigma$ . (6.8)

The following is well-known (see Lemma 3.1 of [18])

**Lemma 6.4.**  $\Sigma$  is a symplectic submanifold of  $T^*(\Gamma)$  if and only if the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $p_{\beta}^{s}$  denote the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  (invariantly defined on  $\Sigma$ ) and let  $F_{\beta}(\rho)$  denote the fundamental matrix of  $\sigma_{\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}}$  at  $\rho \in \Sigma$ . We write  $\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F_{\beta}(\rho)$  to denote  $\sum |\lambda_{j}|$ , where  $\pm i\lambda_{j}$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of  $F_{\beta}(\rho)$ . From (6.7) and (6.8), we see that

$$p_{\beta}^{s} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} F_{\beta} = p_{b}^{s} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} F_{b}$$
 on  $\Sigma$ ,

where  $p_b^s$  is the subprincipal symbol of  $\Box_b^{(q)}$  and  $F_b$  is the fundamental matrix of  $\sigma_{\Box_b^{(q)}}$ . We have the following

**Lemma 6.5.** Let  $\rho = (p, \xi) \in \Sigma$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F_{\beta} + p_{\beta}^{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \lambda_{j} \right| |\sigma_{iY}| + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} L_{p}(\overline{T}_{j}, T_{j}) - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} 2t_{j}^{\wedge} t_{k}^{\wedge,*} L_{p}(\overline{T}_{k}, T_{j}) \right) \sigma_{iY} at \rho,$$
(6.9)

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$  and  $T_j$ ,  $t_j$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, are as in (5.4) and (5.5).

Proof. See section 3 of [18].

It is not difficult to see that on  $\Sigma$  the action of  $\frac{1}{2} \tilde{tr} F_{\beta} + p_{\beta}^{s}$  on boundary (0, q) forms has the eigenvalues

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_j| |\sigma_{iY}| + \sum_{j \notin J} \lambda_j \sigma_{iY} - \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \sigma_{iY}, |J| = q,$$
  

$$J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q), \ 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_q \le n-1.$$
(6.10)

(See section 3 of [18].) We assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at  $p \in \Gamma$ . Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of  $L_p$ . Since  $\langle Y, \omega_0 \rangle = -1$ , we have  $\sigma_{iY} > 0$  on  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $\sigma_{iY} < 0$  on  $\Sigma^-$ .

Let

$$\inf(p_{\beta}^{s} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F_{\beta})(\rho) = \inf\left\{\lambda; \lambda : \text{eigenvalue of } (p_{\beta}^{s} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}} F_{\beta})(\rho)\right\}, \ \rho \in \Sigma.$$

From (6.10), we see that at  $(p, \omega_0(p)) \in \Sigma^+$ ,

$$\inf(p_{\beta}^{s} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F_{\beta}) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_{+} \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_{+} \end{cases}$$
(6.11)

At  $(p, -\omega_0(p)) \in \Sigma^-$ ,

$$\inf(p_{\beta}^{s} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}} F_{\beta}) \begin{cases} = 0, \quad q = n_{-} \\ > 0, \quad q \neq n_{-} \end{cases}$$
(6.12)

**Definition 6.6.** Given q,  $0 \le q \le n - 1$ , the Levi form is said to satisfy condition Y(q) at  $p \in \Gamma$  if for any |J| = q,  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_q)$ ,  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q \le n - 1$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{j\notin J}\lambda_j-\sum_{j\in J}\lambda_j\right|<\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_j\right|,$$

where  $\lambda_j$ , j = 1, ..., (n - 1), are the eigenvalues of  $L_p$ . If the Levi form is nondegenerate at p, then the condition is equivalent to  $q \neq n_+$ ,  $n_-$ , where  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , is the signature of  $L_p$ .

> From now on, we assume that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ . (6.13)

By classical works of Boutet de Monvel [6] and Sjöstrand [26], we get the following

**Proposition 6.7.**  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative if and only if Y(q) holds at each point of  $\Gamma$ .

### 7 The heat equation for $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$

In this section, we will apply some results of Menikoff and Sjöstrand [24] to construct approximate orthogonal projection for  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$ . Our presentation is essentially taken from [18]. The reader who is familiar with [18] may go directly to Theorem 7.15.

Until further notice, we work with real local coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n-1})$  defined on a connected open set  $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ . Thus, the Levi form has constant signature on  $\Omega$ . For any  $C^{\infty}$  function f, we also write f to denote an almost analytic extension. (For the precise meaning of almost analytic functions, we refer the reader to Definition 1.1of [23].) We let the full symbol of  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  be:

full symbol of 
$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j(x,\xi)$$
,

where  $q_i(x,\xi)$  is positively homogeneous of order 2-j.

First, we consider the characteristic equation for  $\partial_t + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$ . We look for solutions  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - iq_0(x, \psi'_x) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im} \psi\right|^N), \ \forall N \ge 0, \\ \psi|_{t=0} = \langle x, \eta \rangle \end{cases}$$
(7.1)

with  $\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta) \ge 0$ .

Let *U* be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let *f*,  $g \in C^{\infty}(U)$ . We write

 $f \asymp g$ 

if for every compact set  $K \subset U$  there is a constant  $c_K > 0$  such that

$$f \leq c_K g$$
,  $g \leq c_K f$  on  $K$ .

We have the following

**Proposition 7.1.** There exists  $\psi(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \ge 0$ with equality precisely on  $(\{0\} \times T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0) \bigcup (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Sigma)$  and such that (7.1) holds where the error term is uniform on every set of the form  $[0, T] \times K$  with T > 0 and  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  compact. Furthermore,

$$\psi(t, x, \eta) = \langle x, \eta \rangle \text{ on } \Sigma, \ d_{x,\eta}(\psi - \langle x, \eta \rangle) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma,$$
$$\psi(t, x, \lambda \eta) = \lambda \psi(\lambda t, x, \eta), \ \lambda > 0,$$
$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(t, x, \eta) \asymp \left| \eta \right| \frac{t \left| \eta \right|}{1 + t \left| \eta \right|} \operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{\left| \eta \right|}), \Sigma))^{2}, \ t \ge 0, \ \left| \eta \right| \ge 1.$$
(7.2)

**Proposition 7.2.** There exists a function  $\psi(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$  with a uniquely determined Taylor expansion at each point of  $\Sigma$  such that

For every compact set 
$$K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$$
 there is a constant  $c_K > 0$  such that  

$$\operatorname{Im} \psi(\infty, x, \eta) \ge c_K \left| \eta \right| (\operatorname{dist}((x, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}), \Sigma))^2,$$

$$d_{x,\eta}(\psi(\infty, x, \eta) - \langle x, \eta \rangle) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$$

If  $\lambda \in C(T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda|_{\Sigma} < \min |\lambda_j|$ , where  $\pm i |\lambda_j|$  are the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental matrix of  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$ , then the solution  $\psi(t, x, \eta)$  of (7.1) can be chosen so that for every compact set  $K \subset T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}$  such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}\partial_{t}^{\gamma}(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\psi(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,K}e^{-\lambda(x,\eta)t} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times K.$$
(7.3)

For the proof of Proposition 7.1 and Proposition 7.2, we refer the reader to Menikoff-Sjöstrand [24].

**Definition 7.3.** We will say that  $a \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega))$  is quasi-homogeneous of degree *j* if  $a(t, x, \lambda \eta) = \lambda^j a(\lambda t, x, \eta)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)})u(t, x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega\\ u(0, x) = v(x) \end{cases}$$
(7.4)

We shall start by making only a formal construction. We look for an approximate solution of (7.4) of the form

$$u(t,x) = A(t)v(x)$$

$$A(t)v(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \int e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta)v(y)dyd\eta$$
(7.5)

where formally

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta), \ a_j(t,x,\eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))),$$

 $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j.

We apply  $\partial_t + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)}$  formally under the integral in (7.5) and then introduce the asymptotic expansion of  $\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}(a e^{i\psi})$ . Setting  $(\partial_t + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)})(a e^{i\psi}) \sim 0$  and regrouping the terms according to the degree of quasi-homogeneity. We obtain the transport equations

$$\begin{cases} T(t,x,\eta,\partial_t,\partial_x)a_0 = O(\left|\operatorname{Im}\psi\right|^N), \,\forall N\\ T(t,x,\eta,\partial_t,\partial_x)a_j + l_j(t,x,\eta,a_0,\dots,a_{j-1}) = O(\left|\operatorname{Im}\psi\right|^N), \,\forall N. \end{cases}$$
(7.6)

Here

$$T(t, x, \eta, \partial_t, \partial_x) = \partial_t - i \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \psi'_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + q(t, x, \eta)$$

where

$$q(t,x,\eta) = q_1(x,\psi'_x) + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial^2 q_0(x,\psi'_x)}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(t,x,\eta)}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}$$

and  $l_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a linear differential operator acting on  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{j-1}$ . We can repeat the method of [18] (see Proposition 5.7 of [18]) to get the following

**Proposition 7.4.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form on  $\Omega$ . We can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(7.7)

of the system (7.6) with

$$a_0(0, x, \eta) = I, a_j(0, x, \eta) = 0$$
 when  $j > 0$ , (7.8)

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j, such that  $a_j$  has unique Taylor expansions on  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, we can find

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a positively homogeneous function of degree -j,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , j, every compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$ , there exists c > 0, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a_j(t,x,\eta) - a_j(\infty,x,\eta))\right| \le c e^{-\varepsilon_0 t |\eta|} (1 + |\eta|)^{-j - |\beta| + \gamma}$$
(7.9)

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ .

Furthermore, for all j = 0, 1, ...,

$$\begin{cases} \text{ all derivatives of } a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \text{ vanish at } \Sigma^+ & \text{if } q \neq n_+ \\ \text{ all derivatives of } a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \text{ vanish at } \Sigma^- & \text{if } q \neq n_- \end{cases}$$
(7.10)

and

$$a_0(\infty, x, \eta) \neq 0$$
 at each point of  $\Sigma^+$  if  $q = n_+$   
 $a_0(\infty, x, \eta) \neq 0$  at each point of  $\Sigma^-$  if  $q = n_-$ . (7.11)

**Definition 7.5.** Let  $r(x, \eta)$  be a non-negative real continuous function on  $T^*(\Omega)$ . We assume that  $r(x, \eta)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1, that is,  $r(x, \lambda \eta) = \lambda r(x, \eta)$ , for  $\lambda \ge 1$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ . For  $0 \le q \le n - 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that

$$a \in \hat{S}_{r}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)))$$

if

$$a \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)))$$

and for all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}a(t,x,\eta)\right| \le c e^{t(-r(x,\eta)+\varepsilon|\eta|)}(1+|\eta|)^{k+\gamma-|\beta|}, x \in K, |\eta| \ge 1.$$

*Remark* 7.6. It is easy to see that we have the following properties:

- (a) If  $a \in \hat{S}_{r_1}^k$ ,  $b \in \hat{S}_{r_2}^l$  then  $ab \in \hat{S}_{r_1+r_2}^{k+l}$ ,  $a+b \in \hat{S}_{\min(r_1,r_2)}^{\max(k,l)}$ .
- (b) If  $a \in \hat{S}_r^k$  then  $\partial_t^{\gamma} \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_\eta^{\beta} a \in \hat{S}_r^{k-|\beta|+\gamma}$ .
- (c) If  $a_j \in \hat{S}_r^{k_j}$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ... and  $k_j \searrow -\infty$  as  $j \to \infty$ , then there exists  $a \in \hat{S}_r^{k_0}$  such that  $a \sum_{0}^{\nu-1} a_j \in \hat{S}_r^{k_\nu}$ , for all  $\nu = 1, 2, ...$  Moreover, if  $\hat{S}_r^{-\infty}$  denotes  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{S}_r^k$  then *a* is unique modulo  $\hat{S}_r^{-\infty}$ .

If *a* and  $a_i$  have the properties of (*c*), we write

$$a \sim \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{j}$$
 in the symbol space  $\hat{S}_{r}^{k_{0}}$ .

From Proposition 7.4 and the standard Borel construction, we get the following

**Proposition 7.7.** Let  $(n_-, n_+)$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n - 1$ , be the signature of the Levi form on  $\Omega$ . We can find solutions

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

of the system (7.6) with

$$a_0(0, x, \eta) = I, a_i(0, x, \eta) = 0$$
 when  $j > 0$ ,

where  $a_j(t, x, \eta)$  is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree -j, such that for some r > 0 as in Definition 7.5,

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) - a_j(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $a_j(\infty, x, \eta)$  is a positively homogeneous function of degree -j. Furthermore, for all j = 0, 1, ...,

$$\begin{cases} a_j(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \text{ in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^+, & \text{if } q \neq n_+, \\ a_j(\infty, x, \eta) = 0 \text{ in a conic neighborhood of } \Sigma^-, & \text{if } q \neq n_-. \end{cases}$$

Remark 7.8. Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_{r}^{k}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} \times T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Gamma)))$$

with r > 0. We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$ . Let  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  be equal to 1 near the origin. Put

$$B_{\varepsilon}(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^{\infty} e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) \chi(\varepsilon \eta) d\eta.$$

For  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ , we can show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\int B_{\varepsilon}(x, y) u(y) dy) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0, q} T^{*}(\Gamma))$$

and

$$B: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$
$$u \to \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\int B_{\varepsilon}(x, y) u(y) dy),$$

is continuous. Formally,

$$B(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) dt \right) d\eta.$$

Moreover, *B* has a unique continuous extension

$$B: \mathscr{E}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

and

$$B(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Omega \times \Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma))).$$

For the details, we refer the reader to Proposition 6.6 of [18]. *Remark* 7.9. Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

We assume  $a(t, x, \eta) = 0$ , if  $|\eta| \le 1$  and

$$a(t, x, \eta) - a(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

with r > 0, where

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

Then we can also define

$$A(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$
(7.12)

as an oscillatory integral by the following formula:

$$A(x,y) = \int \left( \int_0^\infty e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} (-t)(i\psi'_t(t,x,\eta)a(t,x,\eta) + a'_t(t,x,\eta))dt \right) d\eta.$$

We notice that

$$(-t)(i\psi'_t(t,x,\eta)a(t,x,\eta)+a'_t(t,x,\eta))\in \hat{S}^{k+1}_r, r>0.$$

We recall the following

**Definition 7.10.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{k}(T^{*}(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q}T^{*}(\Gamma)))$  is the space of all

$$a \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

such that for every compact sets  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-1}$ , there is a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$  such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1+|\xi|)^{k-\frac{|\beta|}{2}+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}, (x,\xi)\in T^*(\Omega), x\in K.$$

 $S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$  is called the space of symbols of order k type  $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ .

**Definition 7.11.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . A pseudodifferential operator of order k type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

such that the distribution kernel of A is

$$K_A = A(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

with  $a \in S_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^k(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(\Gamma)))$ . We call  $a(x,\xi)$  the symbol of A. We shall write

$$L^k_{rac{1}{2},rac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$ .

We recall the following classical proposition of Calderon-Vaillancourt. (See Hörmander [14].)

**Proposition 7.12.** If  $A \in L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . Then, for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , A is continuous

$$A: H^s_{\text{comp}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to H^{s-k}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$$

We have the following

Proposition 7.13. Let

$$a(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

We assume  $a(t, x, \eta) = 0$ , if  $|\eta| \le 1$  and

$$a(t, x, \eta) - a(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

with r > 0, where

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

Let

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$

be as in (7.12). Then

$$A \in L^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma))$$

with symbol

$$q(x,\eta) = \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle x,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt$$
  
$$\in S^{k-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

Proof. See Lemma 6.16 and Remark 6.17 of [18].

From now on, we write

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta$$

to denote the kernel of pseudodifferential operator of order k - 1 type  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  from sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  to sections of  $\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)$  with symbol

$$\int_0^\infty \Big( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta)-\langle x,\eta\rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \Big) dt,$$

where  $a(t, x, \eta)$  and  $a(\infty, x, \eta)$  are as in Proposition 7.13.

The following is essentially well-known (See page 72 of [24].)

**Proposition 7.14.** Let Q be a properly supported pseudodifferential operator on  $\Omega$  of order k > 0 with classical symbol  $q(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega))$ . Let

$$b(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^m(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$

We assume that  $b(t, x, \eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| \le 1$  and that

$$b(t, x, \eta) - b(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^m(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

with r > 0, where

$$b(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

is a classical symbol of order m. Then,

$$Q(e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}b(t,x,\eta)) = e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta)-\langle y,\eta\rangle)}c(t,x,\eta) + d(t,x,\eta),$$
(7.13)

where

$$c(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))),$$
(7.14)

$$c(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} q^{(\alpha)}(x,\psi'_x(t,x,\eta))(R_\alpha(\psi,D_x)b)$$
(7.15)

in the symbol space  $\hat{S}_0^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))),$ 

$$c(t, x, \eta) - c(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{k+m}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))), \ r > 0, \quad (7.16)$$

$$d(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^{-\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))),$$
(7.17)

$$d(t, x, \eta) - d(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0, q} T^*(\Gamma))), \ r > 0.$$
(7.18)

Here

$$c(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$
(7.19)

is a classical symbol of order k + m,

$$d(\infty, x, \eta) \in S^{-\infty}_{1,0}(T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')))$$

(For the precise meaning of  $S^{-\infty}_{1,0}$ , see Definition 1.2.) and

$$R_{\alpha}(\psi, D_{x})b = D_{y}^{\alpha} \left\{ e^{i\phi_{2}(t, x, y, \eta)}b(t, y, \eta) \right\} \Big|_{y=x},$$
  
$$\phi_{2}(t, x, y, \eta) = (x - y)\psi_{x}'(t, x, \eta) - (\psi(t, x, \eta) - \psi(t, y, \eta)).$$

Moreover, put

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} b(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta,$$
$$C(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} c(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} c(\infty,x,\eta) \right) dt \right) d\eta.$$

We have

$$Q \circ B \equiv C.$$

As in section 1, we put

$$\Gamma_q = \{ z \in \Gamma; Z(q) \text{ fails at } z \}$$

and set

$$\Sigma^{-}(q) = \{(x,\xi) \in \Sigma^{-}; Z(q) \text{ fails at } x\}, \ \Sigma^{+}(q) = \{(x,\xi) \in \Sigma^{+}; Z(q) \text{ fails at } x\}.$$

From Proposition 7.7 and Proposition 7.14, we can repeat the method of [18] to get the following

Theorem 7.15. We recall that we work with the assumption that the Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$ . Then there exist

$$A \in L^{-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)), B_{-}, B_{+} \in L^{0}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

such that

WF'(
$$K_{B_{-}}$$
) = diag( $\Sigma^{-}(q) \times \Sigma^{-}(q)$ ),  
WF'( $K_{B_{+}}$ ) = diag( $\Sigma^{+}(n-1-q) \times \Sigma^{+}(n-1-q)$ ) (7.20)

and

$$A\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} + B_{-} + B_{+} \equiv B_{-} + B_{+} + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)} A \equiv I, \qquad (7.21)$$
  
$$\overline{\partial_{\theta}} B_{-} \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial_{\theta}}^{\dagger} B_{-} \equiv 0, \qquad (7.22)$$

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}} B_{-} \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger} B_{-} \equiv 0, \tag{7.22}$$

$$\overline{\partial_{\beta}}B_{+} \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}B_{+} \equiv 0, \tag{7.23}$$

$$B_- \equiv B_-^\dagger \equiv B_-^2, \tag{7.24}$$

$$B_+ \equiv B_+^\dagger \equiv B_+^2, \tag{7.25}$$

where  $B_{-}^{\dagger}$  and  $B_{+}^{\dagger}$  are the formal adjoints of  $B_{-}$  and  $B_{+}$  with respect to []] respectively and

Here  $WF(K_{B_{-}})$  is the wave front set of  $K_{B_{-}}$  in the sense of Hörmander [13]. See Definition A.4 for a review.

*Moreover near* diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ),  $K_{B_-}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$K_{B_-}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_-(x,y)t} b(x,y,t) dt$$

with

$$b(x, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Gamma \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(\Gamma))),$$
  

$$b(x, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x, y) t^{n-1-j} \text{ in } S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Gamma \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(\Gamma))),$$
  

$$b_0(x, x) \neq 0 \text{ if } x \in \Gamma_q, \qquad (7.26)$$

(A formula for  $b_0(x, x)$  will be given in Proposition 7.17.) where  $S_{1,0}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the Hörmander symbol space (see Definition 1.2),

$$b_j(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_y(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_x(\Gamma))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\phi_{-}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma), \tag{7.27}$$

$$\phi_{-}(x,x) = 0, \tag{7.28}$$

$$\phi_{-}(x,y) \neq 0 \quad if \ x \neq y, \tag{7.29}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi_{-}(x, y) \ge 0,$$
 (7.30)

$$d_x \phi_- \neq 0, \ d_y \phi_- \neq 0 \ where \ \text{Im} \phi_- = 0,$$
 (7.31)

$$d_x \phi_{-}(x, y)|_{x=y} = -\omega_0(x), \tag{7.32}$$

$$d_y \phi_{-}(x, y)|_{x=y} = \omega_0(x), \tag{7.33}$$

$$\phi_{-}(x,y) = -\overline{\phi}_{-}(y,x).$$
 (7.34)

*Similarly, near* diag( $\Gamma_{n-1-q} \times \Gamma_{n-1-q}$ ),

$$K_{B_+}(x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_+(x,y)t} c(x,y,t) dt$$

with

$$c(x,y,t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Gamma \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(\Gamma))),$$
  
$$c(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(x,y) t^{n-1-j} \quad in \ S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\Gamma \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(\Gamma))),$$

where

$$c(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_x^*(\Gamma))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and  $-\overline{\phi}_+(x,y)$  satifies (7.27)-(7.34).

We only give the outline of the proof of Theorem 7.15. For all the details, we refer the reader to section 7 and section 8 of [18]. Let

$$a_j(t, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}_0^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

be as in Proposition 7.7. We recall that for some r > 0

$$a_j(t,x,\eta) - a_j(\infty,x,\eta) \in \hat{S}_r^{-j}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let

$$a(\infty, x, \eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\infty, x, \eta) \text{ in } S^0_{1,0}(T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$
(7.35)

Let

$$a(t,x,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(t,x,\eta) \text{ in } \hat{S}^0_0(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))).$$
(7.36)

We take  $a(t, x, \eta)$  so that for every compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , k, there exists c > 0, c is independent of t, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^{\gamma}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_\eta^{\beta}(a(t,x,\eta) - \sum_{j=0}^k a_j(t,x,\eta))\right| \le c(1+\left|\eta\right|)^{-k-1+\gamma-\left|\beta\right|},\tag{7.37}$$

where  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $|\eta| \ge 1$ , and

$$a(t, x, \eta) - a(\infty, x, \eta) \in \hat{S}^0_r(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times T^*(\Omega); \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)))$$

with r > 0.

Choose  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$  so that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  when  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  when  $|\eta| > 2$ . Set

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int \left( \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i(\psi(t,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(t,x,\eta) - e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) \right) (1-\chi(\eta)) dt \right) d\eta.$$
(7.38)

Put

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-1}} \int e^{i(\psi(\infty,x,\eta) - \langle y,\eta \rangle)} a(\infty,x,\eta) d\eta.$$
(7.39)

Since  $a_i(t, x, \eta)$ , j = 0, 1, ..., solve the transport equations (7.6), we can check that

$$B + \Box_{\beta}^{(q)} A \equiv I,$$
$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)} B \equiv 0.$$

From the global theory of Fourier integral operators (see Melin-Sjöstrand [23]), we get

$$K_B \equiv K_{B_-} + K_{B_+},$$

wher  $K_{B_{-}}$  and  $K_{B_{+}}$  are as in Theorem 7.15. By using a partition of unity we get the global result.

*Remark* 7.16. For more properties of the phase  $\phi_{-}(x, y)$ , see section 8 and section 9 of [18].

We can repeat the computation of the leading term of the Szegö projection (see section 9 of [18]), to get the following

#### **Proposition 7.17.** Let $p \in \Gamma_q$ , $q = n_-$ . Let

$$\overline{Z}_1(x),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(x)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_x(\Gamma)$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $e_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_x^*(\Gamma)$ , which is dual to  $Z_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(x)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1, be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_x$ . We assume that

$$\lambda_j(p) < 0$$
 if  $1 \leq j \leq n_-$ .

Then

$$b_0(p,p) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \lambda_1(p) \right| \cdots \left| \lambda_{n-1}(p) \right| \pi^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{j=n_-} e_j(p)^{\wedge} e_j(p)^{\wedge,*},$$

where  $b_0$  is as in (7.26).

In section 8, we need the following

**Proposition 7.18.** Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $B_{-}$  be as in Theorem 7.15. Then,

$$\gamma \overline{\partial} P B_{-} \equiv 0. \tag{7.40}$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 7.15, we know that

$$T\gamma\overline{\partial}PB_{-} = \overline{\partial_{\beta}}B_{-} \equiv 0, \ \gamma\overline{\partial_{f}}^{*}PB_{-} = \overline{\partial_{\beta}}^{\dagger}B_{-} \equiv 0.$$

Combining this with  $(\overline{\partial \partial_f}^* + \overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial}) P = 0$ , we have

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P \gamma \overline{\partial} P B_- = -\gamma \overline{\partial} P \gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P B_- \equiv 0$$

and

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P(I-T) \gamma \overline{\partial} PB_- = \gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P \gamma \overline{\partial} PB_- - \gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* PT \gamma \overline{\partial} PB_- \equiv 0.$$
(7.41)

Combining this with (4.6), we get

$$\gamma \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} R \gamma \overline{\partial} P B_- \equiv 0.$$

Thus,

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} (\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} R \gamma \overline{\partial} P B_- \equiv 0.$$
(7.42)

In view of Proposition 5.2, we know that

$$\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} \overline{\partial_f}^* P(P^*P)^{-1} : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; I^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; I^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is elliptic near  $\Sigma^-$ , where  $I^{0,q}T_z^*(M')$  is as in (5.17). Since

$$WF'(K_{B_{-}}) \subset diag(\Sigma^{-} \times \Sigma^{-}),$$

we get

$$(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} R \gamma \overline{\partial} P B_{-} \equiv 0$$

(See Proposition A.6 and Proposition A.7.) Thus, by (4.6),

$$(I-T)\gamma\overline{\partial}PB_{-}\equiv 0.$$

The proposition follows.

## 8 The Bergman projection

Given q,  $0 \le q \le n-1$ . In this section, we assume that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . In view of Proposition 4.4, we know that

$$\Pi^{(q)}: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to D^{(q)}.$$

Put

$$K = \gamma \Pi^{(q)} P : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$$
(8.1)

Let  $K^{\dagger}$  be the formal adjoint of *K* with respect to []]. That is,

$$\begin{split} & K^{\dagger} : \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)) \\ & [K^{\dagger} u \mid v] = [u \mid Kv], \ u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)), \ v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(\Gamma)). \end{split}$$

Lemma 8.1. We have

$$K^{\dagger}v = Kv,$$

 $v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$ 

*Proof.* For  $u, v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ , we have

$$[Ku | v] = [\gamma \Pi^{(q)} Pu | v]$$
$$= (\Pi^{(q)} Pu | Pv)_M$$
$$= (Pu | \Pi^{(q)} Pv)_M$$
$$= [u | Kv].$$

Thus,

$$K^{\dagger}v = Kv.$$

The lemma follows.

We can extend K to

$$\mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$$

by the following formula

 $[Ku \mid v] = [u \mid K^{\dagger}v], \ u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)), v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma)).$ 

**Lemma 8.2.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . We have

WF(
$$Ku$$
)  $\subset \Sigma^{-}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . We have

$$(\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P)(Ku) = 0.$$

In view of Proposition 5.1, we know that  $\gamma(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}\overline{\partial} P$  is elliptic outside  $\Sigma^-$ . The lemma follows.

**Lemma 8.3.** Let  $B_{-}$  be as in Theorem 7.15. We have

$$B_-K \equiv KB_- \equiv K.$$

*Proof.* Let A,  $B_-$  and  $B_+$  be as in Theorem 7.15. In view of Theorem 7.15, we have

$$B_- + B_+ + A \square_\beta^{(q)} \equiv I.$$

We may replace  $B_+$  by  $I - A \square_{\beta}^{(q)} - B_-$  and get

$$B_- + B_+ + A \square_\beta^{(q)} = I.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\Box_{\beta}^{(q)}K=0.$$

Thus,

$$K = (B_{-} + B_{+} + A \Box_{\beta}^{(q)}) K = (B_{-} + B_{+}) K.$$
(8.2)

Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(\Gamma))$ . From Lemma 8.2, we know that

WF(
$$Ku$$
)  $\subset \Sigma^{-}$ .

Note that

WF'(
$$K_{B_+}$$
)  $\subset$  diag( $\Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+$ ).

Thus,

$$B_+Ku \in C^{\infty}$$
,

so  $B_+K$  is smoothing and

$$(B_- + B_+)K \equiv B_-K.$$

 $K \equiv B_{-}K$ 

From this and (8.2), we get

and

$$K = K^{\dagger} \equiv K^{\dagger} B_{-}^{\dagger} \equiv K B_{-}.$$

The lemma follows.

We pause and introduce some notations. Let *X* and *Y* be  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundles over *M'* and  $\Gamma$  respectively. Let

 $C, D: C^{\infty}(\Gamma; Y) \to \mathscr{D}'(M; X)$ 

with distribution kernels

$$K_C(z, y), K_D(z, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(M \times \Gamma; \mathscr{L}(Y_y, X_z)).$$

We write

$$C \equiv D \mod C^{\infty}(M \times \Gamma)$$

if

$$K_C(z, y) = K_D(z, y) + F(z, y),$$

where  $F(z, y) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma; \mathcal{L}(Y_y, X_z))$ .

Lemma 8.4. We have

$$\Pi^{(q)} P B_{-} \equiv \Pi^{(q)} P \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma).$$
(8.3)

*Proof.* From Lemma 8.3, we have

$$K = \gamma \Pi^{(q)} P \equiv K B_{-} = \gamma \Pi^{(q)} P B_{-}.$$

Thus,

$$\Pi^{(q)} P = P \gamma \Pi^{(q)} P \equiv P \gamma \Pi^{(q)} P B_{-} \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma).$$

We get (8.3).

Put

$$Q = PB_{-}T(P^*P)^{-1}P^*: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}T^*(M')),$$
(8.4)

where T is as in (4.5).

Proposition 8.5. We have

$$Q \equiv \Pi^{(q)} \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\Pi^{(q)}Q = \Pi^{(q)}PB_{-}T(P^{*}P)^{-1}P^{*} \equiv \Pi^{(q)}PT(P^{*}P)^{-1}P^{*} \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$
(8.5)

Here we used (8.3). From (8.5) and the first part of (4.10), we get

$$\Pi^{(q)}Q \equiv \Pi^{(q)} \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$
(8.6)

From Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\Pi^{(q)}Q = (I - \overline{\partial}^* N^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial} - \overline{\partial} N^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}^*)Q,$$

where  $N^{(q+1)}$  and  $N^{(q-1)}$  are as in Theorem 3.6. From (7.40), (7.22) and Lemma 6.2, we see that

 $\overline{\partial} Q \equiv 0, \ \overline{\partial}^* Q \equiv 0 \ \mathrm{mod} \ C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$ 

Thus,

$$\Pi^{(q)}Q = (I - \overline{\partial}^* N^{(q+1)} \overline{\partial} - \overline{\partial} N^{(q-1)} \overline{\partial}^*)Q \equiv Q \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$

From this and (8.6), the proposition follows.

Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n-1})$  be a system of local coordinates on  $\Gamma$  and extend

$$x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}$$

to real smooth functions in some neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . We write

$$(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{2n-1},\theta)$$

to denote the dual variables of  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}, r)$ . We write

$$z = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, r), x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, 0)$$

and

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n-1}), \ \zeta = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n-1}, \theta).$$

Until further notice, we work with the local coordinates z = (x, r) defined on some neighborhood of  $p \in \Gamma$ .

We represent the Riemannian metric on T(M') by

$$h = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} h_{j,k}(z) dx_j \otimes dx_k, \ dx_{2n} = dr,$$

where  $h_{j,k}(z) = h_{k,j}(z)$ , j, k = 1, ..., n, and  $(h_{j,k}(z))_{1 \le j,k \le 2n}$  is positive definite at each point of M'. Put

$$(h_{j,k}(z))_{1\leq j,k\leq 2n}^{-1} = (h^{j,k}(z))_{1\leq j,k\leq 2n}$$

It is well-known (see page 99 of [25]) that

$$\Box_f^{(q)} = -\frac{1}{2} \Big( h^{2n,2n}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} h^{2n,j}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial x_j} + T(r) \Big) + \text{lower order terms, (8.7)}$$

where

$$T(r) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n-1} h^{j,k}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}.$$
(8.8)

Note that

$$T(0) = \Delta_{\Gamma} + \text{lower order terms}$$
(8.9)

and

$$h^{2n,2n}(x) = 1, \ h^{2n,j}(x) = 0, \ j = 1,...,2n-1.$$
 (8.10)

We let the full symbol of  $\Box_f^{(q)}$  be:

full symbol of 
$$\Box_f^{(q)} = \sum_{j=0}^2 q_j(z,\zeta)$$

where  $q_j(z,\zeta)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of order 2 - j in  $\zeta$ . We have the following

**Proposition 8.6.** Let  $\phi_{-} \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$  be as in Theorem 7.15. Then, in some neighborhood U of diag $(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q)$  in  $M' \times M'$ , there exists a smooth function

$$\widetilde{\phi}(z,y) \in C^{\infty}((\overline{M} \times \Gamma) \bigcap U)$$

such that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\phi}(x,y) &= \phi_{-}(x,y), \\ \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\phi} &\geq 0, \\ d_{z} \widetilde{\phi} &\neq 0, \ d_{y} \widetilde{\phi} \neq 0 \quad where \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\phi} = 0, \\ \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\phi} &> 0 \quad if \ r \neq 0, \end{split}$$
(8.11)

and

$$q_0(z,\widetilde{\phi}'_z)$$

vanishes to infinite order on r = 0. We write  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r(z)}$  to denote  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  acting in the *z* variables. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r(z)}\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)|_{r=0} = -i\sqrt{-\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_{-})'_{x})}$$
(8.12)

in some neighborhood of x = y, where

$$\operatorname{Re}\sqrt{-\sigma_{\bigtriangleup_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_{-})'_{x})}>0.$$

*Proof.* From (8.7) and (8.8), we have

$$q_{0}(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}h^{2n,2n}(z)\theta^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1}h^{2n,j}(z)\theta\xi_{j} + g(z,\xi),$$
$$g(x,\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}},$$
(8.13)

where  $g(z, \xi)$  is the principal symbol of  $-\frac{1}{2}T(r)$ .

We consider the Taylor expansion of  $q_0(z, \zeta)$  with respect to r,

$$q_0(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j(x,\xi)r^j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(x,\zeta)\theta r^j.$$
(8.14)

We introduce the Taylor expansion of  $\widetilde{\phi}(z, y)$  with respect to r,

$$\widetilde{\phi}(z,y) = \phi_{-}(x,y) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \phi_{j}(x,y)r^{j}.$$

Let

$$\phi_1(x,y) = -i\sqrt{-\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_-)'_x)}.$$

Since  $(\phi_{-})'_{x}|_{x=y} = -\omega_{0}(x)$  is real, we choose the branch of  $\sqrt{-\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_{-})'_{x})}$  so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\sqrt{-\sigma_{\bigtriangleup_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_{-})'_{x})}>0$$

in some neighborhood of x = y, r = 0. Put

$$\overline{\phi}_1(z,y) = \phi_-(x,y) + r\phi_1(x,y).$$

We have

$$q_0(z,(\widetilde{\phi}_1)'_z) = O(r).$$

Similarly, we can find  $\phi_2(x, y)$  so that

$$q_0(z,(\widetilde{\phi}_2)'_z) = O(r^2),$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}_2(z, y) = \phi_-(x, y) + r\phi_1(x, y) + r^2\phi_2(x, y)$ . Continuing in this way we get the phase  $\tilde{\phi}(z, y)$  such that

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x,y) = \phi_{-}(x,y)$$

and

$$q_0(z,\phi'_z)$$

vanishes to infinite order on r = 0. The proposition follows.

*Remark* 8.7. Let  $\tilde{\phi}(z, y)$  be as in Proposition 8.6 and let

$$d(z, y, t) \in S^m_{1,0}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_y(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$$

with support in some neighborhood of diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ). (For the meaning of the space  $S_{1,0}^m(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')))$ , see Definition 1.2.) Choose a cut-off function  $\chi(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  so that  $\chi(t) = 1$  when |t| < 1 and  $\chi(t) = 0$  when |t| > 2. For all  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ , set

$$(D_{\varepsilon}u)(z) = \int \int_0^\infty e^{i\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t} d(z,y,t) \chi(\varepsilon t) u(y) dt dy$$

Since Im  $\tilde{\phi} \ge 0$  and  $d_y \tilde{\phi} \ne 0$  where Im  $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ , we can integrate by parts in *y*, *t* and obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (D_{\varepsilon} u)(z) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^{*}(M')).$$

This means that

$$D = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} D_{\varepsilon} : C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is continuous. Formally,

$$D(z,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\tilde{\phi}(z,y)t} d(z,y,t) dt.$$

Proposition 8.8. Let

$$B_{-}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi_{-}(x,y)t} b(x,y,t) dt$$

be as in Theorem 7.15. We have

$$PB_{-}(z,y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t} \widetilde{b}(z,y,t) dt \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma)$$

with

$$\widetilde{b}(z,y,t) \in S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))),$$
$$\widetilde{b}(z,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_j(z,y) t^{n-1-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^{n-1}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')))$ , where

$$\widetilde{b}_j(z,y) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof. Put

$$b(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x,y) t^{n-1-j}$$

and formally set

$$\widetilde{b}(z,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_j(z,y) t^{n-1-j}$$

We notice that

$$B_{-}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_{q} \times \Gamma_{q}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(\Gamma), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(\Gamma))).$$

For simplicity, we may assume that b(x, y, t) = 0 outside some small neighborhood of diag $(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q)$ . Put

$$\Box_f^{(q)}(\widetilde{b}(z,y,t)e^{i\widetilde{\phi}t}) = \widetilde{c}(z,y,t)e^{i\widetilde{\phi}t}.$$

From (7.29) and (8.11), we know that near diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ),  $\tilde{\phi}(z, y) = 0$  if and only if x = y, r = 0. From this observation, we see that if  $\tilde{c}(z, y, t)$  vanishes to infinite order on diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ )  $\times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ , we can integrate by parts and obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^\infty e^{i\widetilde{\phi}t} \widetilde{c}(z, y, t) \chi(\varepsilon t) dt \equiv 0 \mod C^\infty(\overline{M} \times \Gamma),$$

where  $\chi(t)$  is as in Remark 8.7. Thus, we only need to consider the Taylor expansion of  $\tilde{b}(z, y, t)$  on x = y, r = 0. We introduce the asymptotic expansion of  $\Box_f^{(q)}(\tilde{b}e^{i\tilde{\phi}t})$ . Setting

$$\Box_f^{(q)}(\widetilde{b}e^{i\widetilde{\phi}t}) \sim 0$$

and regrouping the terms according to the degree of homogeneity. We obtain the transport equations

$$\begin{cases} T(z, y, \partial_z) \widetilde{b}_0(z, y) = 0 \\ T(z, y, \partial_z) \widetilde{b}_j(z, y) + l_j(z, y, \widetilde{b}_0(z, y), \dots, \widetilde{b}_{j-1}(z, y)) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$
(8.15)

Here

$$T(z, y, \partial_z) = -i \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \xi_j} (z, \widetilde{\phi}'_z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \theta} (z, \widetilde{\phi}'_z) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + R(z, y),$$

where

$$R(z,y) = q_1(z,\tilde{\phi}'_z) + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 q_0(z,\tilde{\phi}'_z)}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \ x_{2n} = r, \ \xi_{2n} = \theta,$$

and  $l_j$  is a linear differential operator acting on  $\tilde{b}_0(z, y), \dots, \tilde{b}_{j-1}(z, y)$ .

We introduce the Taylor expansion of  $\tilde{b}_0(z, y)$  with respect to r,

$$\widetilde{b}_0(z,y) = b_0(x,y) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_0^j(x,y) r^j.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \theta}|_{r=0} = \theta$$

and

$$\widetilde{\phi}_r'|_{r=0} = -i\sqrt{-\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}(x,(\phi_-)_x')},$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \theta}(z, \widetilde{\phi}'_z)|_{r=0} \neq 0$$

in some neighborhood of x = y. Thus, we can find  $b_0^1(x, y)r$  such that

$$T(z, y, \partial_z)(b_0(x, y) + b_0^1(x, y)r) = O(|r|)$$

in some neighborhood of r = 0, x = y. We can repeat the procedure above to find  $b_0^2(x, y)$  such that

$$T(z, y, \partial_z)(b_0(x, y) + \sum_{k=1}^2 b_0^k(x, y)r^k) = O(|r|^2)$$

in some neighborhood of r = 0, x = y. Continuing in this way we solve the first transport equation to infinite order at r = 0, x = y.

For the second transport equation, we can repeat the method above to solve the second transport equation to infinite order at r = 0, x = y. Continuing in this way we solve (8.15) to infinite order at r = 0, x = y.

Put

$$\widetilde{B}(z,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t} \widetilde{b}(z,y,t) dt.$$

From the construction above, we see that

$$\Box_f^{(q)}\widetilde{B} \equiv 0 \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma), \ \gamma \widetilde{B} \equiv B_-.$$
(8.16)

It is well-known (see chapter XX of [14]) that there exists

$$G: C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

such that

$$G\Box_f^{(q)} + P\gamma = I \text{ on } C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$
(8.17)

From this and (8.16), we have

$$\widetilde{B} = (G \Box_f^{(q)} + P\gamma) \widetilde{B} \equiv PB_- \mod C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma).$$

The proposition follows.

From Proposition 8.8, we have

$$C(z,y) := PB_{-}T(P^*P)^{-1}(z,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t} c(z,y,t)dt \mod C^\infty(\overline{M} \times \Gamma)$$

with

$$c(z, y, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n}(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'))),$$
$$c(z, y, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j}(z, y) t^{n-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \Gamma \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_y(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M')))$ . Let

 $C^*: C^\infty(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q}\,T^*(M')) \to \mathcal{D}'(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q}\,T^*(M'))$ 

be the operator defined by

$$(C^*u \mid v)_{\Gamma} = (u \mid Cv)_M, \ u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), v \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

The distribution kernel of  $C^*$  is

$$C^{*}(y,z) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i\overline{\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t}} c^{*}(y,z,t) dt \mod C^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \overline{M})$$
(8.18)

where

$$\begin{split} c^{*}(y,z,t) &\in S_{1,0}^{n}(\Gamma \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(M'))), \\ (c^{*}(y,z,t)\mu \mid v) &= (\mu \mid c(y,z,t)v), \ \mu \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'), \ v \in \Lambda^{0,q} T_{y}^{*}(M'), \\ c^{*}(y,z,t) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j}^{*}(y,z)t^{n-j} \end{split}$$

in  $S_{1,0}^n(\Gamma \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_y^*(M')))$ . The oscillatory integral (8.18) is defined as follows: Let  $u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ . Set

$$(C_{\varepsilon}^*u)(y) = \int \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\widetilde{\phi}(z,y)t} c^*(y,z,t) \chi(\varepsilon t) u(z) dt dz,$$

where  $\chi$  is as in Remark 8.7. Since  $d_x \tilde{\phi} \neq 0$  where Im  $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ , we can integrate by parts in *x* and *t* and obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (C^*_{\varepsilon} u)(y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')).$$

This means that

$$C^* = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} C^*_{\varepsilon} : C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(\Gamma; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$$

is continuous.

We can repeat the proof of Proposition 8.6 to find

$$\phi(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M})$$

such that

$$\phi(z, y) = \widetilde{\phi}(z, y),$$
  
Im  $\phi \ge 0,$   
Im  $\phi > 0$  if  $(z, w) \notin \Gamma \times \Gamma$ 

and

$$q_0(w, -\overline{\phi}'_w)$$

vanishes to infinite order on r = 0. Since  $\phi_{-}(x, y) = -\overline{\phi}_{-}(y, x)$ , we can take  $\phi(z, w)$  so that

$$\phi(z,w) = -\phi(w,z).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 8.8, we can find

$$a^*(w,z,t) \in S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times [0,\infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'))),$$
$$a^*(w,z,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j^*(w,z)t^{n-j}$$

in  $S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M')))$ , such that

$$a^*(y,z,t) = c^*(y,z,t)$$

and

$$\Box_f^{(q)}(a^*(w,z,t)e^{-i\overline{\phi}(z,w)t})$$

vanishes to infinite order on diag $(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ . From (8.17), we have

$$PC^*(w,z) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{-i\overline{\phi}(z,w)t} a^*(w,z,t) dt \mod C^\infty(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} CP^*(z,w) &= PB_- T(P^*P)^{-1}P^*(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} a(z,w,t)dt \mod C^\infty(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}), \\ a(z,w,t) &\in S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times [0,\infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))), \\ a(z,w,t) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(z,w)t^{n-j} \end{split}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M')))$ . From this and Proposition 8.5, we get the main result of this work

**Theorem 8.9.** Given  $q, 0 \le q \le n-1$ . Suppose that Z(q) fails at some point of  $\Gamma$  and that Z(q-1) and Z(q+1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))).$$

Moreover, in a neighborhood U of diag( $\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q$ ),  $K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z, w)$  satisfies

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} a(z,w,t) dt \mod C^\infty(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}))$$
(8.19)

with

$$a(z, w, t) \in S_{1,0}^n(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_w^*(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_z^*(M'))),$$

$$a(z, w, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z, w) t^{n-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))),$ 

$$a_0(z,z)\neq 0, z\in\Gamma_q,$$

where

$$a_j(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))), \ j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$\phi(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(U \bigcap(\overline{M} \times \overline{M})), \tag{8.20}$$

$$\phi(z,z) = 0, \ z \in \Gamma_q, \tag{8.21}$$

$$\phi(z, w) \neq 0 \quad if(z, w) \notin \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_q \times \Gamma_q), \tag{8.22}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\phi \ge 0,\tag{8.23}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \phi(z, w) > 0 \quad if(z, w) \notin \Gamma \times \Gamma, \tag{8.24}$$

$$\phi(z,w) = -\overline{\phi}(w,z). \tag{8.25}$$

For  $p \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\Box_{f}^{(q)}}(z, d_{z}\phi(z, w)) \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } z = p,$$

$$(z, w) \text{ is in some neighborhood of } (p, p) \text{ in } M'. \tag{8.26}$$

For z = w,  $z \in \Gamma_q$ , we have

$$d_z \phi = -\omega_0 - i \, dr,$$
$$d_w \phi = \omega_0 - i \, dr.$$

As before, we put

$$B_{-}(x,y) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\phi_{-}(x,y)t} b(x,y,t) dt,$$
  
$$b(x,y,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j}(x,y) t^{n-1-j},$$

and

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}}(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} a(z,w,t) dt$$
$$a(z,w,t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(z,w) t^{n-j}.$$

Since  $\Pi^{(q)} \equiv PB_{-}T(P^{*}P)^{-1}P^{*}$ ,

$$(P^*P)^{-1} = 2\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}} + \text{lower order terms}$$

and

$$T = 2(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial} r)^{\wedge} + \text{lower order terms},$$

we have

$$a_0(x,x) = 2\sigma_{\sqrt{-\Delta_{\Gamma}}}(x,(\phi_-)'_y(x,x))b_0(x,x)2(\overline{\partial}r(x))^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial}r(x))^{\wedge}, x \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $(\phi_{-})'_{y}(x,x) = \omega_{0}(x)$  and  $||\omega_{0}|| = 1$  on  $\Gamma$ , it follows that

$$a_0(x,x) = 4b_0(x,x)(\overline{\partial}r(x))^{\wedge,*}(\overline{\partial}r(x))^{\wedge}.$$
(8.27)

From this and Proposition 7.17, we get the following

**Proposition 8.10.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 8.9, let  $p \in \Gamma_q$ ,  $q = n_-$ . Let

$$\overline{Z}_1(z),\ldots,\overline{Z}_{n-1}(z)$$

be an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{1,0}T_z(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , for which the Levi form is diagonalized at p. Let  $e_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 denote the basis of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_z^*(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ , which is dual to  $Z_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1. Let  $\lambda_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., n - 1 be the eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_z$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$ . We assume that

$$\lambda_j(p) < 0$$
 if  $1 \le j \le n_-$ .

Then

$$a_0(p,p) = \left|\lambda_1(p)\right| \cdots \left|\lambda_{n-1}(p)\right| \pi^{-n} 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{j=n-} e_j(p)^{\wedge} e_j^{\wedge,*}(p)\right) \circ (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge,*} (\overline{\partial} r(p))^{\wedge}.$$
(8.28)

## 9 Examples

The aim of this section is to illustrate the results in some simple examples. First, we will show that when M' is Kähler (see below), then  $F^{(q)}$  is injective for any q,  $0 \le q \le n$ . We recall that  $F^{(q)}$  is defined by (1.9).

As before, let  $g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  be the Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^{1,0} T(M')$ and let

$$\omega = i \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k$$

be the associated real (1,1)-form. We call g a Kähler metric if  $d\omega = 0$ .  $\omega$  is then called its Kähler form. A complex manifold endowed with a Kähler metric is called a Kähler manifold.

Let

$$\partial: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p+1,q} T^*(M'))$$

be the part of the exterior differential operator which maps forms of type (p,q) to forms of type (p+1,q) and we denote by

$$\partial_f^*: C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p+1,q} T^*(M')) \to C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M'))$$

the formal adjoint of  $\partial$ . The following is well-known (see page 113 of Morrow and Kodaira [25])

#### **Proposition 9.1.** If M' is Kähler, then

$$\partial \,\partial_f^* + \partial_f^* \partial = \overline{\partial} \,\,\overline{\partial_f}^* + \overline{\partial_f}^* \overline{\partial}$$

on the space  $C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p,q} T^*(M')), 0 \le p, q \le n$ .

Note that

$$\partial_f^* u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M')), \ \overline{\partial} u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p,n} T^*(M'))$$

and

$$\overline{\partial_f}^* u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{p,0}T^*(M')), \ \partial u = 0, \ u \in C^{\infty}(M'; \Lambda^{n,q}T^*(M')).$$

Now, we assume that M' is Kähler. We claim that  $F^{(q)}$  is injective, for any q,  $0 \le q \le n$ . Given q,  $0 \le q \le n$ . Let  $u \in \operatorname{Ker} F^{(q)}$ . Then,  $u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}; \Lambda^{0,q} T^*(M'))$ ,  $\overline{\partial} u = 0$ ,  $\overline{\partial}_f^* u = 0$  and  $\gamma u = 0$ . From Proposition 9.1, we can check that

$$\partial u = 0.$$

We work with local coordinates  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$  defined on some neighborhood of  $p \in \Gamma$ . We write

$$u = \sum_{J} u_{J} d\overline{z}^{J}, \ J = (j_{1}, \dots, j_{q}), \ 1 \le j_{1} < j_{2} < \dots < j_{q} \le n.$$

Since  $\partial u = 0$ , for any *J*,

$$\frac{\partial u_J}{\partial z_j} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n.$$

 $\overline{u}_J$  is holomorphic and  $\gamma \overline{u}_J = 0$ . Thus,  $u_J = 0$  and consequently u = 0. We have proved the claim.

### 9.1 Complex projective space

For a point  $(\xi_0, \xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus (0, 0, ..., 0)$ ,

$$\xi = \{(\lambda \xi_0, \lambda \xi_1, \dots, \lambda \xi_n); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is a complex line through 0 = (0, 0, ..., 0). The collection of all complex lines through 0 is called the *n*-dimensional complex projective space and denoted by  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . A point  $\xi$  of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  represents a complex line

$$\xi = \{(\lambda \xi_0, \ldots, \lambda \xi_n); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

 $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n$  are called the homogeneous coordinates of  $\xi \in \mathbb{CP}^n$  and denoted by  $\xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$ . The equality

$$(\xi_0',\ldots,\xi_n') = (\xi_0,\ldots,\xi_n)$$

means that  $\xi'_0 = \lambda \xi_0, \dots, \xi'_n = \lambda \xi_n$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Put

$$U_j = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{CP}^n; \, \xi_j \neq 0 \right\}.$$
(9.1)

 $\xi \in U_i$  is represented as

$$\xi = \left(\frac{\xi_0}{\xi_j}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{j-1}}{\xi_j}, 1, \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\xi_j}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_j}\right).$$

The map

$$z^{j}: \xi \to z^{j}(\xi) = \left(\frac{\xi_{0}}{\xi_{j}}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{j-1}}{\xi_{j}}, \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\xi_{j}}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{n}}{\xi_{j}}\right)$$
$$= (z_{1}, \dots, z_{n})$$
(9.2)

gives local coordinates on  $U_j$  where  $\mathscr{U}_j = z^j(U_j) = \mathbb{C}^n$ . If

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in z^j (U_j \bigcap U_k), \ j > k,$$

then  $z_k \neq 0$ . The coordinates transformations

$$\tau_{k,j}: z^{j}(U_{j} \bigcap U_{k}) \to z^{k}(U_{j} \bigcap U_{k}),$$

$$(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}) \to (\frac{z_{1}}{z_{k}}, \dots, \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_{k}}, \frac{z_{k+1}}{z_{k}}, \dots, \frac{z_{j-1}}{z_{k}}, \frac{1}{z_{k}}, \frac{z_{j+1}}{z_{k}}, \dots, \frac{z_{n}}{z_{k}})$$
(9.3)

are biholomorphic.  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  is a complex manifold obtained by glueing the (n + 1)copies of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via the isomorphisms (9.3).

On  $U_v$ , put

$$a_{\nu}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi_{\nu}|^2} (|\xi_0|^2 + \dots + |\xi_n|^2), \quad \xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n).$$
(9.4)

Now, we work with local coordinates

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\frac{\xi_0}{\xi_v}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{v-1}}{\xi_v}, \frac{\xi_{v+1}}{\xi_v}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_v})$$
(9.5)

defined on  $U_v$ . Then,

$$a_v(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2.$$

We can check that

$$\partial \overline{\partial} \log a_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_{j} \wedge d\overline{z}_{k}$$

where

$$g_{j,k} = \frac{1}{a_{\nu}(z)^2} (a_{\nu}(z)\delta_{j,k} - \overline{z}_j z_k).$$
(9.6)

Here  $\delta_{j,k} = 0$  if  $j \neq k$ ,  $\delta_{j,k} = 1$  if j = k. The Hermitian metric  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  is easily seen to be positive definite. Note that

$$a_{\nu}(z) = |e_{\nu,\mu}(z)|^2 a_{\mu}(z), \ e_{\nu,\mu} = \frac{\xi_{\mu}}{\xi_{\nu}} \text{ on } U_{\nu} \bigcap U_{\mu}$$

 $e_{v,\mu}$  is a non-vanishing holomorphic function. Hence

$$\partial \overline{\partial} \log a_{\nu}(z) = \partial \overline{\partial} \log a_{\mu}(z) \text{ on } U_{\nu} \bigcap U_{\mu}.$$

Thus,  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  gives a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . Its associated real (1, 1)-form is given by

$$\omega = i\partial \partial \log a_v(z)$$
  
=  $id\overline{\partial} \log a_v(z)$  on  $U_v$ 

since  $d = \partial + \overline{\partial}$ . Therefore  $d\omega = 0$  and  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} g_{j,k} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$  is a Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ .

Now, we fix the Hermitian metric above on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ . Let

$$r(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} (-\lambda_0 |\xi_0|^2 - \lambda_1 |\xi_1|^2 - \dots - \lambda_{k_0} |\xi_{k_0}|^2 + \lambda_{k_0+1} |\xi_{k_0+1}|^2 + \dots + \lambda_n |\xi_n|^2),$$

where  $|\xi|^2 = |\xi_0|^2 + \dots + |\xi_n|^2$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Put

$$\Gamma = \{\xi \in \mathbb{CP}^n; r(\xi) = 0\}, M = \{\xi \in \mathbb{CP}^n; r(\xi) < 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $dr \neq 0$  at each point of  $\Gamma$ .

#### **Lemma 9.2.** $\Gamma$ *is connected.*

*Proof.* Let 
$$\xi = (\xi_0, ..., \xi_n), \ \xi' = (\xi'_0, ..., \xi'_n) \in \Gamma$$
. We have  
 $\lambda_0 |\xi_0|^2 + \lambda_1 |\xi_1|^2 + \dots + \lambda_{k_0} |\xi_{k_0}|^2 = \lambda_{k_0+1} |\xi_{k_0+1}|^2 + \dots + \lambda_n |\xi_n|^2$ 

and

$$\lambda_{0} \left| \xi_{0}^{\prime} \right|^{2} + \lambda_{1} \left| \xi_{1}^{\prime} \right|^{2} + \dots + \lambda_{k_{0}} \left| \xi_{k_{0}}^{\prime} \right|^{2} = \lambda_{k_{0}+1} \left| \xi_{k_{0}+1}^{\prime} \right|^{2} + \dots + \lambda_{n} \left| \xi_{n}^{\prime} \right|^{2}.$$

Since  $\mathbb C$  is connected, we can find continuous curves

$$c_j(t): [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad j=0,1,\ldots,n,$$

such that

$$c_j(0) = \xi_j, \ c_j(1) = \xi'_j, \ j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Put

$$d(t)^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{0} |c_{0}(t)|^{2} + \dots + \lambda_{k_{0}} |c_{k_{0}}(t)|^{2}}{\lambda_{k_{0}+1} |c_{k_{0}+1}(t)|^{2} + \dots + \lambda_{n} |c_{n}(t)|^{2}}.$$

Then,

$$d(0) = d(1) = 1$$

Put

$$c(t): [0,1] \to \Gamma$$
  
$$t \to (c_0(t), \dots, c_{k_0}(t), d(t)c_{k_0+1}(t), \dots, d(t)c_n(t)).$$

Then,

$$c(0) = \xi, c(1) = \xi'.$$

The lemma follows.

Now, we work with local coordinates

$$(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_0},\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_0},\ldots,\frac{\xi_n}{\xi_0})$$

defined on  $U_0$ . Then,

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{a_0(z)} (-\lambda_0 - \lambda_1 |z_1|^2 - \dots - \lambda_{k_0} |z_{k_0}|^2 + \lambda_{k_0+1} |z_{k_0+1}|^2 + \dots + \lambda_n |z_n|^2),$$

where  $a_0(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$ . Let  $U = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ ,  $V = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$ ,  $p \in \Gamma$ . From (2.33), we have

$$L_{p}(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{a_{0}(p) \left\| dr(p) \right\|} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^{k_{0}} \lambda_{j} u_{j} \overline{w}_{j} + \sum_{j=k_{0}+1}^{n} \lambda_{j} u_{j} \overline{w}_{j} \right).$$
(9.7)

We notice that  $U = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j} u_j = -\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \lambda_j \overline{z}_j u_j + \sum_{k_0+1}^{n} \lambda_j \overline{z}_j u_j = 0, \quad p = (z_1, \dots, z_n).$$
(9.8)

**Proposition 9.3.** The Levi form is non-degenerate at each point of  $\Gamma$  and we have  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{k_0}$ . That is, the number of negative eigenvalues of the Levi form is  $k_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \Gamma$  and let  $U = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$ . If  $L_p(U, \overline{W}) = 0$  for all  $W \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_p(\Gamma)$ , from (9.7), (9.8), we see that

$$(u_1,\ldots,u_n)=c(z_1,\ldots,z_n), \ c\in\mathbb{C}.$$

From (9.8), we have

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}\lambda_j\overline{z}_ju_j+\sum_{k_0+1}^n\lambda_j\overline{z}_ju_j=c(-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}\lambda_j|z_j|^2+\sum_{k_0+1}^n\lambda_j|z_j|^2)=\lambda_0c=0.$$

Thus, c = 0 and consequently the Levi form is non-degenerate at p.

We compute the signature of the Levi form at

$$z_0 = (0, \ldots, 0, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n}}) \in \Gamma.$$

From (9.8), we have

$$\Lambda^{1,0}T_{z_0}(\Gamma) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}; u_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

For  $U = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ ,  $V = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \in \Lambda^{1,0} T_{z_0}(\Gamma)$ , we have

$$L_{z_0}(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{a_0(z_0) ||dr(z_0)||} (-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \lambda_j u_j \overline{w}_j + \sum_{j=k_0+1}^{n-1} \lambda_j u_j \overline{w}_j).$$

Thus, the number of negative eigenvalues of  $L_{z_0}$  is  $k_0$ . The proposition follows.

From Theorem 1.3, we know that if  $q \neq k_0$ , then

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_{w}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_{z}(M'))).$$

If  $q = k_0$ , then Z(q - 1) and Z(q + 1) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . From Theorem 1.3, we have

$$K_{\Pi^{(q)}} \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt \ \operatorname{mod} C^\infty(\overline{M} \times \overline{M})$$

with

$$b(z, w, t) \in S_{1,0}^{n}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T_{w}^{*}(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T_{z}^{*}(M'))),$$
$$b(z, w, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j}(z, w) t^{n-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,q} T^*_w(M'), \Lambda^{0,q} T^*_z(M'))),$ 

 $b_0(z,z) \neq 0, z \in \Gamma.$ 

We continue to work with local coordinates

$$(z_1,...,z_n) = (\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_0}, \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_0}, ..., \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_0})$$

defined on  $U_0$ . We study the leading term of  $K_{\Pi^{(q)}}$  at

$$z_0 = (0, \ldots, 0, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n}}).$$

It is straight forward to see that

$$g(z_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_0(z_0)} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_j + \frac{1}{a_0(z_0)^2} dz_n \otimes d\overline{z}_n,$$
$$\|dr(z_0)\|^2 = 2\lambda_n \lambda_0$$

and

$$\overline{\partial} r(z_0) = \frac{1}{a_0(z_0)} \sqrt{\lambda_0 \lambda_n} d\overline{z}_n,$$

where

$$a_0(z_0) = \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_0}{\lambda_n}.$$

We can check that

$$\sqrt{a_0(z_0)}rac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_1},\ldots,\sqrt{a_0(z_0)}rac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_{n-1}}$$

is an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_{z_0}(\Gamma)$  and the eigenvalues of  $L_{z_0}$  are

$$-\frac{1}{\|dr(z_0)\|}\lambda_1,\ldots,-\frac{1}{\|dr(z_0)\|}\lambda_{k_0},\frac{1}{\|dr(z_0)\|}\lambda_{k_0+1},\ldots,\frac{1}{\|dr(z_0)\|}\lambda_n.$$

Put

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0(z_0)}} d\overline{z}_1, \dots, e_{n-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0(z_0)}} d\overline{z}_{n-1}.$$

From Proposition 1.7, we have

$$b_0(z_0, z_0) = 2 |\lambda_1| \cdots |\lambda_{n-1}| |dr(z_0)|^{-(n+1)} \pi^{-n} \Big( \prod_{j=1}^{k_0} e_j^{\wedge, *} \Big) \circ (\overline{\partial} r(z_0))^{\wedge, *} (\overline{\partial} r(z_0))^{\wedge} .$$

## **9.2** Spherical shell in $\mathbb{C}^n$

Consider the spherical shell

$$M = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n; R_0 < |z| < R_1 \},\$$

where  $0 < R_0 < R_1$ ,  $n \ge 3$  and

$$|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}.$$

We take the Hermitian metric

$$g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} dz_j \otimes d\overline{z}_k$$

on  $\Lambda^{1,0} T(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . The Levi form of

$$\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z| = R_0\} \bigcup \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z| = R_1\}$$

has n-1 positive eigenvalues at the outer boundary but n-1 negative eigenvalues at the inner one. We consider (0, n-1) forms. Since  $n \ge 3$ , Z(n-2) and Z(n) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ . From Theorem 1.3, we know that

$$K_{\Pi^{(n-1)}} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \overline{M} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\Gamma_{n-1} \times \Gamma_{n-1}); \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,n-1} T_w^*(\mathbb{C}^n), \Lambda^{0,n-1} T_z^*(\mathbb{C}^n))).$$

In a neighborhood *U* of diag( $\Gamma_{n-1} \times \Gamma_{n-1}$ ),  $K_{\Pi^{(n-1)}}(z, w)$  satisfies

$$K_{\Pi^{(n-1)}}(z,w) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{i\phi(z,w)t} b(z,w,t) dt \mod C^\infty(U\bigcap(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}))$$
(9.9)

with

$$b(z, w, t) \in S_{1,0}^n(U\bigcap(\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0, n-1}T_w^*(\mathbb{C}^n), \Lambda^{0, n-1}T_z^*(\mathbb{C}^n))),$$
$$b(z, w, t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j(z, w)t^{n-j}$$

in the space  $S_{1,0}^n(U \cap (\overline{M} \times \overline{M}) \times ]0, \infty[; \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,n-1}T_w^*(\mathbb{C}^n), \Lambda^{0,n-1}T_z^*(\mathbb{C}^n))),$ 

 $b_0(z,z) \neq 0, z \in \Gamma_{n-1}.$ 

Put

$$r(z) = \sqrt{2}(R_0 - |z|)$$
 near  $\Gamma_{n-1}$ .

We have dr = 1 and

$$\overline{\partial} r = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|z|}(z_1 d\overline{z}_1 + \dots + z_n d\overline{z}_n).$$

We consider

$$p=(0,\ldots,0,R_0)\in\Gamma_{n-1}$$

We can check that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_{n-1}}$$

is an orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda^{0,1}T_p(\Gamma)$  and the eigenvalues of  $L_p$  are

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}R_0},\ldots,-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}R_0}.$$

From Proposition 1.7, we have

$$b_0(p,p) = (\sqrt{2}R_0)^{-(n-1)} \pi^{-n} \Big( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j^{\wedge} d\overline{z}_j^{\wedge,*} \Big) \circ (d\overline{z}_n^{\wedge,*} d\overline{z}_n^{\wedge}).$$

# A Appendix: The wave front set of a distribution, a review

We will give a brief discussion of wave front set in a setting appropriate for our purpose. For more details on the subject, see Hörmander [14], Hörmander [16] and Grigis-Sjöstrand [11]. Our presentation is essentially taken from [11]. For all the proofs of this section, we refer the reader to chapter 7 of [11], chapter *VIII* of [14] and chapter *XVIII* of [16].

We will asume the reader is familiar with some basic notions and facts of microlocal analysis such as: Hörmander symbol spaces, pseudodifferential operators. Nevertheless we recall briefly some of this notions.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. From now on, we write  $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\partial_x^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $D_x^{\alpha} = D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $D_{x_j} = -i\partial_{x_j}$ . We have the following

**Definition A.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $S_{1,0}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is the space of all  $a \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that for all compact sets  $K \subset \Omega$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c(1+|\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}, (x,\xi) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

 $S_{1,0}^m$  is called the space of symbols of order *m*. We write  $S_{1,0}^{-\infty} = \bigcap S_{1,0}^m, S_{1,0}^{\infty} = \bigcup S_{1,0}^m$ .

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ ,  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$  be open sets. We recall that the Schwartz kernel theorem(see Hörmander [16]) states that there is a bijection between the set of distributions  $K \in \mathcal{D}'(Y \times Z)$  and the set of continuous linear operators

$$A: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y).$$

The correspondence is given by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_Y = \langle K, v \otimes u \rangle_{Y \times Z}, u \in C_0^{\infty}(Z), v \in C_0^{\infty}(Y),$$

where  $\langle , \rangle_Y$  and  $\langle , \rangle_{Y \times Z}$  denote the duality brackes for  $\mathscr{D}'(Y) \times C_0^{\infty}(Y)$  and  $\mathscr{D}'(Y \times Z) \times C_0^{\infty}(Y \times Z)$  respectively and  $(v \otimes u)(y, z) = v(y)u(z)$ . We call *K* the distribution kernel of *A*, and write  $K = K_A$ . Moreover, the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) 
$$K_A \in C^{\infty}(Y \times Z)$$
,

(ii) A is continuous 
$$\mathscr{E}'(Z) \to C^{\infty}(Y)$$
.

If *A* satisfies (i) or (ii), we say that *A* is smoothing. Let *B* be a continuous linear operator

$$B: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y).$$

We write  $A \equiv B$  if A - B is a smoothing operator.

In order to simplify the discussion of composition of some operators, it is convenient to introduce the notion of properly supported operators. Let *C* be a closed subset of  $Y \times Z$ . We say that *C* is proper if the two projections

$$\Pi_{y}: (y, z) \in C \to y \in Y$$
$$\Pi_{z}: (y, z) \in C \to z \in Z$$

are proper, that is the inverse image of every compact subset of *Y* and *Z* respectively is compact.

A continuous linear operator

$$A: C_0^\infty(Z) \to \mathscr{D}'(Y)$$

is said to be properly supported if supp  $K_A \subset Y \times Z$  is proper. If *A* is properly supported, then *A* is continuous

$$C_0^\infty(Z) \mathop{\rightarrow} \mathscr{E}'(Y)$$

and A has a unique continuous extension

$$C^{\infty}(Z) \to \mathcal{D}'(Y).$$

**Definition A.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . A pseudodifferential operator of order k type (1,0) is a continuous linear map

$$A: C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$$

such that the distribution kernel of A is

$$K_A = A(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

with  $a \in S_{1,0}^k(T^*(\Omega))$ . We shall write  $L_{1,0}^k(\Omega)$  to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k type (1,0).

#### Definition A.3. Let

$$A = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) d\xi \in L^m_{1,0}(\Omega), \ a \in S^m_{1,0}(T^*(\Omega))$$

Then *A* is said to be elliptic at  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  if

$$ab - 1 \in S_{1,0}^{-1}(T^*(\Omega))$$

in a conic neighborhood of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  for some  $b \in S_{1,0}^{-m}(T^*(\Omega))$ .

From now on, all pseudofifferential operators in this section will be assumed properly supported.

**Definition A.4.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ ,  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$ . We say that u is  $C^{\infty}$  near  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  if there exists  $A \in L^0_{1,0}(\Omega)$  elliptic at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , such that  $Au \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We let WF(u) be the set of points in  $T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$ , where u is not  $C^{\infty}$ .

**Lemma A.5.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . Then  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $WF(u) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $K \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times \Omega)$ . Put

**Proposition A.6.** Let

$$A = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) d\xi \in L^m_{1,0}(\Omega), \ a \in S^m_{1,0}(T^*(\Omega)).$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be the smallest closed cone in  $T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0$  such that for any  $\chi \in C^{\infty}(T^*(\Omega))$ ,  $\chi(x, \lambda\xi) = \chi(x, \xi)$  and  $\chi = 0$  in some conic neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\chi a \in S^{-\infty}_{1,0}(T^*(\Omega)).$$

Then

WF'(
$$K_A$$
) = diag( $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ ).

*Moreover, let*  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ *. Then,* 

$$WF(Au) \subset \Lambda \bigcap WF(u).$$

**Proposition A.7.** *Let* 

$$\mathscr{K}: C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$$

with distribution kernel  $K \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times \Omega)$ . We assume that

WF'(K) 
$$\subset \{(x, \xi, x, \xi); (x, \xi) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus 0\}.$$

Then, there is a unique way of defining  $\mathcal{K} u$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$  so that the map

$$u \in \mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{K} u \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$$

is continuous. Moreover, we have

$$WF(\mathcal{K}u) \subset \{(x,\xi); (x,\xi,x,\xi) \in WF'(K)$$
for some  $(x,\xi) \in WF(u)\}.$ 

## References

- [1] R. Berman, *Bergman kernel asymptotics and holomorphic Morse inequalities*, Ph.D. thesis, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden, April 2006.
- [2] R. Berman and J. Sjöstrand, *Asymptotics for Bergman-Hodge kernels for high powers of complex line bundles*, arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0511158.
- [3] S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.

- [4] L. Boutet de Monvel, Comportement d'un opérateur pseudo-différentiel sur une variété à bord I et II, J. Anal. Math. 17 (1966), 241–304.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 11–51.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 585–639.
- [7] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque **34-35** (1976), 123–164.
- [8] C. Fefferman, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math (1974), no. 26, 1–65.
- [9] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972.
- [10] P. C. Greiner and E. M. Stein, *Estimates for the ∂*-Neumann problem, Math. Notes, no. 19, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1977.
- [11] A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal analysis for differential operators. An introduction*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 196, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [12] L. Hörmander,  $L^2$  estimates and existence theorems for the  $\overline{\partial}$  operator, Acta Math (1965), no. 113, 89–152.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, Fourier integral operators, I, Acta Math. 127 (1971), 79–183.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, The analysis of linear partial differential operators III pseudodifferential operators, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 274, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North-Holland mathematical library, North Holland Publ.Co, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I distribution theory and fourier analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_, The null space of the  $\overline{\partial}$  -neumann operator, Ann. Inst. Fourier (2004), no. 54, 1305–1369.

- [18] C.-Y. Hsiao, The Szegö projection, in preparation (2008).
- [19] J. Kelley, *General Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 27, Springer-verlag, New York, NY, 1975.
- [20] K. Kodaira, *Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures*, classics in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [21] J.J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, i,* Ann of Math (1963), no. 78, 112–148.
- [22] \_\_\_\_\_, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, ii*, Ann of Math (1964), no. 79, 450–472.
- [23] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions, Springer Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 459, pp. 120–223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [24] A. Menikoff and J. Sjöstrand, *On the eigenvalues of a class of hypoelliptic operators*, Math. Ann. **235** (1978), 55–85.
- [25] J. Morrow and K. Kodaira, *Complex Manifolds*, Athena series; selected topics in mathematics, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1971.
- [26] J. Sjöstrand, *Parametrices for pseudodifferential operators with multiple characteristics*, Ark. Mat. **12** (1974), 85–130.
- [27] M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations II*, Applied mathematical sciences, vol. 116, Springer-verlag, New York, NY, 1996.
- [28] F. Treves, Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators II Fourier integral operators, Plenum Press, New York, NY, 1980.